

Specifically excluded from the scope are the following types of tires:

- (1) Racing car tires; such tires do not bear the symbol "DOT" on the sidewall and may be marked with "ZR" in size designation;
- (2) pneumatic tires, of rubber, that are not new, including recycled and retreaded tires;
- (3) non-pneumatic tires, such as solid rubber tires;
- (4) tires designed and marketed exclusively as temporary use spare tires for passenger vehicles which, in addition, exhibit each of the following physical characteristics:
 - (a) The size designation and load index combination molded on the tire's sidewall are listed in Table PCT-1R ("T" Type Spare Tires for Temporary Use on Passenger Vehicles) or PCT-1B ("T" Type Diagonal (Bias) Spare Tires for Temporary Use on Passenger Vehicles) of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book,
 - (b) the designation "T" is molded into the tire's sidewall as part of the size designation, and,
 - (c) the tire's speed rating is molded on the sidewall, indicating the rated speed in MPH or a letter rating as listed by Tire and Rim Association Year Book, and the rated speed is 81 MPH or a "M" rating;
- (5) tires designed and marketed exclusively as temporary use spare tires for light trucks which, in addition, exhibit each of the following physical characteristics:
 - (a) the tires have a 265/70R17, 255/80R17, 265/70R16, 245/70R17, 245/75R17, 245/70R18, or 265/70R18 size designation;
 - (b) "Temporary Use Only" or "Spare" is molded into the tire's sidewall;
 - (c) the tread depth of the tire is no greater than 6.2 mm; and
 - (d) Uniform Tire Quality Grade Standards ("UTQG") ratings are not molded into the tire's sidewall with the exception of 265/70R17 and 255/80R17 which may have UTQG molded on the tire sidewall;
- (6) tires designed and marketed exclusively for specialty tire (ST) use which, in addition, exhibit each of the following conditions:
 - (a) The size designation molded on the tire's sidewall is listed in the ST sections of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book,
 - (b) the designation "ST" is molded into the tire's sidewall as part of the size designation,
 - (c) the tire incorporates a warning, prominently molded on the sidewall, that the tire is "For Trailer Service Only" or "For Trailer Use Only",
 - (d) the load index molded on the tire's sidewall meets or exceeds those load indexes listed in the Tire and Rim Association Year Book for the relevant ST tire size, and
 - (e) either
 - (i) the tire's speed rating is molded on the sidewall, indicating the rated speed in MPH or a letter rating as listed by Tire and Rim Association Year Book, and the rated speed does not exceed 81 MPH or an "M" rating; or
 - (ii) the tire's speed rating molded on the sidewall is 87 MPH or an "N" rating, and in either case the tire's maximum pressure and maximum load limit are molded on the sidewall and either
 - (1) both exceed the maximum pressure and maximum load limit for any tire of the same size designation in either the passenger car

or light truck section of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book; or

- (2) if the maximum cold inflation pressure molded on the tire is less than any cold inflation pressure listed for that size designation in either the passenger car or light truck section of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book, the maximum load limit molded on the tire is higher than the maximum load limit listed at that cold inflation pressure for that size designation in either the passenger car or light truck section of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book;
- (7) tires designed and marketed exclusively for off-road use and which, in addition, exhibit each of the following physical characteristics:
 - (a) The size designation and load index combination molded on the tire's sidewall are listed in the off-the-road, agricultural, industrial or ATV section of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book,
 - (b) in addition to any size designation markings, the tire incorporates a warning, prominently molded on the sidewall, that the tire is "Not For Highway Service" or "Not for Highway Use",
 - (c) the tire's speed rating is molded on the sidewall, indicating the rated speed in MPH or a letter rating as listed by the Tire and Rim Association Year Book, and the rated speed does not exceed 55 MPH or a "G" rating, and
 - (d) the tire features a recognizable off-road tread design;
 - (8) Tires designed and marketed for off-road use as all-terrain-vehicle (ATV) tires or utility-terrain-vehicle (UTV) tires, and which, in addition, exhibit each of the following characteristics:
 - (a) the tire's speed rating is molded on the sidewall, indicating the rated speed in MPH or a letter rating as listed by the Tire and Rim Association Year Book, and the rated speed does not exceed 87 MPH or an "N" rating, and
 - (b) both of the following physical characteristics are satisfied:
 - (i) The size designation and load index combination molded on the tire's sidewall does not match any of those listed in the passenger car or light truck sections of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book, and
 - (ii) The size designation and load index combination molded on the tire's sidewall matches any of the following size designation (American standard or metric) and load index combinations:

