7. Abstract: NRC and Agreement State licensees are required to make inventory and accounting reports on DOE/NRC Form 741 for certain source or special nuclear material, or for transfer or receipt of 1 kilogram or more of source material. Licensees affected by Part 75 and related sections of Parts 40, 50, 70, and 150 are required to submit DOE/ NRC Form 740M to inform the U.S. or the IAEA of any qualifying statement or exception to any of the data contained in any of the other reporting forms required under the US/IAEA Safeguards Agreement. The use of Forms 740M and 741, together with NUREG/BR-0006 Revision 6, the instructions for completing the forms, enables NRC to collect, retrieve, analyze as necessary, and submit the data to IAEA to fulfill its reporting responsibilities.

Submit, by January 31, 2005, comments that address the following questions:

- 1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
 - 2. Is the burden estimate accurate?
- 3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
- 4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O–1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide Web site: http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton (T–5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, by telephone at 301–415–7233, or by Internet electronic mail to INFOCOLLECTS@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of November 2004.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Brenda Jo. Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 04–26357 Filed 11–29–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste Meeting on Planning and Procedures; Notice of Meeting

The ACNW will hold a Planning and Procedures meeting on December 13, 2004, Room T–2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance, with the exception of a portion that may be closed pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (2) and (6) to discuss organizational and personnel matters that relate solely to internal personnel rules and practices of ACNW, and information the release of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Monday, December 13, 2004—1 p.m.-2:15 p.m.

The Committee will discuss proposed ACNW activities and related matters. The purpose of this meeting is to gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the full Committee.

Members of the public desiring to provide oral statements and/or written comments should notify the Designated Federal Official, Mr. Howard J. Larson (Telephone: 301/415–6805) between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (e.t.) five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public.

Further information regarding this meeting can be obtained by contacting the Designated Federal Official between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (e.t.). Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact the above named individual at least two working days prior to the meeting to be advised of any potential changes in the agenda.

Dated: November 23, 2004.

John H. Flack,

Acting Branch Chief, ACRS/ACNW. [FR Doc. 04–26358 Filed 11–29–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Sunshine Act; Meeting

DATES: Weeks of November 29, December 6, 13, 20, 27, January 3, 2004. PLACE: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and closed. MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Week of November 29, 2004

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of November 29, 2004.

Week of December 6, 2004—Tentative

Tuesday, December 7, 2004

9:30 a.m. Briefing on Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Program (Public Meeting) (Contact: Corenthis Kelley, 301–415–7380.

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web Address—http://www.nrc.gov.

Wednesday, December 8, 2004

- 12:55 p.m. Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (Tentative).
 - a. Motion to Quash Ol Subpoena (Tentative).
 - b. Duke Energy Corp. (Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2); Intervenor's Motion for Reconsideration of CLI-04-29 (Tentative).
- 1 p.m. Briefing on Status of Davis Besse Lessons Learned Task Force Recommendations (Public Meeting) (Contact: John Jolicoeur, 301–415– 1724).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—http://www.nrc.gov.

Thursday, December 9, 2004

2 p.m. Briefing on Reactor Safety and Licensing Activities (Public Meeting) (Contact: Steve Koenick, 301–415–1239).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—http://www.nrc.gov.

Week of December 13, 2004—Tentative

Tuesday, December 14, 2004

1 p.m. Briefing on Emergency Preparedness Program Initiatives (Public Meeting) (Contact: Nader Mamish, 301–415–1086).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—http://www.nrc.gov.

Week of December 20, 2004—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of December 20, 2004.

Week of December 27, 2004—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of December 27, 2004.

Week of January 3, 2005—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of January 3, 2005.

*The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings call (recording)—301–415–1292.

Contact person for more information: Dave Gamberoni, 301–415–1651.

* * * * *

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: http://www.nrc.gov/what-we-do/ policy-making/schedule.html.

The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings, or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g., braille, large print), please notify the NRC's Disability Program Coordinator, August Spector, at 301–415–7080, TDD: 301–415–2100, or by e-mail at aks@nrc.gov. Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

This notice is distributed by mail to several hundred subscribers; if you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301–415–1969). In addition, distribution of this meeting notice over the Internet system, is available. If you are interested in receiving this Commission meeting schedule electronically, please send an electronic message to dkw@nrc.gov.

