Filed Date: 3/14/12.

Accession Number: 20120314–5076. Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 4/4/12.

Docket Numbers: ER12–1261–000. Applicants: Cleco Power LLC.

Description: Baseline of RS 11, 12, 17 and SA 93 & 110 to be effective 3/14/

2012.

Filed Date: 3/14/12.

Accession Number: 20120314–5063. Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 4/4/12. Docket Numbers: ER12–1262–000. Applicants: Cleco Power LLC.

Description: Moving RS10 to Tariff ID 61 to be effective 3/14/2012.

Filed Date: 3/14/12.

Accession Number: 20120314–5089. Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 4/4/12.

Docket Numbers: ER12–1263–000. Applicants: Cleco Power LLC.

Description: Cancellation of tariff ID—RS 10 moved to Tariff ID 61 to be effective 3/14/2012.

Filed Date: 3/14/12.

Accession Number: 20120314-5092. Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 4/4/12.

Docket Numbers: ER12–1264–000. Applicants: Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

Description: Gates Solar Station LGIA WDT SA No. 87 to be effective 3/15/2012.

Filed Date: 3/14/12.

Accession Number: 20120314–5105. Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 4/4/12.

Docket Numbers: ER12–1265–000. Applicants: Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.

Description: 03–14–12 Order 719 Compliance to be effective 6/12/2012.

Filed Date: 3/14/12.

Accession Number: 20120314–5106. *Comments Due:* 5 p.m. ET 4/4/12.

Docket Numbers: ER12–1266–000.

Applicants: Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. Description: 03–14–12 Order 745

Compliance to be effective 6/12/2012.

Filed Date: 3/14/12.

Accession Number: 20120314–5108. Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 4/4/12.

Docket Numbers: ER12–1267–000. Applicants: Pacific Gas and Electric

Description: Pacific Gas and Electric Company submits Notice of

Termination.

Filed Date: 3/14/12.

Accession Number: 20120314-5112. Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 4/4/12.

Docket Numbers: ER12–1268–000. Applicants: Neptune Regional

Transmission System, LLC.

Description: Request for Waiver of Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC.

Filed Date: 3/14/12.

Accession Number: 20120314–5114. Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 4/4/12.

The filings are accessible in the Commission's eLibrary system by clicking on the links or querying the docket number.

Any person desiring to intervene or protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Regulations (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date. Protests may be considered, but intervention is necessary to become a party to the proceeding.

eFiling is encouraged. More detailed information relating to filing requirements, interventions, protests, service, and qualifying facilities filings can be found at: http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling/filing-req.pdf. For other information, call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Dated: March 15, 2012.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–6881 Filed 3–21–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. IN12-7-000]

Constellation Energy Commodities Group, Inc.; Notice of Designation of Certain Commission Personnel as Non-Decisional

Commission staff members Sandra Waldstein and Joyce Davidson, both of the Office of External Affairs, are assigned to facilitate communication with affected states. The affected states, in New York Independent System Operator, Inc., ISO New England Inc., and PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., are eligible to make requests for the apportionment of a fund the Commission established in a March 9, 2012 order in the above-referenced docket.

As "non-decisional" staff, Ms. Waldstein and Ms. Davidson will not participate in an advisory capacity in the Commission's review of any future filings in the above-referenced docket, including offers of settlement or settlement agreements.

Different Commission "advisory staff" will be assigned to review and process subsequent filings that are made in the above-referenced docket, including any offer of settlement or settlement agreement. Non-decisional staff and

advisory staff are prohibited from subsequent communications with one another concerning matters in the above-referenced docket.

Dated: March 16, 2012.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-6883 Filed 3-21-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Don Pedro Hydroelectric Project Project No. 2299–075]

Turlock Irrigation District, & Modesto Irrigation District; Notice of Dispute Resolution Process Schedule, Panel, Technical Conference, and Modified Filing Times for Panel Recommendations and Dispute Determination

On January 11, 2012, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) and the California Water Resources Control Board (Water Board) (collectively, the Agencies), filed a Notice of Study Dispute to initiate the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) formal study dispute resolution process, pursuant to 18 CFR 5.14, in the relicensing proceeding for the Don Pedro Hydroelectric Project No. 2299-075.1 Turlock Irrigation District and the Modesto Irrigation District (collectively, the Districts), are co-licensees for the Don Pedro Hydroelectric Project.

In its Notice of Study Dispute, NMFS disputes the December 22, 2011 Study Plan Determination's treatment of eight of its June 10, 2011 study requests.

NMFS identified study requests 1–4 and 7–9 as being in dispute. Specifically, the disputed study requests are: Request 1—Effects of the Project and Related LaGrange Complex Facilities on Anadromous Fish; Request 2—Effects of the Project and Related Facilities Evaluated Through an Operations Model; Request 3—Effects of the Project

¹The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) also requested dispute resolution on two studies requested by the California Department of Fish and Game (Cal Fish and Game) and one study requested by NMFS. Additionally, NMFS requested dispute resolution on one of the Cal Fish and Game studies. In a March 9, 2012, letter the Director of the Office of Energy Projects determined that the Cal Fish and Game's studies would not be referred to dispute resolution because a disputing agency can only dispute a study request that it has made itself. The dispute regarding the study requested by NMFS and endorsed by FWS will go forward as a NMFS study dispute.

and Related Activities on Fish Passage for Anadromous Fish; Request 4— Effects of the Project and Related Facilities Hydrology for Anadromous Fish: Magnitude, Timing, Duration, and Rate of Change; Request 7—Evaluation of the Upper Tuolumne Habitats for Anadromous Fish; Request 8—Salmon and Steelhead Full Life-Cycle Population Models; and Request 9— Effects of the Project and Related Facilities on Ecosystem/Marine-Derived Nutrients for Anadromous Fish.