| American standard size | Metric size | Load index |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| 26x10R12 | 254/70R/12 | 72 |
| 27x10R14 | 254/65R/14 | 73 |
| 28x10R14 | 254/70R/14 | 75 |
| 28x10R14 | 254/70R/14 | 86 |
| 30x10R14 | 254/80R/14 | 79 |
| 30x10R15 | 254/75R/15 | 78 |
| 30x10R14 | 254/80R/14 | 90 |
| 31x10R14 | 254/85R/14 | 81 |
| 32x10R14 | 254/90R/14 | 95 |
| 32x10R15 | 254/85R/15 | 83 |
| 32x10R15 | 254/85R/15 | 94 |
| 33x10R15 | 254/90R/15 | 86 |
| 33x10R15 | 254/90R/15 | 95 |
| 35x9.50R15 ... | 241/105R/15 .. | 82 |
| 35x10R15 | 254/100R/15 .. | 97 |

The products covered by this investigation are currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 4011.10.10.10, 4011.10.10.20, 4011.10.10.30, 4011.10.10.40, 4011.10.10.50, 4011.10.10.60, 4011.10.10.70, 4011.10.50.00, 4011.20.10.05, and 4011.20.50.10. Tires meeting the scope description may also enter under the following HTSUS subheadings: 4011.90.10.10, 4011.90.10.50, 4011.90.20.10, 4011.90.20.50, 4011.90.80.10, 4011.90.80.50, 8708.70.45.30, 8708.70.45.46, 8708.70.45.48, 8708.70.45.60, 8708.70.60.30, 8708.70.60.45, and 8708.70.60.60. While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written description of the subject merchandise is dispositive.

Appendix II—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Investigation
- IV. Changes from the Preliminary Determination
- V. Application of Facts Available and Use of Adverse Inference
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Application of Partial Adverse Facts Available (AFA) to Certain Downstream Sales of Hankook
 - Comment 2: Hankook's Revised Home and U.S. Market Sales Data
 - Comment 3: Hankook's Minor Corrections
 - Comment 4: Hankook's Warranty Expenses
 - Comment 5: Application of Partial AFA to Nexen's Sample Sales
 - Comment 6: Nexen's CEP Offset
 - Comment 7: Nexen's Noise Reduction Foam and Special Wrapping Costs
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021-11262 Filed 5-26-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-878]

Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that certain corrosion-resistant steel products (CORE) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) were sold in the United States at less than normal value during the period of review of July 1, 2018, through June 30, 2019.

DATES: Applicable May 27, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leo Ayala, Elfi Blum, or Lingjun Wang, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3945, (202) 482-0197, or (202) 482-2316, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 24, 2020, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of the 2018–2019 administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on CORE from Korea.¹ This review covers eleven companies,² of which we collapsed Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd. and Dongbu Incheon Steel Co., Ltd. as single entity (i.e., Dongbu) for AD purposes, and selected Dongbu, Dongkuk, and Hyundai as mandatory respondents.

We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results* and received case and rebuttal briefs.³ On March 22, 2021, we extended the time limit for the final results of this review from 120 days to 168 days.⁴ On May 10, 2021, Commerce extended the deadline for the final results by an additional 10 days to May 21, 2021.⁵ Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of

the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are CORE from Korea. For a complete description of the scope of this order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁶

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised by parties in the case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is provided in the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on the comments received, we made changes for these final results which are explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Final Determination of No Shipments

We received no comments regarding the no shipments claims with respect to NSSVC, HSG, and TDA since the *Preliminary Results*. Further, we have analyzed the questionnaire responses submitted by the respondents since the *Preliminary Results* and determined that the record contains no information that calls into question a finding of no shipments.⁷ Therefore, we find that NSSVC, HSG, and TDA had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR.

⁶ See Memorandum, "Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019," dated with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁷ See NSSVC's Letter, "Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: NSSVC's Response to the Department's Administrative Review Questionnaire," dated November 24, 2020; TDA's Letters, "Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea, 7/1/2018–6/30/2018 Administrative Review, Case No. A–580–878: Initial Questionnaire Response," dated November 23, 2020, and "Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea, Case No. A–580–878: No Shipment letter," dated October 9, 2019; and HSG's Letter, "Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the People's Republic of China: Response to Questionnaire," dated March 11, 2020.

