Isibars Steel, Ltd., and The Viraj Group. The period of review is December 1, 2002, through November 30, 2003.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 26, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edythe Artman or Minoo Hatten, AD/ CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3931 and (202) 482–1690, respectively.

#### **Background**

On January 22, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation of the antidumping duty administrative review covering two companies, Isibars Steel Ltd. and The Viraj Group. See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part, 69 FR 3117 (Jan. 22, 2004). On February 24, 2004, the Department published a notice of initiation of the antidumping duty administrative review covering another company, Chandan Steel Ltd. (Chandan). See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 69 FR 8379 (Feb. 24, 2004).1 On July 15, 2004, the Department published a notice that extended the time limit for the preliminary results of review until December 10, 2004. See Stainless Steel Wire Rod from India: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 69 FR 42421 (July 15, 2004).

### **Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results**

The Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), at section 751(a)(3)(A), provides that the Department will issue the preliminary results of an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act provides further that if the Department determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, the Department

may extend the 245-day period to 365 days.

The Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results by the current deadline of December 10, 2004. As stated in our first notice of extension, there are a number of complex factual questions pertaining to the sales practices and manufacturing costs which impact the calculation of the antidumping margins in the administrative review. The analysis of the questionnaire responses has required more time than initially anticipated and we must still conduct verifications. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), the Department is extending the time limit for the preliminary results by 20 days to December 30, 2004.

We are issuing this notice in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: November 19, 2004.

#### Jeffrey A. May,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E4–3340 Filed 11–24–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-489-807]

### Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars From Turkey; Corrected Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Correction to final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 26, 2004. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irina Itkin or Elizabeth Eastwood, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone (202) 482–0656 and (202) 482–3874, respectively.

### **Background**

On November 8, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** its notice of final results of the antidumping duty administrative review on certain steel concrete reinforcing bars

(rebar) from Turkey for the period April 1, 2002, through March 31, 2003. See Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars From Turkey; Final Results, Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review in Part, and Determination Not To Revoke in Part, 69 FR 64731 (Nov. 8, 2004) (Final Results). In the calculations for the final results, the Department determined that Colakoglu Metalurji A.S. (Colakoglu), a respondent in this administrative review, made no home market sales below the cost of production during the period of review (POR). However, the Department mistakenly stated in the Final Results that Colakoglu made below-cost sales not in the ordinary course of trade. See Final Results, 69 FR at 64733.

We now correct the final results of the 2002–2003 antidumping duty administrative review of rebar from Turkey as noted above. As a result of this correction, we find that Colakoglu made no sales below cost during the POR.

These corrected final results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: November 19, 2004.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E4–3341 Filed 11–24–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 111904B]

# **Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council; Public Hearings**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of public hearing; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Council) will hold a public hearing to solicit comments on "Draft Amendment 3 for Addressing EFH Requirements, Habitat Areas of Particular Concern (HAPCs), and Adverse Effects of Fishing in the Following Fishery Management Plans of the Gulf of Mexico: Shrimp, Red Drum, Reef fish, Stone Crab, Coral and Coral Reef in the Gulf of Mexico and Spiny Lobster and the Coastal Migratory Pelagic Resources of the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic." The Amendment contains proposed alternatives to further

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Department did not include Chandan in the initiation notice for December cases because the company requested evaluation as a new shipper. The Department denied this request after publication of the January 22, 2004, initiation notice for December cases. Because Chandan also made a timely request for an administrative review, the Department included Chandan in the 2002—2003 administrative review. Accordingly, all deadlines applicable to the companies included in the December initiation notice are applicable to