

Wilson, Keith B.,
Winkley, Michael S.

The following 14 applicants had commercial driver's licenses suspensions during the three-year review period in relation to a moving violation. Applicants do not qualify for an exemption with a suspension during the three-year period.

Adair, William L.,
Bales, Jimmy,
Christensen, Ryan J.,
Demessa Michael D.,
Douglas, Bobby R.,
Figaro, Juan F.,
Foster, Jeramie P.,
Head, Jr., Clifton E.,
Lockley, Robert,
Malone, Emanuel N.,
Martin, Jr., Edward H.,
Ramirez, Ricardo,
Sanford, Willie J.,
Timmerman, David E.

The following 7 applicants do not hold a license which allowed operation of vehicles over 10,000 pounds for all or part of the three-year period.

Acrey, Sammy T.,
George, Gerry A.,
Helle, Kalen G.,
Phipps, Donald R.,
Routin, Kevin L.,
Stabeno, Lawrence E.,
Turner, Nickalous R.

The following 30 applicants were denied for miscellaneous/multiple reasons.

Bates, Danny K.,
Bauer, Jeffery A.,
Beauchamp, Robert O.,
Bolton, Sarah D.,
Bush, Arnold E.,
Chapman, Edward C.,
Coffin, Roland C.,
Davenport, Timothy A.,
Davis, David L.,
Delamarter, Kenneth G.,
Drevetzki, Mark P.,
Elsesser, Barry L.,
Estrella, Cliserio J.,
Farnsworth, Gary P.,
Field, Roy M.,
Green, Billy D.,
Haines, Thomas E.,
Harrison, David,
Harrison, Ernest L.,
Hasty, Brett K.,
Ladd, Harry A.,
Llamas, Martin,
Mariner, Mikeal W.,
McVicker, James R.,
Savely, Danny W.,
Scholz, Duane R.,
Sherfield, Sr., Timothy J.,
Sneath, Larry D.,
Taylor, Jessie J.,
Turner, Roy W.

Two applicants, William R. Cummings and Francis Popp, had more than 2 serious CMV violations within a three-year period. Each applicant is allowed a total of 2 moving violations, one of which can be serious.

Three applicants, Christopher L. Kervin, Jose P. Martinez and Robert G. Springer, were charged with a moving violation in conjunction with a CMV accident, which is a disqualifying offense.

One applicant, John C. Towner, contributed to an accident while operating a CMV. Applicants do not qualify for an exemption if they have contributed to an accident during the three-year review period.

Two applicants, James Peltier and Inocencio Patino, did not have sufficient peripheral vision in the better eye to qualify for an exemption.

One applicant, Patrick Leahy, had other medical conditions making him otherwise unqualified under the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations.

One applicant, Allen L. Blackwell, Sr., did not submit all of the required documentation and therefore presented no verifiable evidence that he met the terms and conditions of the Federal vision exemption program.

One applicant, Dale E. St. Germaine, was disqualified because his vision was not stable for the entire three-year review period.

Finally, the following 17 applicants met the current federal vision standards. Exemptions are not required for applicants who meet the current regulations for vision.

Acierno, Luigi,
Benton, Thomas F.,
Davis, Jeff,
Forsberg, Ernest D.,
Furan, Robert D.,
Gonzalez, Juan C.,
Frach, Jeff,
Green, Billy D.,
Hilliard, David H.,
Horner, Charles,
Lambert, Charles W.,
Ports, Donald,
Pyle, David T.,
Lange, Royce E.,
Stubrich, Dennis W.,
Wade, Wayne L.,
Yancey, Keith.

Issued on: June 5, 2008.

Charles A. Horan,

Acting Associate Administrator for Policy and Program Development.

[FR Doc. E8-13148 Filed 6-10-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-EX-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket Nos. FMCSA-01-11426, FMCSA-03-16564, FMCSA-05-21711, FMCSA-05-22194, FMCSA-05-23099, FMCSA-06-23773]

Qualification of Drivers; Exemption Renewals; Vision

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of final disposition.

SUMMARY: FMCSA, in an earlier notice, announced its decision to renew the exemptions from the vision requirement in the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations for 13 individuals. FMCSA has statutory authority to exempt individuals from the vision requirement if the exemptions granted will not compromise safety. The Agency has reviewed the comments submitted in response to the previous announcement and concluded that granting these exemptions will provide a level of safety that will be equivalent to, or greater than, the level of safety maintained without the exemptions for these commercial motor vehicle (CMV) drivers.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Mary D. Gunnels, Director, Medical Programs, (202) 366-4001, fmcsamedical@dot.gov, FMCSA, Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Room W64-224, Washington, DC 20590-0001. Office hours are from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic Access

You may see all the comments online through the Federal Document Management System (FDMS) at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, FMCSA may grant an exemption for a 2-year period if it finds "such exemption would likely achieve a level of safety that is equivalent to, or greater than, the level that would be achieved absent such exemption." The statute also allows the Agency to renew exemptions at the end of the 2-year period. The notice was published on March 21, 2008 (FR 73 15254), and the comment period ended on April 21, 2008.

