the scope of the *Orders, see* the Issues and Decisions Memorandum.⁷

Analysis of Comments Received

A complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation of the Orders and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the Orders were to be revoked, is provided in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.8 A list of the topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached in the Appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https:// access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be directly accessed at https://access.trade.gov/public/ FRNoticesListLayout.aspx.

Final Results of Sunset Reviews

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1), 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the *Orders* would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail would be weighted-average dumping margins up to 47.98 percent for India and up to 77.15 percent for China.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective, orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act,

and 19 CFR 351.218 and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

Dated: March 27, 2025.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary

II. Background

III. Scope of the Orders

IV. History of the Orders

V. Legal Framework

VI. Discussion of the Issues

- 1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
- 2. Magnitude of the Margins of Dumping Likely to Prevail

VII. Final Results of Sunset Reviews VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2025–05638 Filed 4–1–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-899]

Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From India: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Final Determination of Antidumping Investigation; Notice of Amended Final Determination; Notice of Amended Order, in Part

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 7, 2025, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment in Daikin America, Inc. v. United States, Slip Op. 25-22 Court no. 22-00122, sustaining the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce)'s remand redetermination pertaining to the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation of granular polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) resin from India covering the period of investigation January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2020. Commerce is notifying the public that the CIT's final judgment is not in harmony with Commerce's final determination in the investigation, and that Commerce is amending the final determination and the resulting antidumping duty (AD) order with respect to the dumping margin assigned to Gujarat Fluorochemicals Limited (GFCL), and all other producers and exporters of subject merchandise.

ct merchandise.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Noah Wetzel, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–7466.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 25, 2022, Commerce published its *Final Determination* in the LTFV investigation of granular PTFE resin from India.1 In the Final Determination, Commerce accepted GFCL's allocated movement expenses, as reported, and granted GFCL a constructed export price (CEP) offset. Commerce subsequently published the AD order on granular PTFE from India.² On March 14, 2024, the CIT instructed Commerce to reconsider: (1) whether it was feasible for GFCL to report its domestic inland freight, international freight, and domestic inland insurance expenses on a transaction-specific basis based on the sales documentation on the record and, if not, whether the expenses were calculated on as specific a basis as possible and whether the reporting of such expenses does not cause inaccuracies or distortions; and (2) whether GFCL demonstrated its eligibility for a CEP offset.3

In its final remand redetermination, issued March 14, 2024, Commerce explained why it was not feasible for GFCL to report movement expenses on a transaction-specific basis, and why GFCL's allocation of these expenses was reasonable, based on its records maintained in the normal course of business, and was not distortive.⁴ Also, upon reconsideration, we determined that GFCL had not shown that it was entitled to a CEP offset and we revised GFCL's AD rate to remove the CEP offset adjustment. Based on the change, Commerce calculated a weightedaverage dumping margin for GFCL of 10.36 percent for the period of January

⁷ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Polyester Textured Yarn from India and the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

DATES: Applicable March 17, 2025.

¹ See Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from India: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances, 87 FR 3772 (January 25, 2022) (Final Determination), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (IDM).

² See Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from India and the Russian Federation: Antidumping Duty Orders, 87 FR 14514 (March 15, 2022) (Order).

³ See Daikin America, Inc. v. United States, Court No. 22–00122, ECF No. 42 (CIT March 14, 2024) (Remand Order) at 1.

⁴ See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, Daikin America, Inc. v. United States, Consol. Court No. 22–00122, Slip Op. 24– 32 (CIT March 14, 2024), dated July 11, 2024 (Final Redetermination).

1, 2020, through December 31, 2020.⁵ On March 7, 2025, the CIT sustained Commerce's remand redetermination.⁶

Timken Notice

In its decision in Timken,7 as clarified by Diamond Sawblades,8 the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to sections 516A(c) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's March 7, 2025, judgment constitutes a final decision of the CIT that is not in harmony with Commerce's Final Determination. Thus, this notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of Timken.

Amended Final Determination

Because there is now a final court judgment, Commerce is amending its Final Determination with respect to GFCL and all other producers and exporters of subject merchandise as follows:

Company	Remand weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Gujarat Fluorochemicals Limited (GFCL) All Others	10.36 10.36

Amended AD Order

Pursuant to section 735(c)(2) of the Act, Commerce shall issue an AD order under section 736 of the Act when the final determination is affirmative. As a result of this amended final determination, Commerce is hereby amending the *Order* to revise the weighted-average dumping margin assigned to GFCL and all other producers and exporters of subject merchandise, as noted above.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Because GFCL has a superseding cash deposit rate (*i.e.*, there have been final results published in a subsequent administrative review), Commerce will only issue revised cash deposit instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection with respect to the rate

assigned to all other producers and exporters.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(c) and (e) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 27, 2025.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance. [FR Doc. 2025–05639 Filed 4–1–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-883]

Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that producers and exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) October 1, 2022, through September 30, 2023.

DATES: Applicable April 2, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Schauer or Bryan Hansen, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0410 or (202) 482–3683, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 13, 2024, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of this administrative review of the antidumping duty order ¹ on certain hotrolled steel flat products (hot-rolled steel) from the Republic of Korea (Korea), rescinded the administrative review of 46 companies and invited

comments from interested parties.² This review covers two producers/exporters of the subject merchandise, Hyundai Steel Company (Hyundai Steel) and POSCO and POSCO International Corporation (PIC) (collectively POSCO/PIC).³

On December 9, 2024, Commerce tolled the deadline to issue the final results of review until June 11, 2025.4 From December 9, 2024, through December 13, 2024, Commerce verified POSCO/PIC's home-market sales and certain U.S. expenses.⁵ A summary of the events that occurred since the Preliminary Results, as well as a full discussion of the issues raised by parties for these final results, are discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁶ Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the *Order* are hot-rolled steel. A full description of the scope of the *Order* is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁷

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed by parties in this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum and listed in the Appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS).

⁵ See Final Redetermination.

⁶ See Daikin America, Inc. v. United States, Court No. 22–00122, Slip Op. 25–22 (CIT March 7, 2025) (CIT Decision).

⁷ See Timken Co. v. United States, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (Timken).

⁸ See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition* v. United States, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).

¹ See Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Australia, Brazil, Japan, the Republic of Korea, the Netherlands, the Republic of Turkey, and the United Kingdom: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determinations for Australia, the Republic of Korea, and the Republic of Turkey and Antidumping Duty Orders, 81 FR 67962 (October 3, 2016) (Order).

² See Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023, 89 FR 89588 (November 13, 2024) (Preliminary Results), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

³ As we did in the previous segments of this proceeding and the *Preliminary Results*, we continue to treat POSCO and PIC as a single entity for the final results of this review. *See Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2021–2022, 88 FR 55665, 55666 n.7 (August 16, 2023); <i>see also Preliminary Results*, 89 FR at 89589 n.9.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings," dated December 9, 2024.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Verification of the Questionnaire Responses of POSCO and POSCO International Corporation," dated December 17,

⁶ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea; 2022–2023," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁷ Id.