The Water Board's Notice of Study Dispute seeks to have the Districts' Study W&AR-2—Project Operations/ Water Balance Model modified to address constraints in timing and magnitude of flows related to water rights.

On February 10, 2012, Commission staff designated Mr. Stephen Bowler to serve as the Commission staff Dispute Resolution Panel (Panel) chair. On March 2, 2012, NMFS designated Mr. David White as the Agency Dispute Resolution Panel member.² From an established list of potential third party panelists, Mr. Bowler and Mr. White selected Mr. Richard Craven and requested that he serve on the Panel. Mr. Craven agreed to serve and the Panel convened on March 10, 2012. Mr. Craven's statement certifying that he has no conflict of interest, which also summarizes his qualifications, has been filed into the record. None of the three panelists have been involved previously in the Don Pedro Hydroelectric Project relicensing proceeding.

By letter filed March 15, 2012, the Panel requested that the Director of the Office of Energy Projects (Director) modify the timeframe for submission of the Panel's recommendations from 50 to 60 days from the start of the dispute resolution process, specifically from April 24, 2012 to May 4, 2012. The Panel also recommended the modification of the deadline for the Director's determination on the study dispute from 70 to 80 days from the start of the dispute resolution process, specifically from May 14, 2012 to May 24, 2012. By this notice, the Director modifies the schedule as recommended and requested by the Panel under his authority provided in 18 CFR 5.29(f)(2) of the Commission's regulations. The resulting process plan for dispute resolution is as follows:

Responsible party	Pre-filing milestone	Date	FERC regulation
Agencies	Designation of Agency Panelist (Actual)	3/2/2012	5.14(d)(2).
Agencies	Designation of Agency Panelist (Deadline) (Start of Dispute Resolution Process).	3/5/2012	, , , ,
Dispute Panel	Third Dispute Panel Member Selected	3/5/2012	5.14(d)(3).
Dispute Panel	Dispute Resolution Panel Convened	3/12/2012	5.14(d).
FERC	Notice of Dispute Resolution Panel Technical Conference	3/16/2012	Guidance.
Districts	Applicant Comments on Study Disputes Due	3/28/2012	5.14(i).
Dispute Panel	Dispute Resolution Panel Technical Conference	4/17/2012	5.14(j).
Dispute Panel	Dispute Resolution Panel Findings Issued	5/4/2012	5.14(k).
FERC	Director's Study Dispute Determination	5/24/2012	5.14(l).

The Panel will hold an all-day technical conference on the disputed studies on April 17, 2012. The conference will be held in Sacramento, CA. Further details will be supplied in a future notice. The purpose of the technical conference is for the disputing agencies, the applicant, and the Commission to provide the Panel with additional information necessary to evaluate the disputed studies. All local, state, and federal agencies, Indian tribes, and other interested parties are invited to attend the meeting as observers. The Panel may also request information or clarification on written submissions as necessary to understand the matters in dispute. The Panel will limit all input that it receives to the specific studies or information in dispute and will focus on the applicability of such studies or information to the study criteria stipulated in 18 CFR 5.9(b). If the number of participants wishing to speak creates time constraints, the Panel may, at its discretion, limit the speaking time for each participant.

For more information, please contact Stephen Bowler, the Dispute Resolution Panel Chair, at *stephen.bowler@ferc.gov* or 202–502–6861.

Dated: March 16, 2012. Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-6880 Filed 3-21-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OECA-2011-0824; FRL-9513-7]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to OMB for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Pesticide Registration Application, Notification and Report for Pesticide Producing Establishments (Renewal)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

one business day ahead of the deadline established by the Commission of March 5, 2012. Because of the delay in establishing an eligible agency panel member, March 5, 2012 will serve as the date of initiation of the dispute resolution process rather SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this document announces that an Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. This is a request to renew an existing approved collection. The ICR, which is abstracted below, describes the nature of the information collection and its estimated burden and cost.

DATES: Additional comments may be submitted on or before April 23, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, referencing Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OECA-2011-0824 to: (1) EPA online using http://www.regulations.gov (our preferred method), or by email to docket.oeca@epa.gov, or by mail to: Enforcement and Compliance Docket and Information Center (ECDIC), Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mail code: 2201T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460; and (2) OMB at: Office of Information and Regulatory

than the dispute notice date of January 11, 2011. Mr. White was designated to represent the Water Board as well as NMFS.

² Mr. White's designation followed two previous designations of NMFS staff members who were determined to have prior involvement in the Don Pedro proceeding and were deemed ineligible to serve on the Panel. Mr. White's designation came