Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 66, No. 69

Tuesday, April 10, 2001

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 923

[Docket Nos. 99AMS-FV-923-A1; FV00-923-1]

Sweet Cherries Grown in Designated Counties in Washington; Secretary's Decision and Referendum Order on Proposed Amendment of Marketing Agreement No. 134 and Marketing Order No. 923; Correction

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Proposed rule and referendum order; correction.

SUMMARY: The Agricultural Marketing Service published in the Federal Register on March 6, 2001, a Secretary's Decision and Referendum Order on proposed amendments to the Washington sweet cherry marketing order. This docket corrects the referendum dates in the Question and Answer Overview and changes the representative period for voting in the referendum from April 1, 1999, through March 31, 2000, to April 1, 2000, through March 31, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathleen M. Finn, Marketing Specialist, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs; AMS, USDA, room 2525–S, Washington, DC 20250–0200; telephone: (202) 720–2491, or Fax: (202) 720–5698.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Secretary's decision and referendum order that are the subject of this correction propose amendments to the marketing agreement and order (order) and provide growers with the opportunity to vote in a referendum to determine if they favor the proposed amendments.

Need for Correction

As published, the referendum dates in the Question and Answer Overview are incorrect and the representative period for the purpose of the referendum is being changed from April 1, 1999, through March 31, 2000, to April 1, 2000, through March 31, 2001, to reflect the most recent crop year.

Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the publication of the proposed rule and referendum order (Docket Nos. 99AMS–FV–923–A1; FV00–923–1), which was the subject of FR Doc. 01–5418 published March 6, 2001 (66 FR 13447) is corrected as follows:

- 1. On page 13448, column one, under When Will the Referendum Be Held?, the dates "February 14, 2001, through February 28, 2001" are corrected to read "April 10, 2001, through April 27, 2001."
- 2. On page 13447, column two, under **DATES**; page 13448, column one, under *Who Is Eligible To Vote in The Referendum?*; and page 13453, column two, lines 12 and 13, the dates "April 1, 1999, through March 31, 2000," are corrected to read "April 1, 2000, through March 31, 2001."

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601-674.

Dated: April 4, 2001.

Kenneth C. Clayton,

Acting Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 01–8869 Filed 4–6–01; 9:31 am] BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2000-NM-273-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Construcciones Aeronauticas, S.A. (CASA) Model CN-235 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain CASA Model CN–235 series airplanes. This proposal would require

installation of fuselage skin reinforcements in the right and left zones of the fuselage between stations 11232 and 11740 and stringers P7 and P9. This action is necessary to prevent premature fatigue cracking of the fuselage, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Comments must be received by May 10, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2000-NM-273-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227-1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9-anmnprmcomment@faa.gov. Comments sent via fax or the Internet must contain "Docket No. 2000-NM-273-AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 for Windows or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Construcciones Aeronauticas, S.A., Getafe, Madrid, Spain. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dan Rodina, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2125; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the

proposed rule. The proposals contained in this action may be changed in light of the comments received.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue. For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.
- For each issue, state what specific change to the proposed AD is being requested.
- Include justification (e.g., reasons or data) for each request.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this action must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 2000–NM–273–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2000–NM–273–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056.

Discussion

The Dirección General de Aviación Civil (DGAC), which is the airworthiness authority for Spain, notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain CASA Model CN-235 series airplanes. The DGAC advises that fuselage skin reinforcements between stations 11232 and 11740 and stringers P7 and P9 in the right and left zones of the fuselage, which are required in the current design, were not included in earlier serial numbers of Model CN-235 series airplanes. Because of the number of drill holes electrical connectors that go through this area, fatigue cracks could develop earlier than might be expected. This condition, if not corrected, could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

CASA has issued Service Bulletin SB-235-53-40, dated June 16, 1994, which describes procedures for installation of fuselage skin reinforcements in the right and left zones of the fuselage between stations 11232 and 11740 and stringers P7 and P9. Accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition. The DGAC classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued Spanish airworthiness directive 01/2000, Revision 1, dated March 22, 2000, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in Spain.

FAA's Conclusions

This airplane model is manufactured in Spain and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the DGAC has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the DGAC, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin described previously.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that one airplane of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 45 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed installation, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$130 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$2,830.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this proposed AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD

rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this proposal would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Construcciones Aeronauticas, S.A. (CASA): Docket 2000–NM–273–AD.

Applicability: Model CN-235 series airplanes, serial numbers C-041 and C-042, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability

provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent fatigue cracking of the fuselage, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane, accomplish the following:

Reinforcement of Fuselage Skin

(a) Prior to the accumulation of 15,000 total flight cycles, install fuselage skin reinforcements between stations 11232 and 11740 and stringers P7 and P9, on both the right and left zones of the fuselage, in accordance with CASA Service Bulletin SB—235—53—40, dated June 16, 1994.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM-116.

Special Flight Permits

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in Spanish airworthiness directive 01/2000, Revision 1, dated March 22, 2000.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 3, 2001.

Donald L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 01–8726 Filed 4–9–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2000-NM-07-AD]

Airworthiness Directives; Dornier Model 328–300 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Proposed rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: This action withdraws a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) that proposed a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Dornier Model 328–300 series airplanes. That action would have required replacement of the hydraulic line tube assemblies with improved tube assemblies and flexible hose assemblies. Since the issuance of the NPRM, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has received information from Fairchild Dornier indicating that the replacement has already been carried out on all of the affected airplanes. Accordingly, the proposed rule is withdrawn.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dan Rodina, Aerospace Engineer, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2125; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to add a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Dornier Model 328-300 series airplanes, was published in the Federal Register on June 15, 2000 (65 FR 37500). The proposed rule would have required replacement of the hydraulic line tube assemblies with improved tube assemblies and flexible hose assemblies. That action was prompted by information from the Luftfahrt-Bundesamt (LBA), which is the airworthiness authority for Germany, indicating that pressure spikes and vibration during manual activation of the hydraulic changeover valve may cause cracking of the hydraulic lines that pressurize the braking systems of these airplanes. The pressure spikes create a high bending stress near the sleeve at the changeover valve. The proposed actions were intended to prevent cracking of the hydraulic lines, which could result in loss of hydraulic pressure for certain braking systems on the airplane.

Actions Since Issuance of Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)

Since the issuance of that NPRM, the FAA has received a comment from

Fairchild Dornier indicating that the replacement of the hydraulic line tube assemblies had been accomplished in all airplanes world-wide, which are affected by the rule. Therefore, Fairchild Dornier requested the FAA to withdraw the NPRM.

FAA's Conclusions

The FAA concurs that, if all of the requirements of the NPRM have already been accomplished on all affected airplanes world-wide, the NPRM may be withdrawn.

Withdrawal of this NPRM constitutes only such action, and does not preclude the agency from issuing another notice in the future, nor does it commit the agency to any course of action in the future.

Regulatory Impact

Since this action only withdraws a notice of proposed rulemaking, it is neither a proposed nor a final rule and therefore, is not covered under Executive Order 13132, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, or DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979).

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Withdrawal

Accordingly, the notice of proposed rulemaking, Docket 2000–NM–07–AD, published in the **Federal Register** on June 15, 2000 (65 FR 37500), is withdrawn.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 3,

Donald L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 01–8727 Filed 4–9–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 00-ANM-18]

Proposed Modification of Class E Airspace, Vernal, UT

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This action proposes to modify the Class E airspace at Vernal, UT. Newly developed Area Navigation (RNAV) Standard Instrument Approach