

V. Program: Role of Museums and Libraries in Serving Diverse Communities

Dr. Robert S. Martin, Director IMLS  
Mr. Edwin Rigaud, President,  
National Underground Railroad and Freedom Center, Cincinnati, OH  
and member National Museum and Library Services Board

Ms. Alyce Sadongei (Kiowa/Tohono O'odham), Assistant Curator for Native American Relations, Arizona State Museum, University of Arizona

Ms. Susan Kent, Director and Chief Executive of The Branch Libraries, New York Public Library

VI. Other Business

VII. Adjourn

**ADDRESSES:** The Hotel Washington, 515 15th Street, NW., Washington, DC, (202) 638-5900.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elizabeth Lyons, Special Assistant to the Director, Institute of Museum and Library Services, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Room 510, Washington, DC 20506, (202) 606-4649.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The National Museum and Library Services Board is established under the Museum and Library Services Act, 20 U.S.C. Section 9101 *et seq.* The Board advises the Director of the Institute on general policies with respect to the duties, powers, and authorities related to Museum and Library Services.

The executive session from 9 a.m. to 10:30 a.m. on Thursday, October 7, 2004 will be closed pursuant to subsections (c)(4) and (c)(6) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code because the Board will consider information that may disclose: Trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential; and information of a personal nature the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The meetings from 11 a.m. until 12:30 p.m. on Thursday, October 7, 2004 and the meeting from 9 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. on Friday, October 8, 2004 are open to the public. If you need special accommodations due to a disability, please contact: Institute of Museum and Library Services, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506, (202) 606-8536, TDD (202) 606-8636 at least seven (7) days prior to the meeting date.

Dated: September 23, 2004.

**Teresa LaHaie,**

*Administrative Officer, National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities, Institute of Museum and Library Services.*

[FR Doc. 04-21828 Filed 9-24-04; 2:11 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7036-01-M**

**NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES**

**SES Performance Review Board**

**AGENCY:** The National Endowment for the Humanities.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the membership of the Performance Review Board of the National Endowment for the Humanities.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Timothy G. Connelly, Director of Human Resources, National Endowment for the Humanities, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506; telephone (202) 606-8415.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** 5 U.S.C. 3393 and 4314 (c) (1) through (5) require each agency to establish, in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Office of Personnel Management, both an executive resources board and a performance review board for SES. The National Endowment for the Humanities has a combined Board, which is referred to as the Executive Resources and Performance Review Board (ERPRB).

Effective October 1, 2004, the members of the National Endowment for the Humanities SES Performance Review Board selected to serve are Jeffrey Thomas, Assistant Chairman for Planning and Operations—Board Chairman, Howard Dickman, Assistant Chairman for Programs, Stephen Ross, Director Office of Challenge Grants and Candace Katz, Deputy Director, President's Committee on the Arts and Humanities. All members will serve "until replaced."

**Bruce Cole,**  
*Chairman.*

[FR Doc. 04-21693 Filed 9-27-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7536-01-P**

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**[Docket Nos. 50-335 and 50-389]**

**Florida Power and Light Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-67 and NPF-16 issued to Florida Power and Light Company for operation of the St. Lucie Plant, Unit

Nos. 1 and 2, located in St. Lucie County, Florida.

The proposed amendments would allow the licensee to revise the St. Lucie Units 1 and 2 Technical Specifications to eliminate certain pressure sensor response time testing (RTT) requirements, as discussed in the Combustion Engineering Owners Group (CEOG) Topical Report NPSD-1167, Revision 2, "Elimination of Pressure Sensor Response Time Testing Requirements," which was approved by the NRC staff by letters dated July 24, 2000, and December 5, 2000. Specifically, these amendments revise the St. Lucie Units 1 and 2 Technical Specification Definitions 1.12, "Engineered Safety Features Response Time," and 1.26, "Reactor Protection System Response Time."

Before issuance of the proposed license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment requests involve no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), Section 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendments would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendments would not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes allow the elimination of pressure sensor response time testing. Response time testing is not an initiator of any accident previously evaluated. Consequently, the probability of an accident previously evaluated is not significantly increased. The allocated pressure sensor response times allowed in lieu of measurement have been determined to adequately represent the response time of the components such that the safety systems utilizing those components will continue to perform their accident mitigation function as assumed in the safety analysis. Therefore, the consequences of an accident previously evaluated are not significantly increased by this change. Therefore, this change does not

involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendments would not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes allow the elimination of pressure sensor response time testing. The proposed change does not involve a physical alteration of the plant (no new or different type of equipment will be installed) or a change in the methods governing normal plant operation. Thus, this change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. Operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendments would not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The proposed change allows the elimination of pressure sensor response time testing. EPRI [Energy Power Research Institute] Report NP-7243, "Investigation of Response Time Testing Requirements," and CEOG Topical Report NPSD-1167, "Elimination of Pressure Sensor Response Time Testing Requirements," demonstrate that elimination of RTT does not adversely affect the ability to monitor instrument performance and capability to meet design basis requirements. The proposed change also allows the use of allocated response times for certain pressure sensors in lieu of measurement of those response times. These EPRI and CEOG Reports also determined that allocated response times may be used with no reduction in the margin of safety provided by the safety systems supported by those pressure sensors. Therefore, this change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment requests involve no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendments until the expiration of 60 days after the date of publication of this notice. The Commission may issue the license amendments before expiration of the 60-day period provided that its final determination is that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration. In addition, the Commission may issue the amendments prior to the expiration of the 30-day comment period should circumstances change during the 30-day comment

period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example in derating or shutdown of the facility. Should the Commission take action prior to the expiration of either the comment period or the notice period, it will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of issuance. Should the Commission make a final No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, any hearing will take place after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D59, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

