Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–11590 Filed 5–8–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

[Docket Nos. EL00-95-000, EL00-98-000, ER02-1656-000]

San Diego Gas & Electric Company,
Complainant, v. Sellers of Energy and
Ancillary Services Into Markets
Operated by the California
Independent System Operator and the
California Power Exchange,
Respondents; Investigation of
Practices of the California;
Independent System Operator and the
California Power Exchange; California
Independent System Operator (MDO2);
Amended Notice of Technical
Conference and Agenda

May 3, 2002

The Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission Staff is convening a
technical conference to facilitate
continued discussions between the
California Independent System Operator
Corporation (CAISO), market
participants, state agencies and other
interested participants on the
development of a revised market design
for the CAISO. Attached is the proposed
agenda for the conference. This
amended notice adds Docket

No. ER02–1656–000. The conference will held in San Francisco, California, at the Renaissance Parc 55 Hotel, 55 Cyril Magnin Street, San Francisco, CA, on May 9 and 10, 2002, beginning at 9 a.m.

For additional information concerning the conference, interested persons may contact Robert Pease at (202) 208–0131 or by electronic mail at "robert.pease@ferc.gov." No telephone communication bridge will be provided at this technical conference.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

Discussion Issues for FERC Technical Conference on California Market Design May 9–10, 2002.

- 1. Implementation/Redesign of Markets
 - a. Day-Ahead Market
 - i. Price Certainty
 - ii. Date for Implementation
 - iii.Market Separation
 - b. Hourly Market
 - i. Price Čertainty
 - ii. Date for Implementation

- c. 10—Minute Market
- i. Price Certainty
- 2. Feasible Schedules
 - a. Ramping Constraints
 - b. Transmission Constraintsc. Balanced Schedules
- 3. Intrazonal Congestion
- 4. Capacity Obligations
 - a. Presentation by Inter-Agency Task Force on AFEC
 - b. Other Presentations
- 5. Process for Incorporating Stakeholder Input

[FR Doc. 02–11591 Filed 5–8–02; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP02-1-001]

Southern Natural Gas Company; Notice of Amendment

May 3, 2002.

Take notice that on April 29, 2002, Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern), Post Office Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202-2563, filed in Docket No. CP02-1-001, an amendment to its application for abandonment authorization and for a certificate of public convenience and necessity filed on October 1, 2001 in Docket No. CP02-1-000 to modify certain of the pipeline, compression, measurement, interconnection and appurtenant facilities proposed therein, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.gov using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket #" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

Southern states that two of the shippers, Calpine Energy Services, L.P. and SCANA Resources, Inc., participating in the South System Expansion II Project have advised Southern that because of changes in project schedules these shippers will not need their transportation services on the dates indicated in the application. Southern states that it has agreed to assist these shippers in coordinating the availability of Transportation Demands with their revised project schedules and is proposing to reduce the size of the expansion project as well as rescheduling the construction of certain segments of the expansion project. To reflect the reduction in the quantity of

firm transportation services to be included in the expansion project from 359,891 Mcf per day to 329,891 Mcf per day, Southern states that it has reduced the miles of pipeline loop as proposed in its application by 9.1 miles and the amount of compression horsepower by 12,270 horsepower.

Southern further states that it is proposing two other changes to certain of the compression and measurement facilities that are unrelated to the above changes in the shippers' project schedules. Southern states that the proposed expansion of its pipeline system will now consist of 114.2 miles of pipeline loop, 64,660 horsepower of compression, the rescheduling and rerating of certain existing compression units, the resizing of the cylinders on certain existing compression units, and the installation of certain interconnection and measurement facilities. Southern states that there are no changes to the compressor units it is proposing to abandon and that the proposed in-service dates for Phase 1 and Phase 2 remain the same, June 1, 2003 and May 1, 2004 respectively. Finally, Southern states that the total cost of the revised facilities is estimated to be \$229.1 million, which is \$16.4 million less than the estimated cost of the project as originally filed.

Any questions concerning this application may be directed to R. David Hendrickson, Associate General Counsel, Southern Natural Gas Company, Post Office Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202–2563, at (205) 325–7114 or fax (205) 327–2253.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before May 24, 2002, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments

considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–11582 Filed 5–8–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6717–01–P**

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP02-309-000]

Sunoco, Inc. (R&M), Complainant, v. Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation, Respondent; Notice of Complaint

May 3, 2002.

Take notice that on May 2, 2002, pursuant to Rule 206 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), 18 CFR 385.206, Sunoco, Inc. (R&M) (Sunoco) tendered for filing a Complaint against Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco).

Sunoco alleges that Transco has indicated its intention to terminate firm transportation service to Sunoco at certain production area receipt points in contravention of a 1992 FERC-approved settlement agreement that specifically requires Transco to provide firm transportation service at such points. Sunoco further alleges that Transco failed to provide the Commission with complete and accurate information concerning its firm service obligation to

Sunoco in its abandonment application filed in Docket No. CP01–34 in which Transco requested authorization to abandon service to Sunoco at certain production area receipt points.

Sunoco requests that the Commission institute a formal investigation to scrutinize and remedy Transco's unilateral abrogation of a FERCapproved settlement agreement and related failure to disclose to the Commission all relevant facts and circumstances pertaining to its firm service obligation to Sunoco in its application seeking abandonment authorization in Docket No. CP01-34. Sunoco further requests that the Commission issue an order pursuant to Sections 5 and 16 of the Natural Gas Act requiring Transco to continue providing firm transportation service to Sunoco at all receipt points designated under its firm transportation service agreement that became effective pursuant the FERC-approved settlement agreement. Alternatively, Sunoco requests the Commission to take such action as it may deem necessary and appropriate pursuant to Sections 5 and 16 of the Natural Gas Act, to modify the settlement to restore the status quo ante and to prevent unjust enrichment to Transco by, among other things, requiring Transco to refund, with interest, take-or-pay charges paid to Transco by Sunoco pursuant to the terms of the settlement.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests must be filed on or before May 22, 2002. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Answers to the complaint shall also be due on or before May 22, 2002. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Web at http:// www.ferc.gov using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests, interventions and answers may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the

Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–11605 Filed 5–8–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. IN01-2-002]

Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Refund Report

May 3, 2002.

Take notice that on April 6, 2001, Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc. (Williams) tendered for filing a refund report detailing a February 23, 2001, Webb Storage Refund of \$1,362,293.

Williams states that the refund reflects the amount due to storage customers as agreed to in the Stipulation and Agreement between Market Oversight and Enforcement section, Office of the General Counsel (MOE) and Williams that was approved in the "Order Approving Stipulation and Consent Agreement" issued December 26, 2000.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before May 10, 2002. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Web at http:// www.ferc.gov using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–11593 Filed 5–8–02; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 6717-01-P$