

Day	Event/Activity
A .....	If access granted: Issuance of presiding officer or other designated officer decision on motion for protective order for access to sensitive information (including schedule for providing access and submission of contentions) or decision reversing a final adverse determination by the NRC staff.
A + 3 .....	Deadline for filing executed Non-Disclosure Affidavits. Access provided to SUNSI consistent with decision issuing the protective order.
A + 28 .....	Deadline for submission of contentions whose development depends upon access to SUNSI. However, if more than 25 days remain between the petitioner's receipt of (or access to) the information and the deadline for filing all other contentions (as established in the notice of hearing or opportunity for hearing), the petitioner may file its SUNSI contentions by that later deadline.
A + 53 .....	(Contention receipt +25) Answers to contentions whose development depends upon access to SUNSI.
A + 60 .....	(Answer receipt +7) Petitioner/Intervenor reply to answers.
> A + 60 .....	Decision on contention admission.

[FR Doc. 2010-33182 Filed 1-3-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2011-52; Order No. 624]

### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add a Global Direct Contracts 1 contract to the competitive product list. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with this filing.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* January 5, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, [stephen.sharfman@prc.gov](mailto:stephen.sharfman@prc.gov) or 202-789-6820.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Table of Contents

- I. Background
- II. Notice of Filing
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

### I. Background

On December 23, 2010, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing its intent to enter into an additional Global Direct Contracts 1 agreement.<sup>1</sup> Global

<sup>1</sup> Notice of United States Postal Service Filing of Functionally Equivalent Global Direct Contracts 1 Negotiated Service Agreement, December 23, 2010 (Notice). Although the agreement has not yet been signed, the Postal Service expects the agreement to be executed soon and will advise the Commission of any substantive changes to the text. Notice at 1 n.2.

Direct Contracts provide a rate for mail acceptance within the United States, transportation to a receiving country of mail that bears the destination country's indicia, and payment by the Postal Service of the appropriate settlement charges to the receiving country.<sup>2</sup> The Postal Service believes that the instant agreement should be included within the Global Direct Contracts 1 product because it is functionally equivalent to the Global Direct Contracts 1 agreement in Docket Nos. MC2010-17 and CP2010-18. Notice at 2.

*The instant agreement.* The Postal Service filed the instant agreement under 39 CFR 3015.5. *Id.* at 1. In addition, the Postal Service contends that the agreement is consistent with Order No. 386.<sup>3</sup> The Postal Service states that the instant agreement succeeds the Global Direct Contract in Docket No. CP2010-19, which is scheduled to expire January 10, 2011. *Id.* at 2-3. The term of the instant agreement begins on January 11, 2011 and ends in January 2012 on the day before Canada Post Corporation implements price changes for its domestic Admail. *Id.* at 3, Attachment 1 at 7. If prices for Admail do not change during January 2012, then the instant agreement is scheduled to expire January 31, 2012. *Id.*

To support its Notice, the Postal Service filed four attachments as follows:

<sup>2</sup> Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on the Establishment of Prices and Classifications for Global Direct, Global Bulk Economy, and Global Plus Contracts, Docket Nos. MC2008-7, CP2008-16 and CP2008-17, issued July 16, 2008 (Governors' Decision No. 08-10). The Commission revised the Mail Classification Schedule language proposed in Governors' Decision No. 08-10 to reflect the actual payment practice under typical Global Direct Contracts. See Docket Nos. MC2009-9, CP2009-10 and CP2009-11, Order Concerning Global Direct Contracts Negotiated Service Agreements, December 19, 2008, at 9 (Order No. 153).

<sup>3</sup> See Docket Nos. MC2010-17 and CP2010-18, Order Concerning Filing of Functionally Equivalent Global Direct Contracts 1 Negotiated Service Agreement, January 11, 2010 (Order No. 386).

- Attachment 1—a redacted copy of the contract;
- Attachment 2—a certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2);
- Attachment 3—a redacted copy of Governors' Decision No. 08-10, which establishes prices and classifications for Global Direct, Global Bulk Economy, and Global Plus Contracts; and
- Attachment 4—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contract and supporting documents under seal.

The Postal Service states that the instant agreement fits within the Mail Classification Schedule language for Global Direct Contracts included in Governors' Decision No. 08-10, with the modification proposed by the Commission to reflect the actual payment practice under these types of agreements. *Id.* at 2 (citing Order No. 153 at 9).

The Notice advances reasons why the instant agreement is functionally equivalent to the previous Global Direct Contracts 1 agreement in Docket Nos. MC2010-17 and CP2010-18. *Id.* at 3. Aside from cosmetic or customer-specific updates, the Postal Service contends that the only differences are that the instant agreement (1) concerns Global Direct service used with Admail to Canada; (2) contains more detailed procedures relating to penalties for mail that does not comply with applicable regulations; (3) addresses actual and potential changes in pricing; and (4) revises minimum commitments and annexes. *Id.* at 3-4. Despite these differences, the Postal Service contends that the instant contract is functionally equivalent to the Global Direct Contracts 1 agreement filed previously because the core terms and conditions remain the same. *Id.* at 4.

