Moreover, the Commission finds the proposal consistent with the provisions of 15A(b)(5) of the Act, 11 which requires that the rules of the NASD provide for equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility or system which the NASD operates or controls. The Commission believes that the proposed fees for Primex access over the Internet, which the Nasdaq represents are lower than the current fees for accessing Primex using existing technology, are reasonable and equitable.

Furthermore, the Commission finds good cause for approving the proposed rule change and Amendment No. 1 thereto prior to the thirtieth day after the date of publication of the notice of filing thereof in the Federal Register. 12 The proposed rule change, as amended by Amendment No. 1 has been published for public comment in the Federal Register as of May 29, 2003. The Commission has not received any comments on the proposal. The Commission is accelerating approval of the proposed rule change and Amendment No. 1 prior to the thirtieth day after the date of publication in the Federal Register because the Commission believes that adding the Internet access option will not alter the existing methods of accessing Primex, and that accelerated approval should impart immediate benefits to those participants that choose to access Primex over the Internet. Accordingly, the Commission believes that it is consistent with sections 15A(b)(6),13 15A(b)(5) 14 and 19(b)(2) 15 of the Act, to approve the proposed rule change and Amendment No. 1 thereto on an accelerated basis.

## IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act, <sup>16</sup> that the proposed rule change (SR–NASD–2003–62), as amended, be, and it hereby is, approved on an accelerated basis.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.  $^{17}$ 

## Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-16466 Filed 6-27-03; 8:45 am]

#### BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

#### **SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**

# Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements Under OMB Review

**AGENCY:** Small Business Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of Reporting Requirements Submitted for OMB Review.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), agencies are required to submit proposed reporting and recordkeeping requirements to OMB for review and approval, and to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** notifying the public that the agency has made such a submission.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before July 30, 2003. If you intend to comment but cannot prepare comments promptly, please advise the OMB Reviewer and the Agency Clearance Officer before the deadline.

COPIES: Request for clearance (OMB 83–1), supporting statement, and other documents submitted to OMB for review may be obtained from the Agency Clearance Officer.

ADDRESSES: Address all comments concerning this notice to: Agency Clearance Officer, Jacqueline White, Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street, SW., 5th Floor, Washington, DC 20416; and OMB Reviewer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jacqueline White, Agency Clearance Officer, (202) 205–7044.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Entrepreneurial Development Management Information System (EDMIS)—Counseling Information Form & Management Training Report.

Numbers: 641 & 888.

Frequency: On Occasion.

Description of Respondents: New established and prospective Small Business Owners Using the services and programs by the Business Information Center Program.

Responses: 1,350,000. Annual Burden: 67,500.

#### Jacqueline White,

Chief, Administrative Information Branch. [FR Doc. 03–16402 Filed 6–27–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

#### **SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**

[Declaration of Disaster #3508]

## Commonwealth of Kentucky; (Amendment # 2)

In accordance with the notice received from the Department of Homeland Security—Federal Emergency Management Agency, effective June 23, 2003, the above numbered declaration is hereby amended to include Graves County in the Commonwealth of Kentucky as a disaster area due to damages caused by severe storms, flooding, mud and rock slides, and tornadoes occurring on May 4 through May 27, 2003.

In addition, applications for economic injury loans from small businesses located in the contiguous counties of Ballard, Calloway, Carlisle, Hickman, Marshall, and McCracken in the Commonwealth of Kentucky, and Henry and Weakley counties in the State of Tennessee may be filed until the specified date at the previously designated location. All other counties contiguous to the above named primary counties have been previously declared.

The number for economic injury for the State of Tennessee is 9W1200.

All other information remains the same, *i.e.*, the deadline for filing applications for physical damage is August 4, 2003, and for economic injury the deadline is March 3, 2004.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008)

Dated: June 24, 2003.

#### Herbert L. Mitchell,

Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03–16476 Filed 6–27–03; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 8025–01–P** 

## **SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**

#### [Declaration of Disaster #3512]

#### State of West Virginia

As a result of the President's major disaster declaration on June 21, 2003 I find that Boone, Kanawha, Logan, Mason, Putnam, and Wayne Counties in the State of West Virginia constitute a disaster area due to damages caused by severe storms, flooding, and landslides that occurred June 11, 2003 and continuing. Applications for loans for physical damage as a result of this disaster may be filed until the close of business on August 20, 2003, and for loans for economic injury until the close of business on March 22, 2004 at the address listed below or other locally announced locations:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78*o*–3(b)(5).

<sup>12 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>13 15</sup> U.S.C. 78o-3(b)(6).

<sup>14 15</sup> U.S.C. 78o-3(b)(5).

<sup>15 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Id.

<sup>17 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

U.S. Small Business Administration, Disaster Area 1 Office, 360 Rainbow Blvd., South, 3rd Floor, Niagara Falls, NY 14303.

In addition, applications for economic injury loans from small businesses located in the following contiguous counties may be filed until the specified date at the above location: Boyd, Lawrence, and Martin Counties in the Commonwealth of Kentucky; Gallia, Lawrence, and Meigs Counties in the State of Ohio; and Cabell, Clay, Fayette, Jackson, Lincoln, Mingo, Nicholas, Raleigh, Roane, and Wyoming Counties in the State of West Virginia.

