ensure that the storage vessel affected by the NSPS continues to operate the control equipment in a manner that helps achieve compliance with the NSPS.

Information is recorded in sufficient detail to enable owners or operators to demonstrate the means of complying with the applicable standards. Under this standard, the data collected by the affected owner/operator is retained at the facility for a minimum of two years and made available to the Administrator either on request or by inspection.

The information generated by the recordkeeping and reporting requirements are used by the Agency to ensure that facilities affected by the NSPS continue to operate in compliance with the NSPS.

The information collected from the recordkeeping and reporting requirements is also used for targeting inspections, and is of sufficient quality to be used as evidence in court. Collection of this information is authorized at 40 CFR 60.7 and 60.110b. Any information submitted to the Agency, for which a claim of confidentiality is made, will be safeguarded according to the Agency policies set forth in Title 40, Chapter 1, Part 2, Subpart B—Confidentiality of Business Information (see 40 CFR § 2: 41 FR 36902, September 1, 1976; amended by 43 FR 40000, September 8, 1978; 43 FR 42251, September 20, 1978; 44 FR 17674, March 23, 1979). An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

Burden: For each respondent, it is estimated 139 hours are devoted to recording information and inspecting storage vessels subject to this NSPS. The frequency of reporting is approxiamately twice a year. The estimated number of respondents is 900. The estimated yearly cost per respondent for operations and maintenance is \$4,907. The average initial capital cost is \$20,000 for emission control devices, i.e., internal or external floating roof or closed vent system.

Dated: December 13, 2000.

Michael Stahl,

Acting Director, Office of Compliance, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance. [FR Doc. 00–32669 Filed 12–21–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6613-8]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed December 11, 2000 Through December 15, 2000 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 000447, DRAFT EIS, HUD, NY, City of Yonkers, Construction of a 524 Units of Mixed-Income Housing at 1105–1135 Warburton Avenue, River Club Apartment Complex, Westchester County, NY, Due: February 05, 2001, Contact: Lee Ellman (914) 377–6557.

EIS No. 000448, DRAFT EIS, HUD, CA, North Hollywood Arts and Entertainment District Project, Construction and Operation, North Hollywood Redevelopment Project, City of Los Angeles, CA, Due: February 05, 2001, Contact: Tony Kochinas (213) 847–4307.

EIS No. 000449, FINAL EIS, COE, NC, Randleman Lake and Dam Project, Construction, Piedmont Triad Regional Water Authority (PTRWA), Deep River, Guilford and Randolph Counties, NC, Due: January 22, 2001, Contact: John C. Meshaw (910) 251– 4175.

EIS No. 000450, FINAL EIS, AFS, TX, Texas Blowdown Reforestation Project, Implementation, National Forests and Grasslands in Texas, Angeline and Sabine National Forests, San Augustine and Shelby Counties, TX, Due: January 22, 2001, Contact: Keith Baker (936) 344–6205.

EIS No. 000451, DRAFT EIS, DOE, TN, Programmatic EIS—Oak Ridge Y–12 Plant Mission, Processing and Storage Highly Enriched Uranium, U.S. Nuclear Weapons Stockpile, Anderson County, TN, Due: February 05, 2001, Contact: Gary S. Hartman (865) 576–0273.

EIS No. 000452, DRAFT EIS, AFS, SD, Jasper Fires Value Recovery Area Project, Implementation, Revised Forest Plan for the Black Hills National Forest, Hell Canyon and Mystic Ranger District, Custer and Pennington Counties, SD, Due: February 05, 2001, Contact: Alice Allen (605) 673–4853.

EIS No. 000453, FINAL EIS, AFS, PA, East Side Project, Improvements to Timber Management, Transportation System Development and Wildlife Habitat, From Existing Condition (EC) to Desired Future Condition (DFC), Allegheny National Forest, Bradford and Marienville District, Elk, Forest, McKean and Warren Counties, PA, Due: January 22, 2001, Contact: Carl Leland (814) 776–6172.

EIS No. 000454, FINAL EIS, UAF, WY, F.E. Warren Air Force Base Deactivation and Dismantlement of the Peacekeeper Missile System, To Comply with the Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty (START), Laramie, Platte and Goshen Counties, WY, Due: January 22, 2001, Contact: Jonathan D. Farthing (210) 536–3069.

EIS No. 000455, FINAL EIS, AFS, MT, Ashland Post-Fire Project, Proposal to Implement Restoration Activities to Maintain Watershed, Custer National Forest, Powder River and Rosebud Counties, MT, Due: January 22, 2001, Contact: Kim Reid (406) 784–2344.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 000351, FINAL EIS, NPS, MN, WI, Lower Saint Croix National Scenic Riverway Cooperative Management Plan, Implementation, MN and WI, Due: January 31, 2000, Contact: Michael Madell (608) 441–5600. Revision of FR notice published on 10/20/2000: CEQ Comment Date has been Extended from 11/20/2000 to 01/31/2001.

