

U.S. to help identify, preserve, and provide public access to records, photographs, and other materials that document American history.

Accordingly, NARA seeks public comment on the interim/draft plan of action published jointly by 23 Federal grant-making agencies in the January 17, 2001 **Federal Register** (66 FR 4584). NARA requests your comments on the Federal grantmaking process and the objectives outlined in this plan, particularly on the questions contained in the notice under the heading "Desired Focus of Comments". The notice may be accessed through GPO Access or on NARA's web site at <http://www.nara.gov/nara/grant-plan-notice.html>. Further information about the NHPRC grant program is available on NARA's web site at <http://www.nara.gov/nhprc/>.

**DATES:** Comments in response to this notice must be received on or before March 19, 2001. Each Federal agency will submit an implementation plan to OMB and Congress before May 20, 2001 and report annually thereafter.

**ADDRESSES:** General comments on this notice, and those relating to more than one Federal agency, should be addressed to: Attn: PL 106-107 Comments, Department of Health and Human Services, 200 Independence Avenue, SW., Room 517-D, Washington, DC 20201. Comments may also be transmitted by email (PL106107@os.dhhs.gov) or by fax, (202) 690-8772.

Comments that are specific to NARA and the NHPRC grant program should be addressed to: Regulation Comment Desk (NPOL), National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Rd., Room 4100, College Park, MD 20740-6001. Comments may also be transmitted by email to [comments@nara.gov](mailto:comments@nara.gov), with the subject heading PL106-107, or by fax to (301) 713-7270.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For general questions regarding this notice, please contact Rodd Clay, Office of Grants Management, Department of Health and Human Services by email ([rclay@os.dhhs.gov](mailto:rclay@os.dhhs.gov)) or phone at (202) 690-8723; for the hearing impaired only: TDD 202-690-6415. For NHPRC-specific issues, please contact Nancy Allard by email at [nancy.allard@nara.gov](mailto:nancy.allard@nara.gov) or by phone at 301-713-7360 ext. 226. Additional information regarding the agencies' reform efforts may be found at the Chief Financial Officers (CFO) Council's Grants Management Committee home page (<http://www.financenet.gov/fed/cfo/grants/grants.html>).

Dated: February 8, 2001.

**John W. Carlin,**

*Archivist of the United States.*

[FR Doc. 01-3757 Filed 2-13-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7515-01-U**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR Part 4, "Nondiscrimination in Federally Assisted Commission Programs."
2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0053.
3. *How often the collection is required:* Occasionally.
4. *Who is required or asked to report:* Recipients of Federal Financial Assistance (Agreement States) provided by the NRC.
5. *The number of annual respondents:* Approximately 32.
6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 352 hours (96 hours reporting and 256 hours recordkeeping) or approximately 3 hours per response.
7. *Abstract:* Recipients of NRC financial assistance provide data to demonstrate assurance to NRC that they are in compliance with nondiscrimination regulations and policies.

Submit, by April 16, 2001, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
2. Is the burden estimate accurate?
3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O-1 F23, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/PUBLIC/OMB/index.html>. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, T-6 E6, Washington, DC 20555-0001, by telephone at 301-415-7233, or by Internet electronic mail at [BJS1@NRC.GOV](mailto:BJS1@NRC.GOV).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day of February, 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Brenda Jo Shelton,**

*NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01-3740 Filed 2-13-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

### Submission for OMB review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Overseas Private Investment Corporation.

**ACTION:** Request for Comments.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), agencies are required to publish a Notice in the **Federal Register** notifying the public that the Agency is preparing an information collection request for OMB review and approval and to request public review and comment on the submission. Comments are being solicited on the need for the information, its practical utility, the accuracy of the Agency's burden estimate, and on ways to minimize the reporting burden, including automated collection techniques and uses of other forms of technology. The proposed form under review is summarized below.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before April 16, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the subject form and the request for review prepared for submission to OMB may be obtained from the Agency Submitting Officer. Comments on the form should be submitted to the agency Submitting Officer.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

OPIC Agency Submitting Officer: Carol Brock, Records Manager, Overseas Private Investment Corporation, 1100 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20527; 202/336-8563.

**SUMMARY OF FORM UNDER REVIEW:**

*Type of Request:* Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval is expiring.

*Title:* Finance Application.

*Form Number:* OPIC-115.

*Frequency of Use:* Once per project.

*Type of Respondents:* Business or other institutions, individuals.

*Standard Industrial Classification Codes:* All.

*Description of Affected Public:* U.S. companies or citizens investing overseas.

*Reporting Hours:* 3 hours per project.

*Number of Responses:* 300 per year.

*Federal Cost:* \$14,796 per year.

*Authority for Information Collection:* Sections 231 and 234 (b) and (c) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended.

*Abstract (Needs and Uses):* The application is the principal document used by OPIC to determine the investor's and project's eligibility, assess the environmental impact and developmental effects of the project, measure the economic effects for the United States and the host country economy, and collection information for underwriting analysis.

