operators that conduct ground-water monitoring are required to report information to the oversight agencies on releases of contaminants and to maintain records of ground-water monitoring data at their facilities. The goal of the ground-water monitoring program is to prevent and quickly detect releases of hazardous contaminants to groundwater, and to establish a program whereby any contamination is expeditiously cleaned up as necessary to protect human health and environment. Subtitle C of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (RCRA) creates a comprehensive program for the safe management of hazardous waste. Section 3004 of RCRA requires owners and operators of facilities that treat, store, or dispose of hazardous waste to comply with standards established by EPA that are intended to protect the environment. Section 3005 provides for implementation of these standards under permits issued to owners and operators by EPA or authorized States. Section 3005 also allows owners and operators of facilities in existence when the regulations came into effect to comply with applicable notice requirements to operate until a permit is issued or denied. This statutory authorization to operate prior to permit determination is commonly known as "interim status." Owners and operators of interim facilities also must comply with standards set under Section 3004.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 118 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Entities that operate surface impoundments, waste piles, land treatment units, and landfills which manage hazardous waste regulated under RCRA.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 824.

Frequency of Response: Semi annual monitoring; additional sampling and monitoring may be required if contaminants are detected.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 96,913.

Estimated Total Annualized Capital, O&M Cost Burden: \$16,737,560.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended to persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions, develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements, train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources, complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: November 15, 2004.

Maria Parisi Vickers,

Deputy Director, Office of Solid Waste. [FR Doc. 04–26164 Filed 11–24–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6657-9]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or *http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/.*

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

- Filed November 15, 2004 through November 19, 2004
- Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.
- EIS No. 040536, Final Supplement, GSA, TX, Del Rio Port of Entry (POE), Increased Security Measures Associated with Phase II Expansion, Supplement to the 1992 Del Rio Border Patrol Station, Del Rio, Val Verde County, TX, Wait Period Ends: December 27, 2004, Contact: Lisa Schaub (817) 978–4233.

- EIS No. 040537, Final EIS, FHW, WI, US-12 Highway Corridor Project, Improvement from 1H90/94 at Lake Delton south to Ski Hi Road, Selected Preferred Alternative, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Sauk County, WI, Wait Period Ends: December 27, 2004, Contact: Johnny Gerbitz (608) 829– 7500.
- EIS No. 040538, Draft EIS, FAA, FL, Panama City-Bay County International Airport (PFN), Proposed Relocation to a New Site, NPDES Permit and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Bay County, FL, Comment Period Ends: January 21, 2005, Contact: Virginia Lane (407) 812–6331 ext 129.
- EIS No. 040539, Final EIS, FHW, TX, Eastern Extension of the President George Bush Turnpike (PGBT) from TX-78 to I-30, New Controlled Access Tollway Construction at a New Location, Cities of Garland, Sachse, Rowlett and Dallas, Dallas County, TX, Wait Period Ends: December 27, 2004, Contact: Dean Majzoub (512) 536–5955.
- EIS No. 040540, Draft EIS, FRC, TX, Cheniere Corpus Christi Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Project, To Provide Facilities for the Importation, Storage and Vaporization of Liquefied Natural Gas, Nueces and San Patricio Counties, TX, Comment Period Ends: January 10, 2005, Contact: Thomas Russo (866) 208–3372.
- EIS No. 040541, Draft EIS, FHW, MS, I– 69 Section of Independent Utility # 11 Project, Construction of Multi-Lane, Interstate Highway from Benoit to Robinsonville, U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Mississippi River Bridge, Bolivar, Coahoma, Tunica and Sunflower Counties, MS, Comment Period Ends: January 10, 2005, Contact: Cecil W. Vick, Jr. (601) 965– 4217.
- EIS No. 040542, Draft EIS, AFS, OR, Rogue River-Siskiyou National Forest, Special Use Permits for Outfitter and Guide Operations on the Lower Rogue and Lower Illinois Rivers, Gold Beach Ranger District, Rogue River-Siskiyou National Forest, Curry County, OR, Comment Period Ends: January 10, 2005, Contact: Jim Heck (541) 858– 2303. This document is available on the Internet at: http:// wwwtest.fs.fed.us/r6/rogue-siskiyou/ projects/special-use/outfitter-rogueillinois-river/rr-deis-ll-04–04.pdf.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 040472, Draft EIS, AFS, CO, Village at Wolf Creek Project, Application for Transportation and Utility Systems and Facilities, Proposed Development and Use of Roads and Utility Corridors Crossing, National Forest System Lands to Access 287.5 Acres of Private Property Land, Mineral County, CO, Comment Period Ends: December 06, 2004, Contact: Robert Dalrymple (719) 852–5941. Revision of FR Notice Published on 10/08/04: CEQ Comment Period Ending 11/22/2004 has been Extended to 12/06/2004.