Dated: November 24, 2004.

Dave Gamberoni.

Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04-26447 Filed 11-26-04; 9:23 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

[Docket No. MC2005-1; Order No. 1425]

Experimental Premium Forwarding Service

AGENCY: Postal Rate Commission. **ACTION:** Notice and order.

SUMMARY: This document announces a case involving a proposed two-year experiment that will test demand for a new Premium Forwarding Service (PFS). This service entails periodic reshipment of a customer's mail from a permanent address to a temporary address. Additional fees are involved. Conducting an experiment will allow the Postal Service to determine whether PFS should be made available on a permanent basis.

DATES: See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for dates.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Hard copy submissions, when allowed, should be sent to the attention of Steven W. Williams, Secretary of the Commission, 1333 H Street NW., Suite 300, Washington, DC 20268–0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202–789–6818.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Notice is hereby given that on November 19, 2004, the Postal Service filed a request with the Postal Rate Commission pursuant to section 3623 of the Postal Reorganization Act, 39 U.S.C. 101 et seq., for a recommended decision on a proposed experimental PFS.¹ The Postal Service proposes to implement the requested experimental service through additions to the Domestic Mail Classification Schedule (DMCS) and associated new fees. The request includes attachments and is supported by the testimony of four witnesses and a library reference. It is on file in the Commission's docket room for inspection during regular business hours and is available on the Commission's Home page at http:// www.prc.gov.

Description of the request. The proposed experiment would introduce a new PFS, under which the Postal Service would offer to reship periodically all of a customer's incoming mail from a permanent address to a temporary address to which the customer has moved. For this service, the Postal Service would charge a \$10.00 enrollment fee plus a fixed pershipment charge of \$10.00. Such PFS shipments would be sent via Priority Mail service once a week to the customer's temporary address. The Postal Service anticipates that the experimental PFS service will be an attractive supplement to existing options for customers who relocate temporarily because it would satisfy demand for service features not currently available through those

Experimental designation. The Postal Service seeks consideration of its proposal under the Commission's expedited rules of practice and procedure applicable to experimental mail classification changes, 39 CFR 3001.67 through 3001.67d. In support of this approach, it cites the need to collect currently unavailable data to test its

assumptions about the projected average weight and distance of PFS shipments, as well as its estimates of demand for the service. According to the Service, a two-year experimental trial of PFS service would enable it to collect, aggregate and analyze such data, so that a request for a permanent classification change can be prepared if the data support such a request. Further, the Service anticipates that a two-year experiment will allow customers sufficient time to determine whether PFS service meets their demands.

Compliance statement and conditional motion for waiver. In a pleading² filed contemporaneously with its Request, the Postal Service describes its approach to satisfying the filing requirements contained in pertinent provisions of the rules of practice, and asks the Commission to accept that approach for the purposes of this proceeding. Generally, the Postal Service states that it developed certain materials specifically for the PFS proposal, but also supplemented this information with documentation it submitted in the most recently concluded omnibus rate proceeding (Docket No. R2001–1), as well as with material it periodically submits to the Commission. The Service believes that incorporation of these supplemental materials meets most of the specific filing requirements of the rules of practice. Alternatively, if the Commission concludes that the incorporated materials are insufficient, the Postal Service moves for waiver of the pertinent provisions.

Proposed settlement procedures. The Postal Service's November 19, 2004, filing also included a request for establishment of settlement procedures in this proceeding.³ The Service states that the proposed experimental PFS classification is simple, is supported by straightforward testimony, and would be of a limited scope and duration. The Service also opines that the proposal's benefits to customers, its minor financial impact, and the fact that all its costs are volume-variable may increase the likelihood of settlement. In view of this prospect, the Postal Service asks that the Commission facilitate a possible settlement by making its hearing room available for a settlement conference prior to the prehearing conference in this docket; appoint its lead counsel to serve as settlement coordinator; and thereby provide an opportunity for its

¹ Request of the United States Postal Service for a Recommended Decision on Experimental Premium Forwarding Service, November 19, 2004 (Request).

² Statement of the United States Postal Service Concerning Compliance with Filing Requirements and Conditional Motion for Waiver, November 19, 2004.

³ United States Postal Request for Establishment of Settlement Procedures, November 19, 2004.