DATES: *Comment date:* Submit comments on or before January 31, 2011.

Public meeting date is: January 19, 2011, 9:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m., St. Mary's Episcopal Church, 1307 Holmes, Kansas City, MO 64106.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments identified by Notice 2010–PBS–2, by any of the following methods:
Regulations.gov: http://

www.regulations.gov. http:// www.regulations.gov. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by inputting "Notice 2010–PBS–2" under the heading "Enter Keyword or ID" and selecting "Search." Select the link "Submit a Comment" that corresponds with "Notice 2010–PBS–2" Follow the instructions provided at the "Submit a Comment" screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and "Notice 2010–PBS–2" on your attached document.

• Comments can also be filed electronically, by e-mail, to *r06nepa@gsa.gov.*

Instructions: Please submit comments only and cite "Notice 2010–PBS–2", in all correspondence related to this case. All comments received will be posted without change to http:// www.regulations.gov, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Chris Powers, GSA Regional NEPA Coordinator, 1500 East Bannister Road, Room 2135 (6PTA), Kansas City, MO 64131; Telephone (816) 823–5799.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: General: This EA is being prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. 4321, (NEPA), and regulations implementing NEPA issued by the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR 1500-1508), GSA ADM 1095.1, the GSA PBS NEPA Desk Guide and other applicable regulations and policies. The EA will inform GSA in its decisionmaking process. Compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), including NHPA Section 106, and other laws and requirements, will be coordinated with this EA process, and government agencies that are affected by the proposed actions or have special expertise will be consulted, whether or not they are cooperating agencies. GSA is already involved in discussions with other jurisdictional agencies to identify their issues and concerns. With this notice, GSA is asking agencies with jurisdiction and/or special expertise with respect to environmental issues to formally cooperate with GSA in the preparation of the EA. These agencies may choose to participate once they have evaluated

the proposal relative to their responsibilities. Agencies that would like to request cooperating agency status should follow the instructions for filing comments provided, above. An independent analysis of the issues will be presented in the EA. The EA will be placed in the public record and a comment period will be allotted on the Draft EA. GSA will consider all comments on the EA before making a final decision.

Purpose of Notice: The purpose of this notice is to: (1) Announce GSA's intent to prepare an EA; (2) announce the initiation of the public scoping process; (3) invite public participation during the scoping process and at the public scoping meeting; and (4) request public comments on the scope of the EA, including the potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Further Information on Public Participation and Dates: The public is encouraged to provide GSA with specific comments or concerns about the project. Comments should focus on the potential environmental effects, reasonable alternatives, and measures to avoid or lessen environmental impacts.

In addition to the above methods for submission of comments, those interested may also file a paper copy of comments, by regular mail, to Chris Powers, GSA Region 6 NEPA Coordinator, 1500 E. Bannister Road, Room 2135, Kansas City, Missouri 64131 or verbally offer comments to GSA's Region 6 NEPA Coordinator by calling (816) 823–5799.

Again, comments should be sent to GSA on or before January 31, 2011. With any comments, before including address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, be advised that the entire comment, including personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask in your comment to withhold from public review personal identifying information, GSA cannot guarantee that it will be able to do so.

Finally, in lieu of or in addition to sending written comments, GSA also invites you to attend the public scoping meeting scheduled and discussed in the body of this notice, above. Comments made at the public scoping meeting will also be considered in the EA process.

State and local government representatives are asked to notify their constituents of this planned project and encourage them to comment on their areas of concern.

A fact sheet prepared by GSA will be made available at the Public Scoping Meeting and will be posted to a GSA Project Web site (*http://www.gsa.gov/ r6news*), thereafter.

Dated: December 10, 2010.

Kevin D. Rothmier,

Director of Portfolio Management (6PT), U.S. General Services Administration, PBS, Heartland Region.

[FR Doc. 2010–31724 Filed 12–16–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6820–CG–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[30-Day-11-0765]

Agency Forms Undergoing Paperwork Reduction Act Review

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) publishes a list of information collection requests under review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these requests, call the CDC Reports Clearance Officer at (404) 639–5960 or send an email to *omb@cdc.gov*. Send written comments to CDC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503 or by fax to (202) 395–5806. Written comments should be received within 30 days of this notice.