Dated: February 8, 2001.

**Rumu Sarkar,**

Assistant General Counsel, Administrative Affairs, Department of Legal Affairs.

[FR Doc. 01-3703 Filed 2-13-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3210-01-M**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-43932; File No. SR-CBOE-00-21]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change, and Notice of Filing and Order Granting Accelerated Approval to Amendment No. 2 to Proposed Rule Change, by the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. To Amend its Rule Governing the Operation of Its Automatic Book Priority System To Permit Split-Price Executions

**DATE:** February 6, 2001.

#### I. Introduction

On May 24, 2000, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. ("CBOE" or

"Exchange"), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change to amend its rules governing the operation of its Retail Automatic Execution System ("RAES") to provide for split-price executions under the Automatic Book Priority ("ABP") system. On June 22, 2000, CBOE filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.<sup>3</sup> The proposed rule change was published in the **Federal Register** on October 19, 2000.<sup>4</sup> No comments were received on the proposal. On November 30, 2000, CBOE filed Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change.<sup>5</sup> This order approves the proposed rule change, as amended. In addition, the Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change, and is simultaneously approving Amendment No. 2 on an accelerated basis.

#### II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

##### A. Text of the Proposed Rule Change

The CBOE proposes to amend its rules governing the operation of its Retail Automatic Execution System ("RAES") to provide for split-price executions under the ABP system. Below is the text of the proposed rule change. Proposed new language is *italicized* and proposed deletions are in [brackets].<sup>6</sup>

\* \* \* \* \*

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> In Amendment No. 1, CBOE amended the text of the proposed rule change and included a discussion of the indicator to be used when a book order is establishing CBOE's best bid or offer. See letter from Angelo Evangelou, Attorney, CBOE, to Joseph Corcoran, Attorney, Division of Market Regulation, Commission, dated June 20, 2000 ("Amendment No. 1").

<sup>4</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 43430 (October 11, 2000), 65 FR 62776 (October 19, 2000) ("Notice").

<sup>5</sup> In Amendment No. 2, CBOE amended the text of the proposed change to CBOE Rule 6.8, Interpretation and Policy .04, to correspond with the proposed changes to the remainder of the rule by obligating the trading crowd to execute orders rejected from RAES up to, and not in addition to, the Book Price Commitment Quantity. See letter from Angelo Evangelou, Attorney, CBOE, to Andrew Shipe, Attorney, Division of Market Regulation, Commission, dated November 29, 2000 ("Amendment No. 2").

<sup>6</sup> The text of the proposed rule change was previously published in the Notice. This publication of rule text corrects technical errors in the Notice relating to numbering, and reflects certain unrelated changes made to the CBOE Rule since the filing of the proposed rule change with the Commission. In addition, rule text has been revised to correct a typographical error in the original text. See telephone conversation between Angelo Evangelou, Attorney, CBOE, and Andrew Shipe,

#### Rule 6.8. RAES Operations

This Rule governs RAES operations in all classes of options, except to the extent otherwise expressly provided in this or other Rules in respect of specified classes of options.

(a)(i) Firms on the Exchange's Order Routing System ("ORS") will automatically be on the Exchange's Retail Automatic Execution System ("RAES") for purposes of routing small public customer market or marketable limit orders into the RAES system. Those orders which are eligible for routing to RAES may be subject to such contingencies as the appropriate Floor Procedure Committee ("FPC") shall approve. Public customer orders are orders for accounts other than accounts in which a member, non-member participant in a joint-venture with a member, or any non-member broker-dealer (including a foreign broker-dealer as defined in Rule 1.1 (xx)) has an interest. The appropriate Floor Procedure Committee ("FPC") shall determine the size of orders eligible for entry into RAES in accordance with paragraph (e) of this Rule. For purposes of determining what a small customer order is, a customer's order cannot be split up such that its parts are eligible for entry into RAES. Firms on ORS have the ability to go on and off ORS at will. Firms not on ORS that wish to participate will be given access to RAES from terminals at their booths on the floor.

(ii) When RAES receives an order, the system automatically will attach to the order its execution price, determined by the prevailing market quote at the time of the order's entry to the system, except as otherwise provided in *paragraph (b) of this Rule in instances where the best bid or offer on the Exchange's book constitutes the prevailing market best bid or offer, and as otherwise provided in Interpretation and Policy .02* under this Rule 6.8 in respect of multiply-traded options. A buy order will pay the offer, a sell order will sell at the bid. A Market-Maker logged on to participate in RAES (a "Participating Market-maker") will be designated as contra-broker on the trade. A trade executed on RAES at an erroneous quote should be treated as a trade reported at an erroneous price and adjusted to reflect the accurate market after receiving a Floor Official's approval.

(b) *When the best bid or offer on the Exchange's book constitutes the best bid or offer on the Exchange and is for a size less than the RAES order eligibility size for that class, such fact shall be*

Attorney, Division of Market Regulation, Commission, January 8, 2001.