

Dated: March 2, 2010

Sherry Hutt,

Manager, National NAGPRA Program.

[FR Doc. 2010-6561 Filed 3-24-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-50-S

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Notice of Inventory Completion: Pierce College District, Lakewood, WA, and Thomas Burke Memorial Washington State Museum, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

Notice is here given in accordance with the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), 25 U.S.C. 3003, of the completion of an inventory of human remains in the control of the Pierce College District, Lakewood, WA, and in the physical custody of the Thomas Burke Memorial Washington State Museum (Burke Museum), University of Washington, Seattle, WA. The human remains were most likely removed from Gig Harbor, Pierce County, WA.

This notice is published as part of the National Park Service's administrative responsibilities under NAGPRA, 25 U.S.C. 3003 (d)(3). The determinations in this notice are the sole responsibility of the museum, institution, or Federal agency that has control of the Native American human remains. The National Park Service is not responsible for the determinations in this notice.

A detailed assessment of the human remains was made by Pierce College professional staff in consultation with representatives of the Burke Museum and the Puyallup Tribe of the Puyallup Reservation, Washington.

During 1973, human remains representing a minimum of two individuals were most likely removed from archeological site 45-PI-13 (Minter II), Gig Harbor, in Pierce County, WA, by Dale McGinnis. No known individuals were identified. No associated funerary objects are present.

The human remains are from an unspecified excavation and were found in a box labeled "Faunal Midden Remains." Initially, they were determined to be culturally unidentifiable, based on lack of provenience, but additional information was put forward by the Puyallup Tribe and other sources, the preponderance of the evidence now supports a cultural affiliation for the human remains with the Puyallup Tribe.

One of the additional sources was Mike Avey, a former Anthropology Department Chair at Pierce College Fort Steilacoom. In 2006, he stated that the human remains might be from the Minter Bay excavation by Dale McGinnis. There is a dual numbering system present on the remains. It is believed that these human remains initially were numbered while on loan to the University of Oregon, and then were numbered by Pierce College upon their return, as this dual numbering system does not match any of the other archeological collections held by Pierce College. Therefore, the Pierce College District reasonably believes the human remains were removed from the Minter site. The home of the Minter people is an area within the historically and ethnographically documented territory of the Puyallup Tribe. This area has long been occupied by the Shotlemamish, a Southern Lushootseed speaking group, whose descendants are members of the Puyallup Tribe of the Puyallup Reservation, Washington.

Officials of the Pierce College District have determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (9-10), the human remains described above represent the physical remains of two individuals of Native American ancestry. Officials of the Pierce College District also have determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (2), there is a relationship of shared group identity that can be reasonably traced between the Native American human remains and the Puyallup Tribe of the Puyallup Reservation, Washington.

Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with the human remains should contact Chris MacKersie, District Director of Safety & Security and Assistant Director of Facilities, Pierce College District, 9401 Farwest Dr. SW, Lakewood, WA 98498, telephone (253) 912-3655, before April 26, 2010. Repatriation of the human remains to the Puyallup Tribe of the Puyallup Reservation, Washington may proceed after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

Pierce College District is responsible for notifying the Puyallup Tribe of the Puyallup Reservation, Washington that this notice has been published.

Dated: March 3, 2010

Sherry Hutt,

Manager, National NAGPRA Program.

[FR Doc. 2010-6577 Filed 3-24-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-50-S

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-707]

In the Matter of: Certain Dynamic Random Access Memory Semiconductors and Products Containing Same, Including Memory Modules; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on February 19, 2010, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Infineon Technologies AG of Germany and Infineon Technologies North America Corp. of Milpitas, California. An amendment to the complaint was filed on March 12, 2010. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain dynamic random access memory semiconductors and products containing same, including memory modules, by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,480,051; 5,422,309; 5,397,664; and 7,071,074. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the

Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Juan S. Cockburn, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2572.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2009).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on March 18, 2010, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain dynamic random access memory semiconductors or products containing the same, including memory modules that infringe one or more of claims 1–16 of U.S. Patent No. 5,480,051; claims 1–19 of U.S. Patent No. 5,422,309; claims 6–9 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 5,397,664; and claims 1–20 of U.S. Patent No. 7,071,074, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:

Infineon Technologies AG, Am
Campeon 1–12, D–85579 Neubiberg,
Germany.
Infineon Technologies North America
Corp., 640 N. McCarthy Blvd.,
Milpitas, CA 95035.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Elpida Memory Inc., Sumitomo Seimei
Yaesu Bldg. 3F, 2–1 Yaesu 2-chome,
Chuo-ku, Tokyo, Japan.
Elpida Memory (USA) Inc., 1175 Sonora
Ct., Sunnyvale, CA 94086.
Rexchip Electronics Corporation, No.
429–1, Sanfong Rd., Houli Township,
Taichung County, Central Taiwan
Science Park, Taiwan.
Kingston Technology Company Inc.,
17600 Newhope Street, Fountain
Valley, CA 92708.
Kingston Technology (Shanghai) Co.
Ltd., No. 1, Yinglun Road, Pudong

New District, Shanghai, Shanghai
200131, China.

