

## FTA REGIONAL AND METROPOLITAN OFFICES

Mary Beth Mello, Regional Administrator, Region 1—Boston, Kendall Square, 55 Broadway, Suite 920, Cambridge, MA 02142–1093, Tel. 617–494–2055.

States served: Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont.

Brigid Hynes-Cherin, Regional Administrator, Region 2—New York, One Bowling Green, Room 429, New York, NY 10004–1415, Tel. 212–668–2170.

States served: New Jersey, New York

New York Metropolitan Office, Region 2—New York, One Bowling Green, Room 428, New York, NY 10004–1415, Tel. 212–668–2202.

Letitia Thompson, Regional Administrator, Region 3—Philadelphia, 1760 Market Street, Suite 500, Philadelphia, PA 19103–4124, Tel. 215–656–7100.

States served: Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, and District of Columbia.

Philadelphia Metropolitan Office, Region 3—Philadelphia, 1760 Market Street, Suite 500, Philadelphia, PA 19103–4124, Tel. 215–656–7070.

Washington, D.C. Metropolitan Office, 1990 K Street, NW., Room 510, Washington, DC 20006, Tel. 202–219–3562.

Yvette Taylor, Regional Administrator, Region 4—Atlanta, 230 Peachtree Street, NW Suite 800, Atlanta, GA 30303, Tel. 404–865–5600.

States served: Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, Puerto Rico, South Carolina, Tennessee, and the Virgin Islands.

Marisol Simon, Regional Administrator, Region 5—Chicago, 200 West Adams Street, Suite 320, Chicago, IL 60606, Tel. 312–353–2789.

States served: Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Wisconsin.

Chicago Metropolitan Office, Region 5—Chicago, 200 West Adams Street, Suite 320, Chicago, IL 60606, Tel. 312–353–2789.

Robert C. Patrick, Regional Administrator, Region 6—Ft. Worth, 819 Taylor Street, Room 8A36, Ft. Worth, TX 76102, Tel. 817–978–0550.

States served: Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, New Mexico and Texas.

Mokhtee Ahmad, Regional Administrator, Region 7—Kansas City, MO, 901 Locust Street, Room 404, Kansas City, MO 64106, Tel. 816–329–3920.

States served: Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska.

Terry Rosapep, Regional Administrator, Region 8—Denver, 12300 West Dakota Ave., Suite 310, Lakewood, CO 80228–2583, Tel. 720–963–3300.

States served: Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming.

Leslie T. Rogers, Regional Administrator, Region 9—San Francisco, 201 Mission Street, Room 1650, San Francisco, CA 94105–1926, Tel. 415–744–3133.

States served: American Samoa, Arizona, California, Guam, Hawaii, Nevada, and the Northern Mariana Islands.

Los Angeles Metropolitan Office, Region 9—Los Angeles, 888 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1850, Los Angeles, CA 90017–1850, Tel. 213–202–3952.

Rick Krochalis, Regional Administrator, Region 10—Seattle, Jackson Federal Building, 915 Second Avenue, Suite 3142, Seattle, WA 98174–1002, Tel. 206–220–7954.

States served: Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington.

[FR Doc. 2011–4873 Filed 3–3–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–57–P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Maritime Administration

[Docket No. MARAD 2011–0017]

## Information Collection Available for Public Comments and Recommendations

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Maritime Administration's (MARAD's) intention to request extension of approval for three years of a currently approved information collection.

**DATES:** Comments should be submitted on or before May 3, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rita Jackson, Maritime Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590. Telephone: (202) 366–0284; or e-mail: [Rita.Jackson@dot.gov](mailto:Rita.Jackson@dot.gov). Copies of this collection can also be obtained from that office.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title of Collection:* Request for Waiver of Service Obligation, Request for Deferment of Service Obligation, and Application for Review.

*Type of Request:* Extension of currently approved information collection.

*OMB Control Number:* 2133–0510.

*Form Numbers:* MA–935, MA–936, MA–937.

*Expiration Date of Approval:* Three years from date of approval by the Office of Management and Budget.

*Summary of Collection of Information:* This information collection is essential for determining if a student or graduate of the United States Merchant Marine Academy (USMMA) or subsidized student or graduate of a State maritime academy has a waivable situation preventing them from fulfilling the requirements of a service obligation contract signed at the time of their enrollment in a Federal maritime training program. It also permits the Maritime Administration (MARAD) to determine if a graduate, who wishes to defer the service obligation to attend graduate school, is eligible to receive a deferment. Their service obligation is required by law.

*Need and Use of the Information:*

This information collected establishes overall compliance with the service obligation contract in support of the Economic Growth and Trade and National Security goals identified in the DOT Strategic Plan. Because the graduates are required to serve as commissioned officers in the U.S. Merchant Marine Reserve, U.S. Naval Reserve (as an aspect of the service obligation), they become the Navy's single largest source of naval reserve officers except for Naval R.O.T.C. In their civilian capacities, they are required first to sail on their professional merchant marine licenses or work in the maritime industry ashore. This dual role makes the graduates especially valuable because national defense planning initiatives and the Nation's economic needs depend on available personnel who are highly trained.

*Description of Respondents:* U.S. Merchant Marine Academy students and graduates, and subsidized students and graduates who attend the State Maritime Academies.

*Annual Responses:* 11.

