

(d) Ways to minimize the burden of information collections on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and

(e) Estimates of capital or start up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Comments submitted in response to this joint notice will be shared among the agencies and will be summarized or included in the agencies' requests for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record.

Dated: May 15, 2010.

Michele Meyer,

Assistant Director, Legislative and Regulatory Activities Division, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Dated: May 14, 2010.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Secretary of the Board.

Dated at Washington, DC this 7th day of May 2010.

Robert E. Feldman,

Executive Secretary, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Dated: May 14, 2010.

Ira L. Mills,

Paperwork Clearance Officer, Office of Chief Counsel, Office of Thrift Supervision.

[FR Doc. 2010-12320 Filed 5-20-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6714-01-P; 4810-33-P; 6210-01-P; 6720-01-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisition of Shares of Bank or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the office of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than June 4, 2010.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis (Jacqueline G. King, Community Affairs Officer) 90

Hennepin Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480-0291:

1. Hensley Family Limited Partnership, and its general partners, Jack L. Hensley and Connie D. Hensley, all of Kalispell, Montana; to retain control of Valley Bancshares, Inc., and thereby indirectly retain control of Valley Bank of Kalispell, both of Kalispell, Montana.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, May 17, 2010.

Margaret McCloskey Shanks,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2010-12134 Filed 5-20-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-S

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Notice of Proposals to Engage in Permissible Nonbanking Activities or to Acquire Companies that are Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The companies listed in this notice have given notice under section 4 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843) (BHC Act) and Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225) to engage *de novo*, or to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company, including the companies listed below, that engages either directly or through a subsidiary or other company, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.28 of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.28) or that the Board has determined by Order to be closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Each notice is available for inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notice also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether the proposal complies with the standards of section 4 of the BHC Act. Additional information on all bank holding companies may be obtained from the National Information Center website at www.ffiec.gov/nic/. Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding the applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than June 4, 2010.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of New York (Ivan Hurwitz, Vice President) 33 Liberty Street, New York, New York 10045-0001:

1. Commonwealth Bank of Australia, Sydney, Australia; to acquire approximately 8.9 percent of the voting shares of Air Lease Corporation, Los Angeles, California, and thereby engage

de novo in leasing activities, pursuant to section 225.28(b)(3) of Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, May 17, 2010.

Margaret McCloskey Shanks,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2010-12133 Filed 5-20-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-S

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 091 0135]

Agilent Technologies, Inc.; Analysis of the Agreement Containing Consent Order to Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed Consent Agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint and the terms of the consent order — embodied in the consent agreement — that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 17, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties are invited to submit written comments electronically or in paper form. Comments should refer to "Agilent Technologies, File No. 091 0135" to facilitate the organization of comments. Please note that your comment — including your name and your state — will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including on the publicly accessible FTC website, at (<http://www.ftc.gov/os/publiccomments.shtml>).

Because comments will be made public, they should not include any sensitive personal information, such as an individual's Social Security Number; date of birth; driver's license number or other state identification number, or foreign country equivalent; passport number; financial account number; or credit or debit card number. Comments also should not include any sensitive health information, such as medical records or other individually identifiable health information. In addition, comments should not include any "[t]rade secret or any commercial or financial information which is obtained from any person and which is privileged or confidential. . . ." as provided in Section 6(f) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and Commission Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2). Comments containing material for which confidential

treatment is requested must be filed in paper form, must be clearly labeled "Confidential," and must comply with FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).¹

Because paper mail addressed to the FTC is subject to delay due to heightened security screening, please consider submitting your comments in electronic form. Comments filed in electronic form should be submitted by using the following weblink: (<https://public.commentworks.com/ftc/agilent>) and following the instructions on the web-based form. To ensure that the Commission considers an electronic comment, you must file it on the web-based form at the weblink: (<https://public.commentworks.com/ftc/agilent>). If this Notice appears at (<http://www.regulations.gov/search/index.jsp>), you may also file an electronic comment through that website. The Commission will consider all comments that regulations.gov forwards to it. You may also visit the FTC website at (<http://www.ftc.gov/>) to read the Notice and the news release describing it.

A comment filed in paper form should include the "Agilent Technologies, File No. 091 0135" reference both in the text and on the envelope, and should be mailed or delivered to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Room H-135 (Annex D), 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20580. The FTC is requesting that any comment filed in paper form be sent by courier or overnight service, if possible, because U.S. postal mail in the Washington area and at the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security precautions.

The Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act") and other laws the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. The Commission will consider all timely and responsive public comments that it receives, whether filed in paper or electronic form. Comments received will be available to the public on the FTC website, to the extent practicable, at (<http://www.ftc.gov/os/publiccomments.shtml>). As a matter of discretion, the Commission makes every effort to remove home contact information for individuals from the

public comments it receives before placing those comments on the FTC website. More information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, may be found in the FTC's privacy policy, at (<http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.shtml>).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa De Marchi Sleigh (202-326-2535), Bureau of Competition, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20580.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and § 2.34 the Commission Rules of Practice, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for May 14, 2010), on the World Wide Web, at (<http://www.ftc.gov/os/actions.shtml>). A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room 130-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-2222.

Public comments are invited, and may be filed with the Commission in either paper or electronic form. All comments should be filed as prescribed in the **ADDRESSES** section above, and must be received on or before the date specified in the **DATES** section.

Analysis of Agreement Containing Consent Order to Aid Public Comment

I. Introduction

The Federal Trade Commission ("Commission") has accepted from Agilent Technologies, Inc. ("Agilent"), subject to final approval, an Agreement Containing Consent Orders ("Consent Agreement"), which is designed to remedy the anticompetitive effects resulting from Agilent's proposed acquisition of Varian, Inc. ("Varian"). Under the terms of the Consent Agreement, Agilent will: (1) divest the assets of its Micro Gas Chromatography ("Micro GC") instruments business to Inficon Group ("Inficon"), a subsidiary of Inficon Holding AG; and (2) divest the assets of Varian's Triple Quadrupole Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry ("3Q GC-MS") and Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass

Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") instruments businesses to Bruker Corp. ("Bruker"), within ten days of closing its acquisition of Varian.

The proposed Consent Agreement has been placed on the public record for 30 days to solicit comments from interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After 30 days, the Commission will again review the proposed Consent Agreement and will decide whether it should withdraw from the proposed Consent Agreement, modify it, or make it final.

Pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger dated July 26, 2009, Agilent plans to acquire Varian for approximately \$1.5 billion. The Commission's Complaint alleges that the proposed acquisition, if consummated, would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 18, and Section 5 of the FTC Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45, by lessening competition in the markets for Micro GC, 3Q GC-MS and ICP-MS instruments ("the Products").

II. The Parties

Agilent, headquartered in Santa Clara, California, is a global supplier of scientific measurement instruments and related products and services. Agilent's broad range of products and services includes equipment used to test cell phones and communications equipment, machines that determine the contents of human tissue and environmental samples, and microarrays that are used to analyze gene expression, which are commonly used in cancer research.

Varian is headquartered in Palo Alto, California, and supplies scientific instruments and chemical analysis technologies to customers worldwide. Varian's products, which employ various analytical techniques to test samples of many types, are used by academic researchers, forensics laboratories, food safety and agriculture laboratories, pharmaceutical companies, and chemical and oil and gas firms. Varian also offers a line of vacuum pumps, which are important components in a variety of scientific instruments and industrial processes.

III. The Products and Structure of the Markets

Micro GCs are portable gas chromatography instruments that are used primarily in the oil, mining, and waste disposal industries to detect the presence of toxins in the air or in emissions. Micro GC instruments are designed for field use and, accordingly, must be small and light enough to be

¹ The comment must be accompanied by an explicit request for confidential treatment, including the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. The request will be granted or denied by the Commission's General Counsel, consistent with applicable law and the public interest. See FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).

portable and sufficiently robust to withstand travel and use in a variety of environments. Because Micro GC customers strongly value portability, they would not switch to any other analytical technique or product if the price of Micro GCs were to increase by five to ten percent. In the United States, Agilent and Varian are the sole competitors in the market for Micro GC instruments. Agilent and Varian account for approximately 75 percent and 25 percent of the market by revenue, respectively, and directly compete for sales on the basis of price, service, and product innovation.

3Q GC-MS instruments combine a front-end gas chromatograph with a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer. 3Q GC-MSs offer extraordinarily high sensitivity and are used to identify and quantify trace amounts of substances in a wide variety of samples, such as performance-enhancing drugs in blood and pesticides in food. Less sensitive GC-MSs are widely available, and substantially less expensive, but they are not substitutes for 3Q GC-MSs because they lack the capability to detect compounds at very low concentrations and cannot differentiate among structurally-similar compounds. Where the significantly greater performance of a 3Q GC-MS is required, customers would not switch to other instruments or technologies even if the price of 3Q GC-MSs increased by five to ten percent. In the United States, there are four competitors supplying 3Q GC-MS instruments. Post-acquisition, the combined Agilent and Varian would have in excess of a 48 percent share of the U.S. market by revenue. The other two competitors, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc. ("Thermo") and Waters Corp., have market shares of approximately 36 percent and 16 percent, respectively.

