

*Respondents/Affected Entities:* Mercury cell chlor-alkali plants.  
*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 9.  
*Frequency of Response:* Occasionally and semiannually.

*Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:* 14,553.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost:* \$1,491,712, including \$1,417,912 in labor costs, \$0 in capital/startup costs, and \$73,800 in operation and maintenance (O&M) costs.

*Changes in the Estimates:* There is a decrease of 5 hours in the total estimated burden currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved ICR Burdens. This decrease is due to the adjustment of number of responses associated with the recordkeeping for mass of virgin mercury added to cells. The decrease in Agency burden resulted from an adjustment to the number of initial compliance status and performance test reports requiring review. Since these reports are only one-time burdens, and there are no new sources anticipated in the next three years, the only Agency activity associated with this ICR is to review the semi-annual compliance reports. The burden hours and dollars associated with reviewing the one-time activities were removed from the Agency burden.

Dated: January 15, 2010.

**John Moses,**

*Director, Collection Strategies Division.*

[FR Doc. 2010-1188 Filed 1-21-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OECA-2009-0428; FRL-9105-5; EPA ICR Number 2050.04, OMB Control Number 2060-0538]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to OMB for Review and Approval; Comment Request; NESHAP for Taconite Iron Ore Processing (Renewal)

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that an Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. This is a request to renew an existing approved collection. The ICR which is abstracted below describes the nature of the collection and the estimated burden and cost.

**DATES:** Additional comments may be submitted on or before February 22, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, referencing docket ID number EPA-HQ-OECA-2009-0428, to (1) EPA online using <http://www.regulations.gov> (our preferred method), or by e-mail to [docket.oeca@epa.gov](mailto:docket.oeca@epa.gov), or by mail to: EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Environmental Protection Agency, Enforcement and Compliance Docket and Information Center, mail code 28221T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460, and (2) OMB at: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Schaefer, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Sector Policies and Programs Division (D243-05), Measurement Policy Group, Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711; telephone number: (919) 541-0296; fax number: (919) 541-3207; e-mail address: [schaefer.john@epa.gov](mailto:schaefer.john@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** EPA has submitted the following ICR to OMB for review and approval according to the procedures prescribed in 5 CFR 1320.12. On July 8, 2009 (74 FR 32580), EPA sought comments on this ICR pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.8(d). EPA received no comments. Any additional comments on this ICR should be submitted to EPA and OMB within 30 days of this notice.

EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under docket ID number EPA-HQ-OECA-2009-0428, which is available for public viewing online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, in person viewing at the Enforcement and Compliance Docket in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the Enforcement and Compliance Docket is (202) 566-1752.

Use EPA's electronic docket and comment system at <http://www.regulations.gov>, to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the docket, and to access those documents in the docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "docket search," then key in the docket ID number identified above. Please note that EPA's policy is

that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing at <http://www.regulations.gov>, as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, Confidential Business Information (CBI), or other information whose public disclosure is restricted by statute. For further information about the electronic docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>.

**Title:** NESHAP for Taconite Iron Ore Processing (Renewal).

**ICR Numbers:** EPA ICR Number 2050.04, OMB Control Number 2060-0538.

**ICR Status:** This ICR is scheduled to expire on February 28, 2010. Under OMB regulations, the Agency may continue to conduct or sponsor the collection of information while this submission is pending at OMB. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in title 40 of the CFR, after appearing in the **Federal Register** when approved, are listed in 40 CFR part 9, and displayed either by publication in the **Federal Register** or by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers in certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9.

**Abstract:** The National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) were proposed on December 18, 2002 and promulgated on October 30, 2006. The affected entities are subject to the General Provisions of the NESHAP (40 CFR part 63, subpart A), and any changes, or additions, to the General Provisions specified at 40 CFR part 63, subpart RRRRR.

Owners or operators of the affected facilities must submit a one-time-only report of any physical or operational changes, initial performance tests, and periodic reports and results. Owners or operators are also required to maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. Reports, at a minimum, are required semiannually.

**Burden Statement:** The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 22.6 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain,

or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

*Respondents/Affected Entities:*

Taconite iron ore processing plants.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 8.

*Frequency of Response:* Initially, occasionally, and semiannually.

*Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:* 651.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost:*

\$1,012,546, which includes \$754,946 in labor costs, no capital/startup costs, and \$257,600 in operation and maintenance (O&M) costs.