Kingston Technology Far East Co. Ltd.,
No. 1–5, Li-Hsin Road, I, Science
Based, Industrial Park, Hsin-Chu,
Taiwan.

Kingston Technology Far East (M) Sdn.
Bhd., Plot 111–B Bayan Lepas
Industrial Park, Lebuhraya Kampung
Jawa, Bayan Lepas, Penang 11900,
Malaysia.

Payton Technology Corp., 17665
Newhope St., Ste B, Fountain Valley,
CA 92708.

A-Data Technology Co., Ltd., 18F., No.
258, Lian Cheng Rd., Chung Ho City,
235 Taipei, Taiwan.

A-Data Technology (USA) Co. Ltd.,
17101 Gale Ave., Hacienda Height,
CA 91745.

Apacer Technology, Inc., 4F, 75, Sec. 1,
Xintai 5th Rd., Xizhi City, 221 Taipei
County, Taiwan.

Apacer Memory America Inc., 386
Fairview Way, Suite 102, Milpitas, CA
95035.

Buffalo Inc., 15, Shibata hondori 4-
chome, Minami-ku, Nagoya, 457–
8520, Japan.

Buffalo Technology (USA), Inc., 11100
Metric Boulevard, Suite 750, Austin,
TX 78758.

Corsair Memory, 46221 Landing
Parkway, Fremont, CA 94538.

Corsair Memory (Taiwan), A–1, 5th
Floor, 5 Hangsiang Road, Dayuan
Township, Tao Yuan County 33747,
Taiwan.

Mushkin Inc., 317 Inverness Way South,
Suite 130, Englewood, CO 80112.

Mushkin APAC, B–13–9, Megan Avenue
II, No. 12, Jalan Yap Kwan Seng,
50450 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

Transcend Information Inc., No. 70,
XingZhong Rd., NeiHu Dist., Taipei,
Taiwan.

Transcend USA, 1645 North Brian
Street, Orange, CA 92867.

(c) The Commission investigative
attorney, party to this investigation, is
Juan S. Cockburn, Esq., Office of Unfair
Import Investigations, U.S. International
Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW.,
Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and
(3) For the investigation so instituted,
the Honorable Paul J. Luckern, Chief
Administrative Law Judge, U.S.
International Trade Commission, shall
designate the presiding Administrative
Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the
notice of investigation must be
submitted by the named respondents in
accordance with section 210.13 of the
Commission's Rules of Practice and
Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to
19 CFR 201.16(d)–(e) and 210.13(a),
such responses will be considered by

the Commission if received not later
than 20 days after the date of service by
the Commission of the complaint and
the notice of investigation. Extensions of
time for submitting responses to the
complaint and the notice of
investigation will not be granted unless
good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely
response to each allegation in the
complaint and in this notice may be
deemed to constitute a waiver of the
right to appear and contest the
allegations of the complaint and this
notice, and to authorize the
administrative law judge and the
Commission, without further notice to
the respondent, to find the facts to be as
alleged in the complaint and this notice
and to enter an initial determination
and a final determination containing
such findings, and may result in the
issuance of an exclusion order or a cease
and desist order or both directed against
the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 22, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010–6617 Filed 3–24–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

**[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–437 and 731–
TA–1060–1061 (Review)]**

Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From China and India

AGENCY: United States International
Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of expedited five-
year reviews concerning the
countervailing duty order on carbazole
violet pigment 23 from India and the
antidumping duty orders on carbazole
violet pigment 23 from China and India.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives
notice of the scheduling of expedited
reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of
the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C.
1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine
whether revocation of the
countervailing duty order on carbazole
violet pigment 23 from India and the
antidumping duty orders on carbazole
violet pigment 23 from China and India
would be likely to lead to continuation
or recurrence of material injury within
a reasonably foreseeable time. The
Commission has determined to exercise
its authority to extend the review period
by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C.
1675(c)(5)(B). For further information
concerning the conduct of these reviews