ICP-MS instruments combine inductively coupled plasma technology and mass spectrometry technology and are used for the analysis of inorganic materials. The most common application for ICP-MS is testing water samples, such as drinking, ground or waste water, for the presence of toxic metals, like arsenic, mercury, or lead. ICP-MS is the only technology approved by the Environmental Protection Agency for testing drinking water. Because customers require the sensitivity provided by ICP-MS, and because many customers perform tests pursuant to regulatory guidelines, they would not switch to any other technique or device if the price of ICP-MS instruments were to increase by five to ten percent. In the United States, there are only four suppliers of ICP-MS instruments.

Agilent accounts for 40 percent of the ICP-MS market by revenue, and a combined Agilent and Varian would have in excess of a 48 percent share of the U.S. market. The other two competitors, Thermo and PerkinElmer, Inc. have market shares of approximately 14 percent and 37 percent, respectively.

The relevant geographic area in which to evaluate the markets for Micro GC, 3Q GC-MS, and ICP-MS instruments is the United States. Because Micro GC, 3Q GC-MS, and ICP-MS customers require local sales, service, and support, a supplier that lacks the local infrastructure necessary to provide these services is not a viable alternative for U.S. customers.

IV. Entry

Neither new entry nor repositioning and expansion sufficient to deter or counteract the anticompetitive effects of the proposed acquisition is likely to occur within two years. A new entrant to the Micro GC, 3Q GC-MS, or ICP-MS instrument markets would face significant barriers to entry. A new entrant would have to design, develop, and test a product, and would have to establish a service and support infrastructure in the United States. Perhaps most importantly, a new entrant would have to develop a reputation for quality and reliability, and it would take at least several years to acquire a reputation on par with the current Micro GC, 3Q GC-MS, and ICP-MS suppliers. Accordingly, new entry by a domestic or foreign firm would not be timely, likely, or sufficient to counteract the anticompetitive effects that would arise as a result of the acquisition.

V. Effects of the Acquisition

Agilent and Varian are the only two competitors in the market for Micro GC instruments. By creating a monopoly and eliminating the substantial competition between Agilent and Varian, the proposed acquisition would cause the purchasers of Micro GC instruments to pay higher prices and experience reduced levels of service and slower innovation rates.

With only four suppliers, the market for 3Q GC-MS instruments is highly concentrated. 3Q GC-MSs are generally purchased through a competitive evaluation process, which fosters competition for features, reliability, performance, price, and service. Agilent and Varian's 3Q GC-MSs are positioned similarly in terms of their features, price, and performance. The elimination of the direct competition between the Agilent and Varian 3Q GC-MS products

would allow Agilent to increase prices, slow the pace of innovation, and/or decrease service levels. In addition, the fact that there would be only three suppliers after the proposed acquisition leads to an increased likelihood of coordination among the remaining competitors.

The market for ICP-MS instruments is also highly concentrated, and Agilent's acquisition of Varian would leave only three suppliers. The ICP-MS instruments of the various suppliers compete on the basis of reliability, price, product features, performance, and service. Because Agilent and Varian directly compete with each other for many sales, and because Varian is frequently the low-priced competitor, Agilent would have a strong post-acquisition incentive to increase ICP-MS prices. The transaction would also facilitate coordination among the three remaining firms.

VI. The Consent Agreement

The proposed Consent Agreement eliminates the competitive concerns raised by Agilent's proposed acquisition of Varian by requiring the divestiture of Agilent's assets relating to the manufacture and sale of Micro GC instruments and Varian's assets relating to the manufacture and sale of 3Q GC-MS and ICP-MS instruments. Agilent and Varian have reached agreements to sell the Micro GC assets to Inficon and the 3Q GC-MS and ICP-MS assets to Bruker, within ten days of closing the acquisition.

Inficon possesses the resources and capability to acquire the Micro GC assets and replace Agilent as an effective competitor in the Micro GC market. Inficon, headquartered in Switzerland, manufactures analytical instruments for gas analysis, measurement, and control. Inficon currently supplies several products complementary to Micro GC instruments, including portable GC-MS analyzers. Inficon has an existing worldwide infrastructure for the marketing and sales of its analyzers, and therefore is well-positioned to replace the competition that will be lost as a result of the proposed transaction.

Headquartered in Billerica, Massachusetts, Bruker is a global provider of life-sciences scientific instruments, as well as solutions for molecular and materials research and industrial and applied analysis. Bruker's acquisition of the Varian 3Q GC-MS and ICP-MS product lines will complement Bruker's existing strengths in the analytical instruments market. Bruker manufactures a variety of high-performance mass spectrometry

instruments, including product lines adjacent to the 3Q GC-MS and ICP-MS businesses. As a result, Bruker has a significant existing global infrastructure that will enable it to quickly support additional business expansion and replace the loss of competition posed by Agilent's acquisition of Varian.