The interest rates are:

	Percent
For Physical Damage:	
Homeowners with Credit Available Elsewhere	5.625
Homeowners without Credit Available Elsewhere	2.812
Businesses with Credit Available Elsewhere	5.906
Businesses and Non-Profit Organizations Without Credit	
Available Elsewhere Others (Including Non-Profit Or-	2.953
ganizations) with Credit Available Elsewhere	5.500
For Economic Injury Businesses and Small Agricul-	
tural Cooperatives without Credit Available Elsewhere	2.953

The number assigned to this disaster for physical damage is 351211 and for economic injury the numbers are 9V9900 for the State of West Virginia, 9W0100 for the Commonwealth of Kentucky, and 9W0200 for the State of Ohio.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008.)

Dated: June 23, 2003.

#### Herbert L. Mitchell,

 $\label{lem:associate} Associate \ Administrator for \ Disaster \\ Assistance.$ 

[FR Doc. 03–16390 Filed 6–27–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8025–01–P

#### SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

## Region X Regulatory Fairness Board; Public Federal Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Roundtable

The Small Business Administration Region X Regulatory Fairness Board and the SBA Office of the National Ombudsman will hold a Public Roundtable on Thursday, July 10, 2003 at 10 a.m. at the Boise Metro Chamber of Commerce, 250 S. 5th Street, Boise, ID 83701, to provide small business owners and representatives of trade associations with an opportunity to share information concerning the federal regulatory enforcement and compliance environment.

Anyone wishing to attend or to make a presentation must contact Irene Gonzalez in writing or by fax, in order to be put on the agenda. Irene Gonzalez, U.S. Small Business Administration, Boise District Office, 1020 Main Street, Suite 290, Boise, ID 83702, phone (208) 334–1696 ext. 249, fax (208) 334–9353, e-mail: *irene.gonzalez@sba.gov*.

For more information, see our Web site at http://www.sba.gov/ombudsman.

Dated: June 23, 2003.

## Michael L. Barrera,

National Ombudsman.

[FR Doc. 03-16392 Filed 6-27-03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

#### SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

## Region X Regulatory Fairness Board; Public Federal Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Roundtable

The Small Business Administration Region X Regulatory Fairness Board and the SBA Office of the National Ombudsman will hold a Public Roundtable on Tuesday, July 15, 2003 at 9 a.m. at the Wells Fargo Bank Alaska, 5th Floor Conference Room, Anchorage, AK 99501 to provide small business owners and representatives of trade associations with an opportunity to share information concerning the federal regulatory enforcement and compliance environment.

Anyone wishing to attend or to make a presentation must contact Ron Veltkamp in writing or by fax, in order to be put on the agenda. Ron Veltkamp, U.S. Small Business Administration, Alaska District Office, 510 L Street, Suite 310, Anchorage, AK 99501, phone (907) 271–4838, fax (907) 271–4545, email: ronald.veltkamp@sba.gov.

For more information, see our Web site at http://www.sba.gov/ombudsman.

Dated: June 23, 2003.

## Michael L. Barrera,

National Ombudsman.

[FR Doc. 03–16391 Filed 6–27–03; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING$  CODE 8025–01–P

## **SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION**

Modifications to the Disability Determination Procedures; Extension of Testing of Some Disability Redesign Features

**AGENCY:** Social Security Administration (SSA).

**ACTION:** Notice of the extension of tests involving modifications to the disability determination procedures.

**SUMMARY:** We are announcing the extension of tests involving modifications to our disability determination procedures that we are conducting under the authority of current rules codified at 20 CFR 404.906 and 416.1406. These rules provide authority to test several modifications to the disability determination procedures that we normally follow in adjudicating claims for disability insurance benefits under title II of the Social Security Act (the Act) and for supplemental security income payments based on disability under title XVI of the Act. We have decided to extend the testing of two redesign features of the disability prototype for 6 months to enable us to address transition issues.

**DATES:** We are extending our selection of cases to be included in these tests from June 30, 2003 until no later than December 31, 2003. If we decide to continue selection of cases for these tests beyond this date, we will publish another notice in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Phil Landis, Disability Process Redesign Staff, Office of Disability Determinations, Social Security Administration, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21235–6401, 410–965–5388.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Current** regulations at 20 CFR 404.906 and 416.1406 authorize us to test, individually, or in any combination, different modifications to the disability determination procedures. We have conducted several tests under the authority of these rules, including a prototype that incorporates a number of modifications to the disability determination procedures that the State agencies use. The prototype included three redesign features, and we previously extended the tests of two of those features: the use of a single decisionmaker, in which a disability examiner may make the initial disability determination in most cases without requiring the signature of a medical consultant; and elimination of the reconsideration level of review. We are now announcing a further extension of the testing of these two features.

We also have conducted another test involving the use of a single decisionmaker who may make the initial disability determination in most cases without requiring the signature of a medical consultant. We are also extending the period during which we