- EIS No. 040500, Draft EIS, FHW, UT, Brown Park Road Project, Reconstruction (Paving) and Partial Re-alignment from Red Creek to Colorado State Line, Diamond Mountain Resource Management Plan Amendment (BLM), U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Daggett County, UT, Comment Period Ends: January 10, 2005, Contact: Gregory S. Punske, P.E. (801) 963–0182. Revision of FR Notice Published on 10/29/2004: CEQ Comment Period Ending on 12/17/ 2004 has been Extended to 01/10/ 2005.
- EIS No. 040532, Final EIS, FHW, IN, IN– 25 Transportation Corridor Improvements from I–65 Interchange to U.S. 24, Funding, Right-of-Way and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Hoosier Heartland Highway, Tippecanoe, Carroll and Cass Counties, IN, Wait Period Ends: December 27, 2004, Contact: Matt Fuller (317) 226–5234. Revision of FR Notice Published on 11/19/2004: CEQ Wait Period Ending 12/20/2004 Corrected to12/27/2004.
- EIS No. 040533, Final EIS, FHW, WA, WA-104/Edmonds Crossing Project, Connecting Ferries, Bus and Rail, Funding, NPDES Permit and COE Section 10 and 404 Permit, City of Edmonds, Snohomish County, WA, Wait Period Ends: December 20, 2004, Contact: Peter Eun (360) 753-9551. Revision of FR Notice published on 11/19/2004: The Department of Transportation's Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration are Joint Lead Agencies for the above Project. Also, **Correction to Contact Person** Telephone Number.

Dated: November 22, 2004.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 04-26160 Filed 11-24-04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6658-1]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act, as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 02, 2004 (69 FR 17403).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–AFS–L65469–OR Rating EC2, West Maury Fuels and Vegetation Management Project, Prescribed Fire, Commercial and Noncommercial Thinning, Grapple Piling and Hand Piling, Implementation, Lookout Mountain Range District, Ochoco National Forest, Crook County, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding road building; the effects of extensive vegetation removal and loss of canopy closure combined with continued cattle grazing on water quality and aquatics habitat; the need for further information and analysis concerning stream sedimentation; and impacts to late old succession stands, wildlife corridors, and security habitat. EPA recommended selection of a different or modified alternative that responds to these concerns.

ERP No. D-FHW-K40255-CA Rating EC2, Bautista Canyon Road Project, California Forest Highway 224, Improvements between Florida Avenue (CA-74) and CA-371, Special-Use-Permit, NPDES Permit, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permit, Riverside County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns and recommended additional information in the final EIS concerning the range of alternatives, impacts to cultural, historical, and biological resources and analysis of indirect and cumulative impacts.

ERP No. D–FRA–K53011–CA Rating EC2, Los Angeles Union Station Run-Through Tracks Project, Pedestrian Access Improvement, Connectivity and Increase the Capacity, City Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns and recommended additional information in the final EIS concerning air quality impacts, water resources, and mitigation.

ERP No. D–NPS–E61077–GA Rating LO, Chattahoochee River National Recreation Area General Management Plan, Implementation, Chattahoochee River, Atlanta, GA.

Summary: EPA's review did not identify any potential environmental impacts requiring changes to the proposal.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–AFS–L65453–ID North Sheep Allotments—Sheep and Goat Allotment Management Plans, Authorization for Continued Sheep Grazing for Fisher Creek, Smiley Creek, North Fork-Boulder and Baker Creek Sheep and Goat Grazing Allotments, Sawtooth National Forest, Ketchum Ranger District, Sawtooth National Recreation Area, Blaine and Custer Counties, ID.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–DOI–J39031–UT Utah Lake Drainage Basin Water Delivery System (ULS), Construction and Operation, Bonneville Unit of the Central Utah Project (CUP), Utah, Salt Lake, Wasatch and Juab Counties, UT.

Summary: EPA commented that the proposed project would be consistent with the Clean Water Act Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines, contingent on the mitigation conditions contained in the final EIS, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Coordination Act Report and **Endangered Species Act Section 7** consultation, and the Utah Department of Environmental Quality's Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification. EPA also provided suggestions for issues to be considered in the Record of Decision, including project purpose, alternatives analysis, definition of affected environment, water quality standards, potential impacts to aquatic resources, and water conservation.

ERP No. F–FHW–H40177–MO MO–17 Transportation Improvement Project, South of Route O to South of Howell County Line Bridge Replacement with Approaches, Funding, U.S. COE Section 404 Permit, Shannon, Texas, and Howell Counties, MO.

Summary: The final EIS provides adequate information to address 4(f), range of alternatives, and environmental justice concerns raised by EPA in the draft EIS review. Consequently, EPA has no objections to the project.