Discussion of Comments

FMCSA received no comments in this proceeding.

Conclusion

The Agency has not received any adverse evidence on any of these drivers that indicates that safety is being compromised. Based upon its evaluation of the 13 renewal applications, FMCSA renews the Federal vision exemptions for Roy L. Allen, Lyle H. Banser, Lloyd J. Botsford, Walter M. Brown, Charley J. Davis, Paul D. Gaither, Thomas R. Hedden, Sergio A. Hernandez, Lucio Leal, Earl R. Mark, Michael R. Moore, Richard W. Neyens, and Bill L. Pearcy.

In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, each renewal exemption will be valid for 2 years unless revoked earlier by FMCSA.

The exemption will be revoked if: (1) The person fails to comply with the terms and conditions of the exemption; (2) the exemption has resulted in a lower level of safety than was maintained before it was granted; or (3) continuation of the exemption would not be consistent with the goals and objectives of 49 U.S.C. 31136 and 31315.

Issued on: June 4, 2008.

Larry W. Minor,

Associate Administrator for Policy and Program Development.

[FR Doc. E8-13125 Filed 6-10-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-EX-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Petition for Waiver of Compliance

In accordance with Part 211 of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), notice is hereby given that the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) received a request for a waiver of compliance from certain requirements of its safety standards. The individual petition is described below, including the party seeking relief, the regulatory provisions involved, the nature of the relief being requested, and the petitioner's arguments in favor of relief.

Mid-Continent Railway Historical Society, Inc.

[Docket Number FRA-2006-26300]

On March 15, 2007, FRA granted a waiver of compliance from certain provisions of the safety glazing standards, as prescribed by 49 CFR Section 223.11, *Requirements for existing locomotives*, to the Mid-Continent Railway Historical Society, Inc. (MCRY) for diesel-electric Locomotive Number 1256. Condition Number 1 of the above-referenced approval letter states: "This approval of the requirements of 49 CFR Section

223.11(c) shall apply to MCRY 1256 while operating on MCRY property at speeds not exceeding 10 mph." On December 31, 2007, MCRY asked FRA for reconsideration of Condition Number 1 to allow an increase in operating speed of the locomotive from 10 mph to 15 mph.

MCRY is a tourist railroad operating over 4.2 miles of private rights-of-way in rural Wisconsin, with a track speed of 15 mph. In addition, FRA previously granted safety glazing waivers to MCRY for two of their diesel locomotives with maximum operating speeds of 15 mph. The petitioner states that when more than one train is operated at a time, it places an undue hardship on them by restricting the speed of Locomotive Number 1256 to 10 mph. There have been no reported accidents/injuries at MCRY related to safety glazing, and the change would make the conditions of this waiver consistent with those of the two previously granted. Pursuant to the receipt of the waiver request, FRA is hereby providing the public an opportunity to comment on this waiver.

Interested parties are invited to participate in these proceedings by submitting written views, data, or comments. All communications concerning these proceedings should identify the appropriate docket number (FRA-2006-26300) and may be submitted by one of the following methods:

- Web site: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- Fax: 202-493-2251.
- Mail: Docket Operations Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., W12-140, Washington, DC 20590.
- Hand Delivery: 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Room W12-140, Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FRA does not anticipate scheduling a public hearing in connection with these proceedings since the facts do not appear to warrant a hearing. If any interested party desires an opportunity for oral comment, they should notify FRA, in writing, before the end of the comment period and specify the basis for their request.

Communications received within 30 days of the date of this notice or within 30 days following the filing of supporting safety data, whichever is later, will be considered by FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable.

All written communications concerning these proceedings are

available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.-5 p.m.) at the above facility. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the Internet at the docket facility's Web site at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Anyone is able to search the electronic form of any written communications and comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477-78).

Issued in Washington, DC on June 5, 2008.

Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development.

[FR Doc. E8-13113 Filed 6-10-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of Foreign Assets Control

Additional Designation of Individuals Pursuant to Executive Order 13224

AGENCY: Office of Foreign Assets Control, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control ("OFAC") is publishing the names of three newly-designated individuals whose property and interests in property are blocked pursuant to Executive Order 13224 of September 23, 2001, "Blocking Property and Prohibiting Transactions With Persons Who Commit, Threaten To Commit, or Support Terrorism."

DATES: The designation by the Director of OFAC of three individuals identified in this notice, pursuant to Executive Order 13224, is effective on June 5, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Assistant Director, Compliance Outreach & Implementation, Office of Foreign Assets Control, Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20220, tel.: 202/622-2490.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic and Facsimile Availability

This document and additional information concerning OFAC are available from OFAC's Web site (<http://www.treas.gov/ofac>) or via