corporate center and the Wells Branch Industrial Park. This proposed change will increase the FTZ area at Site 3 to 1,612 acres. No specific manufacturing requests are being made at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is August 4, 2000. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to August 21, 2000).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce, Export Assistance Center, 1700 Congress, Second Floor, Austin, TX 78701. Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room 4008, Washington DC 20230.

Dated: May 26, 2000. Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary. [FR Doc. 00–14030 Filed 6–2–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Notice of Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping Duty Orders on Canned Pineapple Fruit From Thailand and Ferrovanadium and Nitrided Vanadium From Russia

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department of

Commerce ("the Department") is automatically initiating five-year ("sunset") reviews of the antidumping duty orders listed below. The International Trade Commission ("the Commission") is publishing concurrently with this notice its notices of *Institution of Five-Year Reviews* covering these same orders.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathryn B. McCormick, or James Maeder, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, at (202) 482–1930, or 482– 3330, respectively, or Vera Libeau, Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, at (202) 205–3176.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Initiation of Reviews

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.218 (see Procedures for Conducting Fiveyear ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998)), we are initiating sunset reviews of the following antidumping duty orders:

DOC Case No.	ITC Case No.	Country	Product
A–549–813	731–TA–706	Thailand	Canned Pineapple Fruit.
A–821–807	731–TA–807	Russia	Ferrovanadium and Nitrided Vanadium.

Statute and Regulations

Pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act, an antidumping duty ("AD") order will be revoked unless revocation or termination would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of (1) dumping, and (2) material injury to the domestic industry.

The reviews will be conducted pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act. The Department's procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews are set forth in Procedures for Conducting Fiveyear ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998) ("Sunset Regulations") and in 19 CFR part 351 (1999) in general. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98:3-Policies Regarding the Conduct of Fiveyear ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("Sunset Policy Bulletin'').

Filing Information

As a courtesy, we are making information related to sunset

proceedings, including copies of the Sunset Regulations and Sunset Policy Bulletin, the Department's schedule of sunset reviews, case history information (*e.g.*, previous margins, duty absorption determinations, scope language, import volumes), and service lists, available to the public on the Department's sunset internet website at the following address: "http://www.ita.doc.gov/ import admin/records/sunset/".

All submissions in the sunset reviews must be filed in accordance with the Department's regulations regarding format, translation, service, and certification of documents. These rules can be found at 19 CFR 351.303 (2000). Also, we suggest that parties check the Department's sunset website for any updates to the service list before filing any submissions. We ask that parties notify the Department in writing of any additions or corrections to the list. We also would appreciate written notification if you no longer represent a party on the service list.

Because deadlines in a sunset review are, in many instances, very short, we urge interested parties to apply for access to proprietary information under administrative protective order ("APO") immediately following publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of initiation of the sunset review. The Department's regulations on submission of proprietary information and eligibility to receive access to business proprietary information under APO can be found at 19 CFR 351.304–306 (*see* Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Administrative Protective Order Procedures; Procedures for Imposing Sanctions for Violation of a Protective Order, 63 FR 24391 (May 4, 1998)).

Information Required from Interested Parties

Domestic interested parties (defined in 19 CFR 351.102 (2000)) wishing to participate in the sunset reviews must respond not later than 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of initiation by filing a notice of intent to participate. The required contents of the notice of intent to participate are set forth in the Sunset Regulations at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(ii). In accordance with the Sunset Regulations, if we do not receive a notice of intent to participate from at least one domestic interested party by the 15-day deadline, the Department will automatically revoke the orders without further review.

If we receive a notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, the Sunset Regulations provide that *all parties* wishing to participate in the sunset review must file substantive responses not later than 30 days after the date of publication in the Federal **Register** of the notice of initiation. The required contents of a substantive response are set forth in the Sunset Regulations at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). Note that certain information requirements differ for foreign and domestic parties. Also, note that the Department's information requirements are distinct from the International Trade Commission's information requirements. Please consult the Sunset Regulations for information regarding the Department's conduct of sunset reviews.¹ Please consult the Department's regulations at 19 CFR part 351 (2000) for definitions of terms and for other general information concerning antidumping duty order proceedings at the Department.

This notice of initiation is being published in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c).

Dated: May 30, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–14023 Filed 6–2–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-822, A-583-820]

Helical Spring Lock Washers From the People's Republic of China and Taiwan; Final Results of Expedited Sunset Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Expedited Sunset Reviews: Helical Spring Lock Washers From the People's Republic of China and Taiwan.

SUMMARY: On November 2, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on helical

spring lock washers ("HSLWs") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") and Taiwan (64 FR 59160) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On the basis of notices of intent to participate filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and inadequate response (in these cases, no response) from respondent interested parties, the Department determined to conduct expedited reviews. As a result of these reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the Final Results of Reviews section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 5, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eun W. Cho or Carole Showers, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1698 or (202) 482–3217, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (1999) in general. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98:3—Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("Sunset Policy Bulletin").

Background

On November 2, 1999, the Department initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping orders on HSLWs from the PRC and Taiwan (64 FR 59160), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. On the basis of a notice to participate and adequate substantive response filed on behalf of a domestic interested party in each review, and inadequate response (in these cases, no response) from respondent interested parties, we determined to conduct expedited reviews. The Department has conducted these sunset reviews in accordance with sections 751 and 752 of the Act.

Scope

The products covered by this review are HSLWs of carbon steel, of carbon alloy steel, or of stainless steel, heattreated or non-heat-treated, plated or non-plated, with ends that are off-line. HSLWs are designed to: (1) Function as a spring to compensate for developed looseness between the component parts of a fastened assembly; (2) distribute the load over a larger area for screws or bolts; and, (3) provide a hardened bearing surface. The scope does not include internal or external tooth washers, nor does it include spring lock washers made of other metals, such as copper. HSLWs subject to this review are currently classifiable under subheading 7318.21.0030 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope remains dispositive.

There has been one scope ruling with respect to HSLWs from the PRC and Taiwan. On November 21, 1997, the Department ruled that HSLWs imported into the United States in an uncut, coil form are within the scope of the order.¹

Analysis of Substantive Responses

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to these sunset reviews are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo'') from Jeffrey A. May, Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Troy H. Cribb, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated May 30, 2000, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the attached Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the orders revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B–099 of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at www.ita.doc.gov/ import_admin/records/frn/. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Reviews

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on HSLWs from the PRC and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or

¹ A number of parties commented that these interim-final regulations provided insufficient time for rebuttals to substantive responses to a notice of initiation (Sunset Regulations, 19 CFR 351.218(d)(4)). As provided in 19 CFR 351.302(b) (2000), the Department will consider individual requests for extension of that five-day deadline based upon a showing of good cause.

¹ See Notice of Scope Rulings, 62 FR 62288 (November 21, 1997)