

become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act¹⁴ and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.¹⁵

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR-MRX-2025-09 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-MRX-2025-09. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the

provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-MRX-2025-09 and should be submitted on or before June 9, 2025.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁶

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2025-08851 Filed 5-16-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-103033; File No. SR-FINRA-2025-001]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc.; Notice of Designation of a Longer Period for Commission Action on a Proposed Rule Change To Exempt Certain Business Development Companies From FINRA Rules 5130 (Restrictions on the Purchase and Sale of Initial Equity Public Offerings) and 5131 (New Issue Allocations and Distributions)

May 13, 2025.

On March 20, 2025, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to exempt certain business development companies from FINRA Rules 5130 (Restrictions on the Purchase and Sale of Initial Equity Public Offerings) and 5131 (New Issue Allocations and Distributions). The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on March 31, 2025.³

¹⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 102723 (March 25, 2025), 90 FR 14284. Comments received

Section 19(b)(2) of the Act⁴ provides that, within 45 days of the publication of notice of the filing of a proposed rule change, or within such longer period up to 90 days as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission shall either approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved. The 45th day after publication of the notice for this proposed rule change is May 15, 2025. The Commission is extending this 45-day time period.

The Commission finds that it is appropriate to designate a longer period within which to take action on the proposed rule change so that it has sufficient time to consider the proposed rule change and the comments received. Accordingly, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, the Commission designates June 29, 2025, as the date by which the Commission shall either approve or disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove, the proposed rule change (File No. SR-FINRA-2025-001).

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁵

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2025-08847 Filed 5-16-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-103029; File No. SR-CboeEDGX-2025-034]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Its Fee Schedule by Revising the Shares Component Applicable to Add/Remove Volume Tiers 1-3

May 13, 2025.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on May 1, 2025, Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.

on the proposed rule change are available at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-finra-2025-001/srfinra2025001.htm>.

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁵ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(31).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

¹⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

¹⁵ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

(“Exchange” or “EDGX”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “EDGX”) proposes to amend its Fee Schedule by revising the shares component applicable to Add/Remove Volume Tiers 1–3. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange’s website (http://markets.cboe.com/us/options/regulation/rule_filings/edgx/), at the Exchange’s Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “EDGX”) proposes to amend its Fee Schedule by revising the shares component applicable to Add/Remove Volume Tiers 1–3.

The Exchange first notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or incentives to be insufficient. More specifically, the Exchange is only one of 16 registered equities exchanges, as well as a number of alternative trading systems and other off-exchange venues that do not have similar self-regulatory responsibilities under the Act, to which

market participants may direct their order flow. Based on publicly available information,³ no single registered equities exchange has more than 15% of the market share. Thus, in such a low-concentrated and highly competitive market, no single equities exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of order flow. The Exchange in particular operates a “Maker-Taker” model whereby it pays rebates to members that add liquidity and assesses fees to those that remove liquidity. The Exchange’s Fee Schedule sets forth the standard rebates and rates applied per share for orders that provide and remove liquidity, respectively. Currently, for orders in securities priced at or above \$1.00, the Exchange provides a standard rebate of \$0.00160 per share for orders that add liquidity and assesses a fee of \$0.0030 per share for orders that remove liquidity.⁴ For orders in securities priced below \$1.00, the Exchange provides a standard rebate of \$0.00003 per share for orders that add liquidity and assesses a fee of 0.30% of the total dollar value for orders that remove liquidity.⁵ Additionally, in response to the competitive environment, the Exchange also offers tiered pricing which provides Members opportunities to qualify for higher rebates or reduced fees where certain volume criteria and thresholds are met. Tiered pricing provides an incremental incentive for Members to strive for higher tier levels, which provides increasingly higher benefits or discounts for satisfying increasingly more stringent criteria.