Rate for Non-Examined Companies

The statute and Commerce's regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally "an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}."

In this review, the final estimated weighted-average dumping margins for Dongbu and Dongkuk are not zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts otherwise available, and for Hyundai is zero. Therefore, Commerce calculated the rate for non-examined companies using a weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins calculated for Dongbu and Dongkuk using each company's publicly ranged values for the subject merchandise.⁸

Final Results of the Administrative Review

We determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period July 1, 2018, through June 30, 2019:

⁸ Commerce normally calculates (A) a weighted-average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents; (B) a simple average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents; and (C) a weighted-average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents using each company's publicly ranged U.S. sale values of the subject merchandise. Commerce then selects from (B) and (C) the rate closest to (A) as the most appropriate rate for non-examined companies. See *Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Review, and Revocation of an Order in Part*, 75 FR 53661, 53663 (September 1, 2010).

⁹ Commerce finds that that KG Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd. is the successor-in-interest to Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd. and Dongbu Incheon Steel Co., Ltd. for purposes of determining AD cash deposits and liabilities. See *Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Flat Products and Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Changed*

¹ See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*; 2018–019, 85 FR 74987 (November 24, 2020) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² The eleven companies are: Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd., Dongbu Incheon Steel Co., Ltd., Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd. (Dongkuk), Hyundai Steel Company (Hyundai), POSCO Ltd., POSCO Daewoo Corporation, POSCO International Corporation, POSCO Coated & Color Steel Co., Hoa Sen Group (HSG), Ton Dong A Corporation (TDA), and Nippon Steel and Sumikin Sales Vietnam Co. (NSSVC).

³ See Petitioners' Letters: "Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Petitioners' Case Brief Regarding Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd.," dated January 11, 2021; "Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Petitioners' Case Brief Regarding Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd.," dated January 11, 2021; "Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from South Korea: Petitioners' Rebuttal Brief Regarding Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd.," dated January 19, 2021; Dongkuk's Letters, "Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Case Brief," dated January 11, 2021; and "Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Rebuttal Brief," dated January 19, 2021.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated March 22, 2021.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Second Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated May 10, 2021.

| Producer or exporter | Weighted-average dumping margin (percent) |
|---|---|
| Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd./Dongbu Incheon Steel Co., Ltd. ⁹ | 0.86 |
| Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd | 0.76 |
| Hyundai Steel Company | 0.00 |
| Review-Specific Average Rate Applicable to the Following Companies:¹⁰ | |
| POSCO, POSCO Daewoo Corporation and POSCO International Corporation | 0.80 |
| POSCO Coated & Color Steel Co., Ltd | 0.80 |

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these final results to parties in this proceeding within five days after public announcement of the final results, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protections (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. We will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of the sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by each respondent for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

Consistent with its recent notice,¹¹ Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has

expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the respondents noted above will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 8.31 percent, the all-others cash deposit rate established in the investigation.¹² These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties

prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: May 21, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
- V. Final Determination of No Shipments
- VI. Discussion of Comments
 - Comment 1: Home Market Packing Expenses
 - Comment 2: Clad Material/Coating Metal (CMETALH/U) Coding

Circumstances Reviews, 86 FR 10922 (February 23, 2021).

¹⁰ See *supra* n.8.

⁹ Commerce finds that that KG Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd. is the successor-in-interest to Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd. and Dongbu Incheon Steel Co., Ltd. for purposes of determining AD cash deposits and liabilities. See *Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Flat Products and Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Changed*

Circumstances Reviews, 86 FR 10922 (February 23, 2021).

¹⁰ See *supra* n.8.

¹¹ See *Notice of Discontinuation of Policy to Issue Liquidation Instructions After 15 Days in Applicable Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Proceedings*, 86 FR 3995 (January 15, 2021).

¹² See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India, Italy, the People's Republic of China,*

the Republic of Korea and Taiwan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determination for India and Taiwan, and Antidumping Duty Orders, 81 FR 48390 (July 25, 2016), as amended by *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony with Final Determination of Investigation and Notice of Amended Final Results*, 83 FR 39054 (August 8, 2018).