*Changes in the Estimates:* There is an increase of 243 hours in the total estimated burden currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved ICR Burdens. This increase is not due to any program changes. The change in the burden and cost estimates occurred due to an incorrect calculation of the number of responses in the previous ICR. The existing eight respondents are subject to performance testing twice every five years, or 3.2 respondents per year and was changed from 1.6 respondents per year. The increase in labor costs was also due to an increase in labor rates.

Dated: January 15, 2010.

**John Moses,**

Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 2010-1189 Filed 1-21-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8987-6]

### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed

to the Office of Federal Activities at 202-564-7146 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated July 17, 2009 (74 FR 34754).

#### Notice

In accordance with Section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to make its comments on EISs issued by other Federal agencies public. Historically, EPA has met this mandate by publishing weekly notices of availability of EPA comments, which includes a brief summary of EPA's comment letters, in the **Federal Register**. Since February 2008, EPA has been including its comment letters on EISs on its Web site at: <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/eisdata.html>. Including the entire EIS comment letters on the Web site satisfies the Section 309(a) requirement to make EPA's comments on EISs available to the public. Accordingly, after March 31, 2010, EPA will discontinue the publication of this notice of availability of EPA comments in the **Federal Register**.

#### Draft EISs

*EIS No. 20090381, ERP No. D-IBR-K65382-CA*, New Melones Lakes Area Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Tuolumne and Calaveras Counties, CA.

*Summary:* While EPA has no objections to the project as proposed, we requested additional information on impervious surfaces, mine-based pollution and working with Lower Stanislaus River stakeholders to address downstream water quality impairment, and funding. Rating LO.

*EIS No. 20090403, ERP No. D-IBW-G29001-TX*, Presidio Flood Control Project, Flood Control Improvements and Partial Levee Relocation, Presidio, TX.

*Summary:* EPA does not object to the proposed action. Rating LO.

*EIS No. 20090405, ERP No. D-AFS-J65550-SD*, Norbeck Wildlife Project, Proposing to Manage Vegetation to Benefit Game Animals and Bird, Black Hills National Forest, Custer and Pennington Counties, SD.

*Summary:* EPA has environmental concerns about this project's potential air quality impacts, and recommended additional information and analysis regarding potential air quality impacts and mitigation be included in the FEIS. Rating EC1.

*EIS No. 20090408, ERP No. D-AFS-J65551-CO*, Rio de los Pinos

Vegetation Management Project, Proposes to Salvage Engelmann Spruce Trees that have been Killed by, or are Infested with, Spruce Beetle, Conejos Peak Ranger District, Rio Grande National Forest, Conejos, Rio Grande and Archuleta Counties, CO.

*Summary:* EPA does not object to the proposed project. Rating LO.

#### Final EISs

*EIS No. 20090383, ERP No. F-BLM-K67058-NV*, Bald Mountain Mine North Operations Area Project, Proposes to Expand Current Mining Operations at several Existing Pits, Rock Disposal Areas, Heap Leach Pads, Processing Facilities, and Interpit Area, Combining the Bald Mountain Mine Plan of Operations Boundary and the Mooney Basin Operation Area Boundary, White Pine County, NV.

*Summary:* EPA continues to have environmental concerns about ground and surface water impacts from contaminated leachate. EPA requested that the ROD include an Adaptive Waste Rock Management Plan and a Water Monitoring and Mitigation Plan.

*EIS No. 20090409, ERP No. F-FHW-K40264-CA*, Partially Revised Tier 1—Placer Parkway Corridor Preservation Project, Select and Preserve a Corridor for the Future Construction from CA-70/99 to CA 65, Placer and Sutter Counties, CA.

*Summary:* EPA continues to have environmental concerns about hydrology, floodplain, and air quality impacts.

*EIS No. 20090412, ERP No. F-AFS-K65358-CA*, Stanislaus National Forest Motorized Travel Management (17305) Plan, Implementation, Stanislaus National Forest, CA.

*Summary:* EPA continues to have environmental concerns about the travel management planning process, the conversion of closed routes to open, and the enforceability of the new transportation system. In addition, EPA is also concerned that the decision to eliminate wet weather closures and reduce season-of-use limitations would impact forest resources.

*EIS No. 20090413, ERP No. F-AFS-K65353-NV*, Martin Basin Rangeland Project, Reauthorizing Grazing on Eight Existing Cattle and Horse Allotments: Bradshaw, Buffalo, Buttermilk, Granite Peak, Indian, Martin Basin, Rebel Creek, and West Side Flat Creek, Santa Rosa Ranger District, Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest, NV.