Add/Remove Volume Tiers

Under footnote 1 of the Fee Schedule, the Exchange currently offers various Add/Remove Volume Tiers. In particular, the Exchange offers nine Add/Remove Volume Tiers (Tier 1 through Tier 9) that each pay Members an enhanced rebate for qualifying orders yielding fee codes B,⁶ V,⁷ Y,⁸ 3,⁹ or 4,¹⁰ when a Member reaches certain add or

remove volume-based criteria. The Exchange now proposes to update the shares component for Add/Remove Volume Tiers 1–3. Currently, the criteria for Add/Remove Volume Tiers 1–3 is as follows:

- Add/Remove Volume Tier 1 provides an enhanced rebate of \$0.0020 per share for qualifying orders (*i.e.*, orders yielding fee codes B, V, Y, 3, or 4) where: (1) Member adds an ADV¹¹ (excluding fee codes ZA¹² and ZO¹³) greater than or equal to 0.15% of the TCV;¹⁴ or (2) Member adds an ADV (excluding fee codes ZA and ZO) greater than or equal to 16,000,000 shares.

- Add/Remove Volume Tier 2 provides an enhanced rebate of \$0.0025 per share for qualifying orders (*i.e.*, orders yielding fee codes B, V, Y, 3, or 4) where: (1) Member adds an ADV (excluding fee codes ZA and ZO) greater than or equal to 0.18% of the TCV; or (2) Member adds an ADV (excluding fee codes ZA and ZO) greater than or equal to 20,000,000 shares.

- Add/Remove Volume Tier 3 provides an enhanced rebate of \$0.0027 per share for qualifying orders (*i.e.*, orders yielding fee codes B, V, Y, 3, or 4) where: (1) Member adds an ADV (excluding fee codes ZA and ZO) greater than or equal to 0.25% of the TCV; or (2) Member adds an ADV (excluding fee codes ZA and ZO) greater than or equal to 30,000,000 shares.

The Exchange proposes to update the shares component of Add/Remove Volume Tiers 1–3, as follows:

- Proposed Add/Remove Volume Tier 1 provides an enhanced rebate of \$0.0020 per share for qualifying orders (*i.e.*, orders yielding fee codes B, V, Y, 3, or 4) when: (1) Member adds an ADV (excluding fee codes ZA and ZO) greater than or equal to 0.15% of the TCV; or (2) Member adds an ADV (excluding fee codes ZA and ZO) greater than or equal to 20,000,000 shares.

- Proposed Add/Remove Volume Tier 2 provides an enhanced rebate of \$0.0025 per share for qualifying orders (*i.e.*, orders yielding fee codes B, V, Y,

³ See Cboe Global Markets, U.S. Equities Market Volume Summary, Month-to-Date (April 25, 2025), available at https://www.cboe.com/us/equities/market_statistics/.

⁴ See EDGX Equities Fee Schedule, Standard Rates.

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ Fee code B is appended to orders that add liquidity to EDGX in Tape B securities.

⁷ Fee code V is appended to orders that add liquidity to EDGX in Tape A securities.

⁸ Fee code Y is appended to orders that add liquidity to EDGX in Tape C securities.

⁹ Fee code 3 is appended to orders that add liquidity to EDGX in the pre and post market in Tape A or Tape C securities.

¹⁰ Fee code 4 is appended to orders that add liquidity to EDGX in the pre and post market in Tape B securities.

¹¹ ADV means average daily volume calculated as the number of shares added to, removed from, or routed by, the Exchange, or any combination or subset thereof, per day. ADV is calculated on a monthly basis.

¹² Fee code ZA is appended to Retail Orders that add liquidity to EDGX.

¹³ Fee code ZO is appended to Retail Orders that add liquidity to EDGX in the pre and post market.

¹⁴ TCV means total consolidated volume calculated as the volume reported by all exchanges and trade reporting facilities to a consolidated transaction reporting plan for the month for which the fees apply. The Exchange excludes from its calculation of TCV volume on any day that the Exchange experiences an Exchange System Disruption, on any day with a scheduled early market close, and the Russell Reconstitution Day.

3, or 4) when: (1) Member adds an ADV (excluding fee codes ZA and ZO) greater than or equal to 0.18% of the TCV; or (2) Member adds an ADV (excluding fee codes ZA and ZO) greater than or equal to 30,000,000 shares.

- Proposed Add/Remove Volume Tier 3 provides an enhanced rebate of \$0.0027 per share for qualifying orders (*i.e.*, orders yielding fee codes B, V, Y, 3, or 4) when: (1) Member adds an ADV (excluding fee codes ZA and ZO) greater than or equal to 0.25% of the TCV; or (2) Member adds an ADV (excluding fee codes ZA and ZO) greater than or equal to 45,000,000 shares.