Comment 3: Changes to Calculations
 Comment 4: General & Administrative
 (G&A) Expenses
 Comment 5: Constructed Export Price
 (CEP) Offset
 Comment 6: Cost of Production-Smoothing
 VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021-11247 Filed 5-26-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-489-829]

Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar From the Republic of Turkey: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that sales of steel concrete reinforcing bar (rebar) from the Republic of Turkey (Turkey) were made at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) July 1, 2018, through June 30, 2019.

DATES: Applicable May 27, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Copyak or Thomas Dunne, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3642 or (202) 482-2328, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 24, 2020, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review and invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.¹ These final results cover seven companies for which an administrative review was initiated and not rescinded. On December 22, 2020, Kaptan Demir Celik Endustrisi ve Ticaret A.S. (Kaptan Demir) and Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi A.S. (Icdas) (collectively, the respondents) filed a joint case brief.² Also, on the same day, the Rebar Trade

Action Coalition (the petitioner) filed its case brief.³

On January 8, 2021, we received a joint rebuttal brief from the respondents and a rebuttal brief from the petitioner.⁴ On February 26, 2021, Commerce rejected the respondents' case brief and the petitioner's rebuttal brief for containing untimely new factual information.⁵ On March 2, 2021, the respondents' submitted a revised case brief, and the petitioner submitted its revised rebuttal case brief.⁶

On March 3, 2021, Commerce extended the deadline for these final results until May 21, 2021.⁷

Scope of the Order⁸

The scope of the *Order* covers rebar from Turkey. A full description of the scope of the *Order* is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁹

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed by parties in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is in the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's

³ See Petitioner's Letter, "Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey: RTAC's Case Brief," dated December 22, 2020.

⁴ See Respondents' Letter, "Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey: Turkish Respondents' Rebuttal Case Brief," dated January 8, 2021; see also Petitioner's Letter, "Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from the Republic of Turkey: RTAC's Rebuttal Brief," dated January 8, 2021.

⁵ See Commerce Letters, "Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey—Rejection of Case Brief Containing Untimely New Factual Information," dated February 26, 2021 and "Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey—Rejection of Rebuttal Brief Containing Untimely New Factual Information," dated February 26, 2021.

⁶ See Respondents' Letter, "Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey: Submission of Turkish Respondents' Revised Case Brief," dated March 2, 2021; see also Petitioner's Letter, "Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Turkey: Resubmission of RTAC's Rebuttal Brief," dated March 2, 2021.

⁷ See Memorandum, "Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey," dated March 3, 2021.

⁸ See *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey and Japan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination for the Republic of Turkey and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 82 FR 32532 (July 14, 2017) (*Order*).

⁹ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2018–2019 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Turkey," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received, and for the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we made certain changes from the *Preliminary Results*.

Final Determination of No Shipments

For the *Preliminary Results*, we found that Habas Sinai ve Tibbi Gazlar Istihsal Endüstrisi A.S (Habas) did not have any shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. No parties commented on this preliminary determination. For the final results of review, we continue to find that Habas made no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR.

Final Results of Administrative Review

For these final results, we determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period July 1, 2018, through June 30, 2019:

| Producers/exporters | Weighted-average dumping margin (percent) |
|---|---|
| Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi A.S. ¹⁰ | 5.30 |
| Kaptan Demir Celik Endüstrisi ve Ticaret A.S. | 12.41 |
| Review-Specific Average Rate Applicable to the Following Companies: ¹¹ | |
| Colakoglu Dis Ticaret A.S. ... | 7.05 |
| Colakoglu Metalurji A.S. | 7.05 |
| Diler Dis Ticaret A.S. | 7.05 |
| Kaptan Metal Dis Ticaret ve Nakliyat A.S. | 7.05 |

Rates for Non-Selected Companies

For the rate for non-selected respondents in an administrative

¹⁰ We have determined that the two company names (Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim and Icdas) refer to the same company, and the rate calculated for Icdas applies to both company names. See *Preliminary Results* and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 2.

¹¹ This rate is based on the rates for the respondents that were selected for individual review, excluding rates that are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available. See section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act; see also Memorandum, "Final Results of the Antidumping Administrative Review of Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey; 2018–2019: Calculation of the Cash Deposit Rate for Non-Selected Companies," dated concurrently with these final results (Non-Selected Companies Memorandum).

¹ See *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No-Shipments; 2018–2019*, 85 FR 74983 (November 24, 2020) (*Preliminary Results*).

² See Respondents' Letter, "Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey: Turkish Respondents' Case Brief," dated December 22, 2020.