Proposed Project

Fellowship Management System, (OMB No. 0920–0765 exp. 2/28/2011),— Revision—Scientific Education and Professional Development Program Office (SEPDPO), Office of Surveillance, Epidemiology and Laboratory Services (OSELS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

SEPDPO requests an additional three years to continue CDC's use of the online Fellowship Management System (FMS), and a revision to include two additional CDC fellowship applications and ten additional CDC fellowship directories. FMS allows applicants to apply to fellowships online and tracks fellowship applicants and alumni in one integrated database.

FMS provides an efficient and effective way for processing application data, selecting qualified candidates, maintaining a current alumni database, documenting the impact of the fellowships on alumni's careers, and generating reports. FMS reduces duplicate applicant records as well as agency resources to administer and process paper records. The application process includes the following: Submission of responses to the questions in the online application; submission of academic transcripts and letters of recommendation; a review by selected programmatic staff and panel member experts; selection of qualified candidates for interview; interview of candidates; and selection of trainees for the fellowship programs.

The online application questions ask for academic history, professional experience, names of references, and description of professional goals. The application questions and data collected are necessary to the application process to determine programmatic eligibility and to ensure that the most highly qualified candidates are chosen for the training programs.

The alumni directory will allow CDC to maintain a current, centralized electronic database. Questions include updates to include email and other

contact information, professional responsibilities, medical certifications, qualifications, and scientific skills in the event that it becomes necessary to contact alumni possessing missioncritical skills to meet a national public health emergency or an urgent public health need. Alumni data will also be used by CDC to document the impact of the fellowships on the career paths of participants, and thus, on the science and practice of public health, and by the alumni for maintaining their professional networks for finding jobs, staffing jobs, collaborating, and interacting with their fellow alumni.

Alumni will have two options for the level of information they wish to be visible to other alumni of their fellowship. They will have the option of displaying only their name, fellowship year, and professional information or all of their information. The default is to display only their name, fellowship

ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

year, and professional information. This information is already in the public domain.

The annual burden table has been updated to reflect an increase in the number of fellowships participating in FMS.

The estimated annualized burden for all nine fellowship applications is 748 hours $(1,122 \text{ respondents} \times 40 \text{ minutes})$ for completing the application), and the estimated annualized burden for all twelve directories is 114 hours (454 respondents \times 15 minutes for updating their information). Note: Some alumni are deceased or cannot be located. Response burden assumes response from an individual responding alumnus, on average, every 3 years (which is likely an overestimate of frequency). There is no cost to respondents other than their time. The total estimated annualized burden hours are 862.

Type of respondents	Number of respondents	Frequency of Response	Average annualized burden per response (in hours)
Fellowship applicants	1122	1	40/60
Fellowship alumni	454		15/60

Dated: December 9, 2010. **Carol E. Walker,** *CDC Reports Clearance Officer, Centers for*

Disease Control and Prevention. [FR Doc. 2010–31672 Filed 12–16–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4163–18–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day-11-11BB]

Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call 404–639–5960 and send comments to Carol Walker, CDC Acting Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS–D74, Atlanta, GA 30333 or send an e-mail to *omb@cdc.gov*.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Proposed Project

Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design: Linking Observed School Environments With Student and School-Wide Experiences of Violence and Fear—New—Division of Violence Prevention (DVP), National Center for Injury Prevention (NCIPC), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

Among the goals of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Center for Injury Prevention and Control (NCIPC) is to reduce the prevalence of violence among youth. Several important priorities included in the Center's published research agenda focus on studying how physical environments influence behavior and risk for violence. The CDC has developed an observational tool called the Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) School Assessment (CSA) to assess the extent to which the physical characteristics of schools are consistent with Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles. The proposed research will allow an assessment of the validity of the CSA by examining the extent to which the CSA subscales, total CSA scores, and CPTED principles are related to fear and violence, and related variables. If the CSA tool is shown to measure characteristics of the school environment that are associated with fear and violence-related behaviors in