Pursuant to the Consent Agreement, Inficon will receive the assets necessary to replicate Agilent's Micro GC instrument business, and Bruker will receive the assets necessary to replicate Varian's 3Q GC-MS and ICP-MS instrument businesses. In addition to ensuring that the employees of the relevant businesses will continue their employment with the acquirers, the Consent Agreement requires Agilent to provide Inficon and Bruker with access to additional Agilent employees who may be needed to facilitate the transition of the assets associated with each of the Products. The Consent Agreement also requires Agilent to transfer all relevant intellectual property and all contracts and confidential business information associated with each of the Products. Combined, these provisions ensure that Inficon and Bruker fully and immediately restore the competition that will be eliminated by the acquisition.

The Commission may appoint an interim monitor to oversee the divestiture of the Products at any time after the Consent Agreement has been signed. In order to ensure that the Commission remains informed about the status of the proposed divestitures, the proposed Consent Agreement requires the parties to file periodic reports with the Commission until the divestiture is accomplished. If the Commission determines that Agilent has not fully complied with its obligations under the Decision and Order within ten days after the date the Decision and Order becomes final, the Commission may appoint a divestiture trustee to divest the Micro GC, 3Q GC-MS, and ICP-MS assets to a Commission-approved acquirer.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the Consent Agreement, and it is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the proposed Decision and Order or to modify its terms in any way.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010-12183 Filed 5-20-10; 11:55 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-S

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Meeting of the Advisory Committee on Blood Safety and Availability

AGENCY: Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Secretary.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: As stipulated by the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services is hereby giving notice that the Advisory Committee on Blood Safety and Availability (ACBSA) will hold a meeting. The meeting will be open to the public.

DATES: The meeting will take place Thursday, June 10 and Friday, June 11, 2010, from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The Universities at Shady Grove, 9630 Gudelsky Drive, Rockville, Maryland 20850, Phone: 301-738-6000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jerry A. Holmberg, PhD, Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee on Blood Safety and Availability, Office of Public Health and Science, Department of Health and Human Services, 1101 Wootton Parkway, Suite 250, Rockville, MD 20852, (240) 453-8803, FAX (240) 453-8456, e-mail ACBSA@hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Advisory Committee on Blood Safety and Availability (ACBSA) provides advice to the Secretary and the Assistant Secretary for Health on a range of policy issues that impact (1) Definition of public health parameters around safety and availability of the blood supply and blood products, (2) broad public health, ethical and legal issues related to transfusion and transplantation safety, and (3) the implications for safety and the availability of various economic factors affecting product cost and supply.

Current Food and Drug Administration (FDA) policy recommends that men who have had sex with another man (MSM) even one time since 1977 should be deferred indefinitely from donating blood. The deferral of MSM began prior to the availability of tests for HIV in early 1985. The deferral has existed in its current form since September 1985. This and other related FDA policies are designed to address the major sources of known risk to the blood supply as well as the theoretical risk of emerging infectious disease (EID) transmission. FDA has reviewed the policy periodically, most recently at a meeting of the FDA Blood Products Advisory Committee in 2000 and in an FDA-sponsored public scientific workshop in

2006. After considering both public discussions FDA retained its policy. FDA has noted its commitment to continue to review its donor deferral recommendations.

Data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) indicate that HIV and other blood borne pathogens are not randomly distributed in the population, but are concentrated within specific subgroups, including those whose sex partners have risk behavior(s) associated with a higher prevalence of transfusion transmitted diseases (TTDs). MSM have an increased incidence and prevalence of several currently recognized transfusion-transmitted diseases (e.g. HBV, HIV, syphilis, and CMV). There is a theoretical concern that MSM populations may also be at increased risk for other unrecognized transfusion-transmitted agents.

Although today's blood supply is screened using highly sensitive tests, screening tests can be falsely negative during the "window period," defined as the interval between the time when an infected individual may transmit the disease and the time when screening tests become positive. A period of deferral is needed after high-risk exposure to prevent false negative tests from "window period" collections. Deferral of donors with high-risk exposure depends upon reliable responses to a donor questionnaire, which are never 100 percent accurate. Therefore, despite highly sensitive testing and current deferral policies, failures to identify infected donors may occur.

In addition, unsuitable blood may be released inadvertently through inventory control errors. This increased risk is believed to be primarily related to human errors resulting in the release of infected units from quarantine. This is based on the assumption that due to higher infectious disease prevalence in MSM, greater numbers of infected units would be collected, leading to a small overall increase in quarantine release errors. These quarantine release errors would likely be reduced if computerized inventory controls were in place in all blood facilities.

At the June 10-11, 2010 meeting, the HHS ACBSA will hear presentations and engage in deliberations on the current MSM deferral policy. Specifically, the ACBSA will be asked to discuss the following: what are the most important factors (e.g. societal, scientific, and economic) to consider in making a policy change; is the currently available scientific information including risk assessments sufficient to support a policy change at this time;