

	Period to be reviewed
Suzhou Shanding Honey Product Co., Ltd. Tianjin Eulia Honey Co., Ltd. Tianjin Weigeda Trading Co., Ltd. Wanxi Haohua Food Co., Ltd. Wuhan Bee Healthy Co., Ltd. Wuhan Shino-Food Trade Co., Ltd. Wuhu Anjie Food Co., Ltd. Wuhu Deli Foods Co. Ltd. Wuhu Fenglian Co., Ltd. Wuhu Qinshi Tangye. Wuhu Xinrui Bee-Product Co., Ltd. Xinjiang Jinhui Food Co., Ltd. Youngster International Trading Co., Ltd. Zhejiang Willing Foreign Trading Co.	
Countervailing Duty Proceedings Argentina: Honey ⁶ C-357-813	1/1/11—12/31/11
Suspension Agreements None.	

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under 19 CFR 351.211 or a determination under 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or suspended investigation (after sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine, consistent with *FAG Italia v. United States*, 291 F.3d 806 (Fed Cir. 2002), as appropriate, whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or

producer for which the inquiry is requested.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures “gap” period, of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the period of review.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. On January 22, 2008, the Department published *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Documents Submission Procedures; APO Procedures*, 73 FR 3634 (January 22, 2008). Those procedures apply to administrative reviews included in this notice of initiation. Parties wishing to participate in any of these administrative reviews should ensure that they meet the requirements of these procedures (e.g., the filing of separate letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d)).

Any party submitting factual information in an antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information. See section 782(b) of the Act. Parties are hereby reminded that revised certification requirements are in effect for company/government officials as well as their representatives in all segments of any antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceedings initiated on or after March 14, 2011. See *Certification of Factual Information to Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Interim Final Rule*, 76 FR 7491 (February 10, 2011) (“*Interim Final*

Rule”), amending 19 CFR 351.303(g)(1) and (2). The formats for the revised certifications are provided at the end of the *Interim Final Rule*. The Department intends to reject factual submissions in any proceeding segments initiated on or after March 14, 2011 if the submitting party does not comply with the revised certification requirements.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: January 24, 2012.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2012-2086 Filed 1-30-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-428-602]

Brass Sheet and Strip From Germany: Final Results of the Full Third Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* January 31, 2012.

SUMMARY: On March 1, 2011, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) initiated its third sunset review of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from Germany, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”). Based on adequate substantive responses filed on behalf of both the domestic interested parties and the respondent interested parties, the

³ If one of the above named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Certain Cased Pencils from the PRC who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

⁴ If one of the above named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Hand Trucks and Parts Thereof from the PRC who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

⁵ If one of the above named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Honey from the PRC who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

⁶ In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), the petitioners requested an administrative review of this countervailing duty order. No individual exporters requested the review pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(b). The Department conducted the investigation and subsequent administrative reviews of this order on an aggregate basis pursuant to section 777A(e)(2)(B) of the Act. Accordingly, the Department intends to conduct the review of this order on an aggregate basis.

Department determined to conduct a full sunset review of this antidumping order pursuant to 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(2)(i). As a result of our analysis, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from Germany would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mahnaz Khan or Yasmin Nair, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0914 and (202) 482-3813, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 1, 2011, the Department initiated the third sunset review of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from Germany, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. *See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 76 FR 11202 (March 1, 2011). The Department received a notice of intent to participate from domestic interested parties, GBC Metals, LLC, of Global Brass and Copper, Inc., doing business as Olin Brass; Heyco Metals, Inc.; Luvata North America, Inc.; PMX Industries, Inc.; Revere Copper Products, Inc.; and International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers, United Auto Workers (Local 2367 and Local 1024), and United Steelworkers AFL-CIO CLC (collectively, "Petitioners"), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).

On March 31, 2011, the Department received a substantive response from Petitioners, and a substantive response from respondent interested parties in Germany, Wieland-Werke AG, Schwermetall Halbzeugwerk GmbH & Co. KG, and Messingwerk Plettenberg Herfeld & Co., KG (collectively, "Respondents") within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). Based on the finding that the substantive responses were adequate, we determined to conduct a full sunset review of this antidumping duty order. *See Memorandum from Susan H. Kuhbach, Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, to Edward C. Yang, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Operations entitled "Adequacy Determination: Third Five-Year ("Sunset") Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Brass Sheet and Strip from Germany," dated June 7, 2011.*

On September 26, 2011, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of this

full third sunset review of the AD order on brass sheet and strip from Germany. *See Brass Sheet and Strip from Germany: Preliminary Results of the Third Five-Year ("Sunset") Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 76 FR 59386 (September 26, 2011) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

The Department invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. On November 15, 2011, we received case briefs from Petitioners and Respondents. On November 21, 2011, we received rebuttal briefs from Petitioners and Respondents. No hearing was held because none was requested.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is brass sheet and strip, other than leaded and tinned brass sheet and strip. The chemical composition of the covered product is currently defined in the Copper Development Association ("C.D.A.") 200 Series or the Unified Numbering System ("U.N.S.") C2000. The order does not cover products the chemical compositions of which are defined by other C.D.A. or U.N.S. series. In physical dimensions, the product covered by the order has a solid rectangular cross section over 0.006 inches (0.15 millimeters) through 0.188 inches (4.8 millimeters) in finished thickness or gauge, regardless of width. Coiled, wound-on-reels (traverse wound), and cut-to-length products are included. The merchandise is currently classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") item numbers 7409.21.00 and 7409.29.00.

Although the HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Brass Sheet and Strip from Germany: Final Results" from Gary Taverman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration ("Decision Memorandum"), which is hereby adopted by, and issued concurrently with, this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum are the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the order is revoked. Parties

can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from Germany would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/producers/exporters	Margin (percent)
Wieland-Werke AG	3.81
All Others	7.30

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the final results of this review in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: January 25, 2012.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012-2082 Filed 1-30-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-357-812]

Honey From Argentina: Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received a request for a new shipper review of the