The proposed modification to the shares component of Add/Remove Volume Tiers 1–3 represents a modest increase in difficulty of one prong of criteria to achieve the applicable tier threshold in response to higher market volumes while maintaining an existing prong of criteria and the existing rebates. The Exchange believes that the proposed criteria continues to be commensurate with the rebate received for each tier and will encourage Members to grow their volume on the Exchange. Increased volume on the Exchange contributes to a deeper and more liquid market, which benefits all market participants and provides greater execution opportunities on the Exchange.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.¹⁵ Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)¹⁶ requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)¹⁷ requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed

to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

As described above, the Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or incentives to be insufficient. The Exchange believes that its proposal to modify Add/Remove Volume Tiers 1–3 reflects a competitive pricing structure designed to incentivize market participants to direct their order flow to the Exchange, which the Exchange believes would enhance market quality to the benefit of all Members. Specifically, the Exchange’s proposal to introduce a slightly higher share component to Add/Remove Volume Tiers 1–3 in response to higher market volumes is not a significant departure from existing criteria, is reasonably correlated to the enhanced rebates offered by the Exchange and other competing exchanges,¹⁸ and will continue to incentivize Members to submit order flow to the Exchange. Additionally, the Exchange notes that relative volume-based incentives and discounts have been widely adopted by exchanges,¹⁹ including the Exchange,²⁰ and are reasonable, equitable and non-discriminatory because they are open to all Members on an equal basis and provide additional benefits or discounts that are reasonably related to (i) the value to an exchange’s market quality and (ii) associated higher levels of market activity, such as higher levels of liquidity provision and/or growth patterns. Competing equity exchanges offer similar tiered pricing structures, including schedules or rebates and fees that apply based upon members achieving certain volume and/or growth thresholds, as well as assess similar fees or rebates for similar types of orders, to that of the Exchange.

In particular, the Exchange believes its proposal to modify Add/Remove Volume Tiers 1–3 is reasonable because the revised tiers will be available to all Members and provide all Members with an opportunity to receive an enhanced rebate. The Exchange further believes its proposal to modify Add/Remove Volume Tiers 1–3 will provide a reasonable means to encourage liquidity

adding displayed orders in Members’ order flow to the Exchange and to incentivize Members to continue to provide liquidity adding volume to the Exchange by offering them an opportunity to receive an enhanced rebate on qualifying orders. An overall increase in activity would deepen the Exchange’s liquidity pool, offer additional cost savings, support the quality of price discovery, promote market transparency and improve market quality, for all investors.

The Exchange believes that its proposal to modify Add/Remove Volume Tiers 1–3 is reasonable as the proposed criteria does not represent a significant departure from the criteria currently offered in the Fee Schedule. The Exchange also believes that the proposal represents an equitable allocation of fees and rebates and is not unfairly discriminatory because all Members continue to be eligible for the proposed Add/Remove Volume Tiers 1–3 and have the opportunity to meet the tiers’ criteria and receive the corresponding enhanced rebate if such criteria is met. Without having a view of activity on other markets and off-exchange venues, the Exchange has no way of knowing whether this proposed rule change would definitely result in any Members qualifying for proposed Add/Remove Volume Tier 1–3. While the Exchange has no way of predicting with certainty how the proposed changes will impact Member activity, based on the prior month’s volume, the Exchange anticipates that at least two Members will be able to satisfy proposed Add/Remove Volume Tier 1, no Members will be able to satisfy proposed Add/Remove Volume Tier 2, and at least two Members will be able to satisfy proposed Add/Remove Volume Tier 3. The Exchange also notes that proposed changes will not adversely impact any Member’s ability to qualify for enhanced rebates offered under other tiers. Should a Member not meet the proposed new criteria, the Member will merely not receive that corresponding enhanced rebate.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Rather, as discussed above, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes would encourage the submission of additional order flow to a public exchange, thereby promoting market depth, execution incentives and enhanced execution opportunities, as well as price discovery

¹⁸ See Nasdaq Price List, Rebate to Add Displayed Liquidity, Shares Executed at or Above \$1.00 available at <https://nasdaqtrader.com/Trader.aspx?id=PriceListTrading2>; see also NYSE Arca Equities Fees and Charges, Adding Tiers, available at https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/nyse-arca/NYSE_Arca_Marketplace_Fees.pdf.

