the use of MIC in the Bayer carbamate pesticide manufacturing processes, through, for example, substitution of less hazardous intermediates, intensifying existing manufacturing processes, or consuming MIC simultaneously with its production. Examine these approaches using the best practices for inherently safer process assessment identified under Task 1.

- Estimate projected costs of alternative approaches identified above.
- Compare the inherently safer process assessments conducted by Bayer and previous owners of the Institute site with benchmarks established under Task 1.

Deliverables

For each task, the NAS shall provide a monthly progress report to the CSB from inception to completion. The NAS should promptly notify the CSB of any problems encountered or other matters that require CSB attention.

The principal deliverable item is a detailed written report of the expert panel addressing each point in Tasks 1 and 2, above. The report should be produced within 12 months of the initiation of the project. The panel may conduct public hearings in West Virginia, or elsewhere, as appropriate.

Questions for Public Comment

- 1. Does the proposed Task Statement include the appropriate topics for consideration by the NAS? Are there any additional general or specific topics the NAS panel will need to consider in order to reach a satisfactory answer on the feasibility and costs of reducing the use and storage of MIC?
- 2. If funds are available, should the CSB initiate a second, related study to consider the feasibility, costs, and benefits of inherently safer alternatives to other chemicals? For example, should a study consider alternatives to the use of hydrogen fluoride in refinery alkylation processes and/or to the use of chlorine in water treatment? What other chemicals or processes should be considered if a second study is undertaken?
- 3. What kinds of backgrounds and expertise should be represented on the NAS panel?
- 4. Is the proposed timetable appropriate?

Electronic Submission of Comments

Electronic submission of comments is preferred. Comments should be submitted by e-mail to nascomments@csb.gov. Comments may be submitted in the body of the e-mail message or as an attached PDF, MS

Word, or plain text ASCII file. Files must be virus-free and unencrypted. Please ensure that the comments themselves, whether in the body of the e-mail or attached as a file, include the docket number (CSB-10-01), the agency name, and your full name and address.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7412(r)(6)(F), (N); Pub. L. 111–88, 123 Stat. 2950.

Dated: April 19, 2010.

Christopher W. Warner,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2010-9422 Filed 4-22-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6350-01-P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Utah Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Commission), and the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), that a planning meeting of the Utah Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene by conference call at 10 a.m. on Thursday, May 6, 2010. The purpose of this meeting is to provide a brief overview of recent Commission and regional activities, discuss civil rights issues in the state, hear from a subcommittee on the Utah Anti-Discrimination Division's state audit report, and plan future activities and projects.

This meeting is available to the public through the following toll-free call-in and conference ID numbers: 1-866-364-8798; conference ID 70344123. Any interested member of the public may call this number and listen to the meeting. Callers can expect to incur charges for calls they initiate over wireless lines, and the Commission will not refund any incurred charges. Callers will incur no charge for calls they initiate over land-line connections to the toll-free telephone number. Persons with hearing impairments may also follow the proceedings by first calling the Federal Relay Service at 1-800-977-8339 and providing the Service with the conference call number and conference

To ensure that the Commission secures an appropriate number of lines for the public, persons are asked to register by contacting Evelyn Bohor of the Rocky Mountain Regional Office and TTY/TDD (303) 866–1049 by noon on May 3, 2010.

Members of the public are entitled to submit written comments. The comments must be received in the regional office by June 7, 2010. The address is: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, Rocky Mountain Regional Office, 1961 Stout Street, Suite 240, Denver, CO 80294. Comments may be e-mailed to ebohor@usccr.gov. Records generated by this meeting may be inspected and reproduced at the Rocky Mountain Regional Office, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Persons interested in the work of this advisory committee are advised to go to the Commission's Web site, http://www.usccr.gov, or to contact the Rocky Mountain Regional Office at the above e-mail or street address.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission and FACA.

Dated in Washington, DC, 19 April 2010. **Peter Minarik.**

Acting Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.

[FR Doc. 2010–9383 Filed 4–22–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

Agency: U.S. Census Bureau. Title: 2008 Panel of the Survey of Income & Program Participation, Wave 7 Topical Modules.

OMB Control Number: 0607–0944. Form Number(s): SIPP–28705(L) Director's Letter; SIPP/CAPI Automated Instrument; SIPP28003 Reminder Card.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Burden Hours: 143,303.

Number of Respondents: 94,500.

Average Hours per Response: 30 minutes

Needs and Uses: The U.S. Census Bureau requests authorization from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to conduct the Wave 7 interview for the 2008 Panel of the Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP). The core SIPP and reinterview instruments were cleared under Authorization No. 0607–0944.

The SIPP represents a source of information for a wide variety of topics and allows information for separate topics to be integrated to form a single and unified database so that the interaction between tax, transfer, and