*Annual Burden:* 3.30 hours.

**Comments:** Comments should be referred to the docket number that appears at the top of this document. Written comments may be submitted to the Docket Clerk, U.S. DOT Dockets,

Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590. Comments also may be submitted by electronic means via the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Specifically address whether this information collection is necessary for proper performance of the functions of the agency and will have practical utility, accuracy of the burden estimates, ways to minimize this burden, and ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected. All comments received will be available for examination at the above address between 10 a.m. and 5 p.m. EDT (or EST), Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays. An electronic version of this document is available on the World Wide Web at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

**Privacy Act:** Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477-78) or you may visit <http://www.regulations.gov>.

**Authority:** 49 CFR 1.66.

By Order of the Maritime Administrator.  
Dated: February 14, 2011.

**Christine Gurland,**

*Secretary, Maritime Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2011-4939 Filed 3-3-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-81-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

#### Petition for Exemption From the Federal Motor Vehicle Motor Theft Prevention Standard; Jaguar Land Rover

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Grant of petition for exemption.

**SUMMARY:** This document grants in full the petition of Jaguar Land Rover North America's, (Land Rover) petition for an exemption of the Range Rover Evoque vehicle line in accordance with 49 CFR part 543, *Exemption from the Theft Prevention Standard*. This petition is

granted because the agency has determined that the antitheft device to be placed on the line as standard equipment is likely to be as effective in reducing and deterring motor vehicle theft as compliance with the parts-marking requirements of the Theft Prevention Standard (49 CFR part 541).

**DATES:** The exemption granted by this notice is effective beginning with the 2012 model year.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Carlita Ballard, Office of International Policy, Fuel Economy and Consumer Programs, NHTSA, W43-439, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590. Ms. Ballard's phone number is (202) 366-5222. Her fax number is (202) 493-2990.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In a petition dated November 22, 2010, Land Rover requested an exemption from the parts-marking requirements of the theft prevention standard (49 CFR Part 541) for the Range Rover Evoque vehicle line beginning with MY 2012. The petition has been filed pursuant to 49 CFR part 543, *Exemption from Vehicle Theft Prevention Standard*, based on the installation of an antitheft device as standard equipment for the entire vehicle line.

Under § 543.5(a), a manufacturer may petition NHTSA to grant an exemption for one vehicle line per model year. In its petition, Land Rover provided a detailed description and diagram of the identity, design, and location of the components of the antitheft device for the Range Rover Evoque vehicle line. Land Rover stated that the Range Rover Evoque vehicles will be equipped with a passive, transponder based, electronic engine immobilizer antitheft device as standard equipment beginning with the 2012 model year. Key components of its antitheft device will include a power train module, instrument cluster, body control module, remote frequency receive immobilizer antenna unit, smart key, door control units and a perimeter alarm system. The immobilizer device is automatically immobilized when the Smart Key is removed from the vehicle. Land Rover stated that the Smart Key is programmed and synchronized to the vehicle by means of a unique identification code key and a secret code key which is randomly generated and unique to each vehicle.

Additionally, Land Rover states that its antitheft device will include an audible and visual perimeter alarm system as standard equipment that can be armed manually or programmed to arm automatically with the Smart Key. If the hood, luggage compartment, or doors are opened during an unauthorized

entry, the vehicle siren alarm will sound and the exterior lights will flash.

Land Rover stated that there are three methods of vehicle operation and engine start: (1) Pulling the driver's door handle with correct Smart Key authentication, and pressing the ignition start button; (2) unlocking the vehicle with the Smart Key unlock button; and (3) using the emergency key blade.

In addressing the specific content requirements of 543.6, Land Rover provided information on the reliability and durability of its proposed device. To ensure reliability and durability of the device, Land Rover conducted tests based on its own specified standards. Land Rover provided a detailed list of the tests conducted (*i.e.*, temperature and humidity cycling, high and low temperature cycling, mechanical shock, random vibration, thermal stress/shock tests, material resistance tests, dry heat, dust and fluid ingress tests). Land Rover stated that it believes that its device is reliable and durable because it complied with specified requirements for each test. Additionally, Land Rover stated that the vehicle's key recognition sequence includes in excess of a billion code combinations with encrypted data that is secure against copying. The coded data transfer between modules also use a unique secure identifier, random number and secure public algorithm.

Land Rover stated that since the Range Rover Evoque is a new vehicle line, there is no data from a previous generation vehicle to compare theft rate data, although, it stated, the immobilizer in the Range Rover Evoque is substantially similar to the antitheft device installed on the MY 2010 Jaguar XJ vehicle line that was previously granted an exemption by the agency on November 16, 2009. Land Rover stated that based on 2006-2008 MY theft data information published by NHTSA, Land Rover vehicles equipped with immobilizers had theft rates that were below the median. Land Rover also stated that the immobilizer in the Range Rover Evoque line is no less effective than devices NHTSA has already granted full exemptions (*i.e.*, Jaguar XK and XJ). Additionally, Land Rover submitted a Highway Loss Data Institute news release (July 19, 2000) showing an approximate 50% reduction in theft for vehicles installed with an immobilizer device.

Based on the evidence submitted by Land Rover, the agency believes that the antitheft device for the Range Rover Evoque vehicle line is likely to be as effective in reducing and deterring motor vehicle theft as compliance with