EIS No. 000443, FINAL EIS, DOD, AK, ND, AS, National Missile Defense (NMD) Deployment System, Analysis of Possible Deployment Sites: AK, AS and ND, Due: January 16, 2001, Contact: Julia Hudson (256) 955–4822. Published FR 12–15–00 Correction to Title

Dated: December 19, 2000.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Divison, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00–32770 Filed 12–21–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6613-9]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared December 4, 2000 Through December 8, 2000 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An

explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-L67039-ID Rating EO2, El Luky Duk Gold Suction Dredging, Proposal to Mine Gold, Planof-Operation, Implementation, Nez Perce National Forest, Red River Ranger District, ID.

Summary

EPA expressed objections due to the potential of the project to further degrade water quality and fish habitat in the South Fork Clearwater River, a listed water body due to sediment, temperature, and habitat alteration. EPA recommend that the EIS better quantify the risk to water quality, listed species, and their habitats from proposed suction dredging and demonstrate that water quality standards (e.g., turbidity) could be met at "end-of-pipe" allowing for the issuance of an NPDES permit.

ERP No. D–JUS–L81012–WA Rating EC2, Tacoma/Seattle Area Detention Center, Construction and Leasing, Pierce County, WA.

Summary

EPA expressed concerns regarding the manner in which the proposed action alternatives were evaluated, how stormwater runoff would be managed, the lack of a clear strategy for site remediation, and the failure to initiate consultations with potentially affected Native American Tribes. EPA requested that the EIS be revised to better differentiate the potential effects of the action alternatives, clarify how stormwater discharges would be controlled, explain the relationship of hazardous waste cleanup to the site selection process, and reflect that appropriate consultations with the Nisqually and Puyallup Tribes have taken place.

ERP No. D-NPS-K61151-CA Rating LO, Lassen Volcanic National Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Lassen, Plumas, Shasta and Tehama Counties, CA.

Summary

EPA expressed no objection to the proposed action. However, EPA requested the inclusion of a cumulative impacts analysis and additional information on proposed actions and environmental impacts.

ERP No. D-UAF-G11039-TX Rating LO, Brooks City Base Project, To Improve Mission Effectiveness and Reduce Cost of Quality Installation Support, Implementation, Brooks Air Force Base, Bexar County, TX.

Summary

EPA expressed no objection to the lead agency's proposed action. EPA requested some additional information to be included in the FEIS to strengthen the document.

ERP No. DR-NPS-K65212-CA Rating LO, Mojave National Preserve General Management Plan, Revised and New Alternatives the Proposed Management Approach and Two Alternatives for the Management of the 1.6 Million-Acre, Implementation, San Bernardio County, CA.

Summary

While EPA had no objection to the proposed action, EPA did request that the Final EIS include a more thorough description of the impacts to water resources and projections of future visitor use and traffic levels.

ERP No. DS-FTA-L40210-WA Rating NS, Central Link Light Rail Transit Project, (Sound Transit), Construction and Operation, Alternative Route Considered, Tukwila Freeway Route, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Cities of Tukwila, SeaTac, Seattle, King County, WA.

Summary

EPA Region 10 used a screening tool to conduct a limited review of this action. Based upon this screen, EPA does not foresee having any environmental objections to the proposed project. Therefore, EPA did not conduct a detailed review.

ERP No. DS-NPS-K65209-00 Rating LO, Death Valley National Park General Management Plan, Proposed Management Approach and Two Alternatives for the Management of the 3.3 Million Acres, Implementation, Mojave Desert, Inyo and San Bernardino Counties, CA and Nye and Esmeralda Counties, NV.

Summary

While EPA had no objection to the proposed action, EPA did request that the Final EIS include a comparison of current and expected levels of visitor activity and how changes in visitor use will be accommodated in area specific plans.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–AFS–J67028–MT Rocky Mountain Front Mineral Withdrawal, Implementation, Helena and Lewis and Clark National Forests, Great Falls, MT.

Summary:

EPA had no objection with the proposed action.

ERP No. F-AFS-L65362-ID West Mountain North Project, Timber Harvest, Road Construction and Reconstruction), Boise National Forest, Cascade Ranger District, Valley County, ID.

Summary

No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-COE-H36109-MO Chesterfield Valley Flood Control Study, Improvement Flood Protection, City of Chesterfield, St. Louis County, MO.

Summary

EPA continues to express objections over three significant issues, cumulative impacts, floodplain management issues, and project alternatives.

Dated: December 19, 2000.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00–32771 Filed 12–21–00; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 6560–50–U$

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPPTS-00303; FRL-6762-4]

National Advisory Committee for Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Hazardous Substances; Notice of Public Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: A meeting of the National Advisory Committee for Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Hazardous Substances (NAC/AEGL Committee) will be held on January 8-10, 2001, in Washington, DC. At this meeting, the NAC/AEGL Committee will address, as time permits, the various aspects of the acute toxicity and the development of Acute Exposure Guideline Levels (AEGLs) for the following chemicals: Carbon monoxide, chlorine trifluoride, chloroacetic acid, ethyleneimine, hydrogen cyanide, hydrogen sulfide, isobutyronitrile, methacrylonitrile, phenol, phosgene, phosphine, propionitrile, xylenes, propyleneimine, and propylene oxide and sulfur mustard.

DATES: A meeting of the NAC/AEGL Committee will be held from 10:00 a.m. to 5 p.m. on January 8, 2001; from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on January 9, 2001 and from 8:30 a.m. to noon on January 10, 2001.