¹⁹ See *e.g.*, BZX Equities Fee Schedule, Footnote 1, Add/Remove Volume Tiers.

²⁰ See *e.g.*, EDGX Equities Fee Schedule, Footnote 1, Add/Remove Volume Tiers.

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹⁷ *Id.*

and transparency for all Members. As a result, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes further the Commission's goal in adopting Regulation NMS of fostering competition among orders, which promotes "more efficient pricing of individual stocks for all types of orders, large and small."

The Exchange believes the proposed rule changes do not impose any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Particularly, the Exchange's proposal to modify Add/Remove Volume Tiers 1–3 will apply to all Members equally in that all Members are eligible for the modified tiers, have a reasonable opportunity to meet the proposed tiers' criteria and will receive the enhanced rebate on their qualifying orders if such criteria is met. The Exchange does not believe the proposed changes burden competition, but rather, enhance competition as they are intended to increase the competitiveness of EDGX by amending existing pricing incentives in order to attract order flow and incentivize participants to increase their participation on the Exchange, providing for additional execution opportunities for market participants and improved price transparency. Greater overall order flow, trading opportunities, and pricing transparency benefits all market participants on the Exchange by enhancing market quality and continuing to encourage Members to send orders, thereby contributing towards a robust and well-balanced market ecosystem.

Next, the Exchange believes the proposed rule changes does not impose any burden on intermarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. As previously discussed, the Exchange operates in a highly competitive market. Members have numerous alternative venues that they may participate on and direct their order flow, including other equities exchanges, off-exchange venues, and alternative trading systems. Additionally, the Exchange represents a small percentage of the overall market. Based on publicly available information, no single equities exchange has more than 15% of the market share.²¹ Therefore, no exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of order flow. Indeed, participants can readily choose to send their orders to other exchange and off-exchange venues if they deem fee levels at those other venues to be more favorable. Moreover, the Commission

has repeatedly expressed its preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. Specifically, in Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system "has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies."²² The fact that this market is competitive has also long been recognized by the courts. In *NetCoalition v. Securities and Exchange Commission*, the D.C. Circuit stated as follows: "[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is 'fierce.' . . . As the SEC explained, '[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution'; [and] 'no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted' because 'no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers'. . . ."²³ Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe its proposed fee change imposes any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act²⁴ and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b–4²⁵ thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the

Commission takes such action, the Commission will institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR–CboeEDGX–2025–034 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.
- All submissions should refer to file number SR–CboeEDGX–2025–034. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR–CboeEDGX–2025–034 and should be submitted on or before June 9, 2025.

²¹ *Supra* note 3.

²² See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005).

²³ *NetCoalition v. SEC*, 615 F.3d 525, 539 (D.C. Cir. 2010) (quoting Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770, 74782–83 (December 9, 2008) (SR–NYSEArca–2006–21)).

²⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

²⁵ 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f).

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²⁶

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2025-08843 Filed 5-16-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Proposed Southern Nevada Supplemental Airport, Clark County, Nevada: Cancellation of Suspended Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Process; Preparation of New EIS and Resource Management Plan Amendment; Public Scoping

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of cancellation of suspended EIS; Notice of intent to prepare new EIS, amend the BLM Las Vegas Resource Management Plan, and Request for Scoping comments.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), in cooperation with the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), as Joint Lead Agencies (JLA), is issuing this notice to advise the public, that the JLA has discontinued preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the construction of the Proposed Southern Nevada Supplemental Airport (SNSA) initiated in 2006 under provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended. Due to recent legislative changes to the NEPA statute, and to establish an official start date for the environmental review of the proposed SNSA, the FAA, in cooperation with the BLM, are issuing this notice to advise the public that we are canceling the existing EIS process that was started in 2006, and are beginning a new EIS and Resource Management Plan Amendment (RMPA) to assess the potential impacts of the proposed SNSA.

DATES: Three (3) public scoping meetings are planned for the general public. A virtual public scoping meeting will be held on July 29, 2025, from 6 p.m. to 8 p.m. Pacific daylight time. Two in-person meetings will be held. The first in-person meeting will be held on July 30, 2025, at from 5 p.m. to 7 p.m. Pacific daylight time. The second in-person meeting will be held on July 31, 2025, from 6 p.m. to 8 p.m. Pacific daylight time.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the scope of the EIS and BLM Planning Criteria must be submitted to the JLA either via U.S. Mail to the contacts listed the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this notice or by email to SNSAEIS@landrumbrown.com.