Within 60 days after the date of publication of this notice, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.309, which is available at the Commission's PDR, located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System's (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/cfr/>. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or a presiding officer designated by the Commission or by the Chief Administrative Judge of the

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the Chief Administrative Judge of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of a hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.309, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following general requirements: (1) The name, address and telephone number of the requestor or petitioner; (2) the nature of the requestor's/petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (3) the nature and extent of the requestor's/petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (4) the possible effect of any decision or order which may be entered in the proceeding on the requestor's/petitioner's interest. The petition must also identify the specific contentions which the petitioner/requestor seeks to have litigated at the proceeding.

Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner/requestor shall provide a brief explanation of the bases for the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner/requestor must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. The petition must include sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendments under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner/requestor who fails to satisfy these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final

determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held. If the final determination is that the amendment requests involve no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendments and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendments. If the final determination is that the amendment requests involve a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendments.

Nontimely requests and/or petitions and contentions will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission or the presiding officer of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition, request and/or the contentions should be granted based on a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.309(a)(1)(i)-(viii).

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed by: (1) First class mail addressed to the Office of the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff; (2) courier, express mail, and expedited delivery services: Office of the Secretary, Sixteenth Floor, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, 20852, Attention: Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff; (3) E-mail addressed to the Office of the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, [hearingdocket@nrc.gov](mailto:hearingdocket@nrc.gov); or (4) facsimile transmission addressed to the Office of the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff at (301) 415-1101, verification number is (301) 415-1966. A copy of the request for hearing and petition for leave to intervene should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and it is requested that copies be transmitted either by means of facsimile transmission to 301-415-3725 or by email to [OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov](mailto:OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov). A copy of the request for hearing and petition for leave to intervene should also be sent to M.S. Ross, Attorney, Florida Power & Light, P.O. Box 14000, Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420, attorney for the licensee.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendments dated November 21, 2003, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's PDR, located at

One White Flint North, File Public Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System's (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of September 2004.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Brendan T. Moroney,**

*Project Manager, Section 2, Project Directorate II, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 04-21652 Filed 9-27-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 30-5980 and 30-5982 and ASLBP No. 04-833-07-MLA]

### Safety Light Corporation; Establishment of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

Pursuant to delegation by the Commission dated December 29, 1972, published in the **Federal Register**, 37 FR 28710 (1972), and the Commission's regulations, see 10 CFR 2.104, 2.300, 2.303, 2.309, 2.311, 2.318, and 2.321, notice is hereby given that an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board is being established to preside over the following proceeding: Safety Light Corporation, Bloomsburg, Pennsylvania Site (Materials License Amendment).

This proceeding concerns a request for hearing submitted on August 30, 2004, by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection in response to a June 23, 2004 notice of opportunity for hearing regarding a proposed amendment to the 10 CFR Part 30 byproduct materials licenses of the Safety Light Corporation (SLC) that would (1) renew SLC's licenses to manufacture devices containing tritium at its Bloomsburg, Pennsylvania facility; and (2) authorize decommissioning of contaminated portions of that facility. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on June 30, 2004 (69 FR 39515).

The Board is comprised of the following administrative judges:

E. Roy Hawken, Chair, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.  
Ann M. Young, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Dr. Peter S. Lam, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

All correspondence, documents, and other materials shall be filed with the administrative judges in accordance with 10 CFR 2.302.

Issued at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of September 2004.

**G. Paul Bollwerk, III,**

*Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.*

[FR Doc. 04-21654 Filed 9-27-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Advisory Committee on the Medical Uses of Isotopes: Meeting Notice

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission will convene an open session teleconference meeting of the Advisory Committee on the Medical Uses of Isotopes (ACMUI) on October 5, 2004.

**PURPOSE:** This meeting will be held to discuss the working group's recommendations on a possible amendment of the current 10 CFR Part 35, to include adding required hours of didactic training to sections 35.55, 35.190, 35.390, and 35.290 for the alternate pathway. During this meeting, NRC staff, the ACMUI, and Agreement State personnel will engage in discussions pertaining to NRC staff's recommendations.

**DATE AND TIME FOR MEETING:** The teleconference meeting will be held on Tuesday, October 5, 2004, from 1 p.m. to 3 p.m.

**PUBLIC PARTICIPATION:** Any member of the public who wishes to participate in the teleconference discussion may contact Angela R. McIntosh using the contact information below.

**ADDRESS FOR PUBLIC MEETINGS:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Two White Flint North Building, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-2738.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Angela R. McIntosh, telephone (301) 415-5030; e-mail [arm@nrc.gov](mailto:arm@nrc.gov) of the