The Postal Service asserts that "the cost and market characteristics of this agreement are substantially similar to those of prior Global Direct contracts" and that the agreement complies with the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633. *Id.* It requests that the Commission include

this agreement within the Global Direct Contracts 1 product. *Id.*

## II. Notice of Filing

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2011–52 to consider matters related to the contract identified in the Notice.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's contract is consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632 or 3633 and 39 CFR part 3015. Comments are due no later than January 5, 2011. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints John P. Klingenberg to serve as Public Representative in the captioned filings.

## III. Ordering Paragraphs

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2011–52 to consider matters raised by the Postal Service's Notice.

2. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than January 5, 2011.

3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, John P. Klingenberg is appointed to serve as the officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Shoshana M. Grove,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–33161 Filed 1–3–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2011–16 and CP2011–53;  
Order No. 627]

### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add Parcel Select Contract 1 to the competitive product list. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with this filing.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* January 4, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in **FOR**

**FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, [stephen.sharfman@prc.gov](mailto:stephen.sharfman@prc.gov) or 202–789–6820.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filing
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

#### I. Introduction

Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Parcel Select Contract 1 to the competitive product list.<sup>1</sup> The Postal Service states that “[t]he product is for the licensing and distribution of the ‘Sample Showcase’ co-op box,” which is similar to the Samples Co-op Box being tested by the Postal Service as an experimental product in Docket No. MT2010–1.<sup>2</sup>

The Postal Service will license the use of the Sample Showcase box and associated trademarks to StartSampling, Inc., a provider of sample-related services. Request, Attachment B at 1. StartSampling, Inc. will fund and manage all aspects of distributing the Sample Showcase box, including marketing, assembling, and tendering the box for delivery by the Postal Service. *Id.* at 1, 3. StartSampling, Inc. will pay the Postal Service a portion of all revenue exceeding a certain amount that is derived from the boxes. *Id.* at 8.

The Postal Service asserts that Parcel Select Contract 1 is a competitive product “not of general applicability” within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3). Request at 1. The Postal Service states that the prices and classification underlying this contract are supported by Governors’ Decision No. 10–8, included as Attachment A to the Request.<sup>3</sup> The Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2011–16.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a contract related to the proposed new product. Request, Attachment B. The contract has been assigned Docket No. CP2011–53.

<sup>1</sup> Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Parcel Select Contract 1 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Contract and Supporting Data, December 23, 2010 (Request).

<sup>2</sup> Request at 1; see Docket No. MT2010–1, Order No. 452, Order Approving Samples Co-op Box Market Test, May 5, 2010.

<sup>3</sup> See Request at 1; Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on Establishment of Rate and Class Not of General Applicability for Parcel Select Service, Docket Nos. MC2011–16 and CP2011–53, issued December 16, 2010 (Governors’ Decision No. 10–8).

*Request.* In support of its Request, the Postal Service filed six attachments as follows:

- Attachment A—a redacted copy of Governors’ Decision No. 10–8 establishing prices and classification for Parcel Select Service and a certification of the Governors’ vote;
- Attachment B—a redacted copy of the contract;
- Attachment C—a proposed change in the Mail Classification Schedule competitive product list;
- Attachment D—a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32;
- Attachment E—a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a); and
- Attachment F—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contract and supporting documents under seal.

As submitted, Attachment E is incomplete as it does not include the certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a)(1) and (3).<sup>4</sup> To complete its Request, the Postal Service shall file the appropriate certification of compliance no later than January 4, 2011.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Marc D. McCrery, Manager, Specialty Shipping, asserts that the service to be provided under the contract will cover its attributable costs, make a positive contribution to institutional costs, and increase contribution toward the requisite 5.5 percent of the Postal Service's total institutional costs. Request, Attachment D. Thus, Mr. McCrery contends there will be no issue of market dominant products subsidizing competitive products as a result of this contract. *Id.*

*Related contract.* A redacted version of the specific Parcel Select Contract 1 is included with the Request. *Id.*, Attachment B. The contract will become effective on the date of any final regulatory approvals. *Id.* at 1. The public version of the contract redacts the terms of the agreement, but lists provisions enabling either party to terminate with or without cause. *See id.* at 11–13. The Postal Service represents that the contract is consistent with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a). *Id.*, Attachment D.

The Postal Service filed much of the supporting materials, including the specific Parcel Select Contract 1, under seal. *Id.*, Attachment F. It maintains that redacted portions of the contract, Governors’ Decision No. 10–8, and related financial information, should

<sup>4</sup> It includes the certification of the Governors’ vote that should have been included with Governors’ Decision No. 10–8 in Attachment A.