The July 30, 2025 in-person meeting will be held at the East Las Vegas Library—Multipurpose Rooms 1 and 2 at 2851 East Bonanza Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101.

The July 31, 2025 in-person meeting will be held at the Primm Valley Casino Resorts in the Primm Valley Ballroom, 31900 South Las Vegas Boulevard, Primm, Nevada 89019. Additional information on the meetings, including how to register, can be found on the following websites: <https://www.snvaairporteis.com> and the BLM National NEPA Register at <https://eplanning.blm.gov/eplanning-ui/project/2037961/510>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David B. Kessler, AICP, Project Manager, Southern Nevada Supplemental Airport EIS, AWP-610.1, Airports Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Western-Pacific Region, 777 South Aviation Boulevard, El Segundo, California 90245. Telephone: 424-405-7315. Email: dave.kessler@faa.gov, and Joanie Guerrero, Realty Specialist, Bureau of Land Management, Las Vegas Field Office, 4701 N Torrey Pines Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89130, Telephone: 702-515-5274, Email: jguerrero@blm.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 5, 2006, the FAA published a Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS for the proposed SNSA (71 FR 52367). On January 25, 2008, FAA published a Notice of Availability of the Draft Purpose and Need Working Paper for the Draft EIS which was available for public comment pursuant to section 304 of the Vision 100 Century of Aviation Act of 2003 (Pub. L. 108-176) [49 U.S.C. 47171(I)] (73 FR 4666). On August 4, 2008, FAA published a Notice of Availability of the Draft Alternatives Working Paper for the Draft EIS for public comment pursuant to section 304 of the Vision 100 Century of Aviation Act of 2003 (Pub. L. 108-175) [49 U.S.C. 47171(I)] (73 FR 45268).

The FAA received a letter dated June 29, 2010, from the Clark County Department of Aviation asking FAA to suspend any further work on the EIS. The reasons for this action included the local economic conditions in Las Vegas, and Clark County, as well as other local fiscal and budgetary constraints. In 2023, following the COVID-19 (Pandemic), the economic conditions in

Clark County improved and passenger usage at Harry Reid International Airport have returned to pre-Pandemic conditions.

The EIS/RMPA will include consideration of the 5,752 acres of previously transferred federally managed lands by the BLM in 2000, to Clark County for the new airport (Pub. L. 106-362). In addition to the 5,752 acres of previously transferred federally managed lands by the BLM, 2,320 acres for flood mitigation infrastructure shall be conveyed to Clark County upon an acceptable Record of Decision (ROD) under Public Law 113-291. Lastly, the 17,000-acres designated as the Noise Compatibility Area around the proposed new airport, shall be conveyed to Clark County from the BLM upon an acceptable Record of Decision (ROD) under Public Law 107-282. This law also includes the establishment of the Ivanpah transportation and utilities corridor between the Las Vegas valley and the proposed SNSA for the placement, on a nonexclusive basis, of transportation and utilities infrastructure. Also associated with the EIS and in compliance with NEPA, and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, as amended (FLPMA), the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Las Vegas Field Office (LVFO) intends to prepare a resource management plan amendment (RMPA).

In the 2000 Ivanpah Valley Airport Public Lands Transfer Act, (Pub. L. 106-362), Congress directed the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), acting on behalf of the Secretary of the U.S. Department of the Interior, to transfer property in Ivanpah Valley, Nevada to Clark County for the purpose of developing an airport facility and related infrastructure. That transfer has been completed. Other BLM Managed lands associated with the proposed airport would also be transferred to Clark County under the Clark County Conservation of Public Land and Natural Resources Act of 2002 (Pub. L. 107-282), Land Conveyance for Southern Nevada Supplemental Airport (Pub. L. 113-291), and the National Defense Authorization Act of 2015 (Pub. L. 113-291). However, in accordance with the Ivanpah Valley Airport Public Lands Transfer Act, should completion of the NEPA process lead to the determination that an airport should not be constructed at the site, it will be transferred back to BLM ownership.

The Ivanpah Valley Airport Public Lands Transfer Act also directed the U.S. Departments of Transportation and the Interior to prepare a joint EIS “with respect to initial planning and

²⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).