

public conduct during advisory committee meetings.

Notice of this meeting is given under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. app. 2).

Dated: June 27, 2011.

**Jill Hartzler Warner,**

*Acting Associate Commissioner for Special Medical Programs.*

[FR Doc. 2011-16576 Filed 6-30-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4160-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### National Institutes of Health

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Food Reporting Comparison Study (FORCS) and Food and Eating Assessment Study (FEAST) (NCI)

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of Section 3507(a)(1)(D) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the National Cancer Institute (NCI), the National Institutes of Health (NIH), has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve

the information collection listed below. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on April 15, 2011 (76 FR 21383). One public comment was received on April 15 requesting a copy of the data collection package. The submission was sent to the requestor on April 21. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comment. The National Institutes of Health may not conduct or sponsor, and the respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection that has been extended, revised, or implemented on or after October 1, 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

**Proposed Collection:** Title: Food Reporting Comparison Study (FORCS) and Food and Eating Assessment Study (FEAST) (NCI). **Type of Information Collection Request:** Extension. **Need and Use of Information Collection:** The title of this collection was previously, "24-Hour Dietary Recall Method Comparison and the National Cancer Institute (NCI) Observational Feeding Studies." The objective of the two studies is to compare the performance of the newly developed computerized

Automated Self-Administered 24-Hour Recall (ASA24) approach to collecting 24-hour recall (24HR) data with the current standard, the interviewer-administered Automated Multiple Pass Method (AMPM). The ultimate goal is to determine to what extent the new automated instrument can be used instead of the more expensive interviewer-administered instrument in the collection of dietary intake data. **Frequency of Response:** Twice. **Affected Public:** Individuals. **Type of Respondents:** For the FORCS study, approximately 1,200 adult members from three health maintenance organization plans (in Minnesota, California, and Michigan) between ages 20 and 70 years. For the FEAST study, approximately 90 adult residents from the Washington, DC metropolitan area between ages 20 and 70 years. The annual reporting burden is estimated at 866 hours (see table below). This amounts to an estimated 2598 burden hours over the 3-year data collection period with a total cost to the respondents \$54,293. There are no Capital costs, Operating costs, and/or Maintenance costs to report.

Participants and study	Questionnaire	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Average time per response minutes/hour	Annual hour burden
General Public for FORCS.	Refusal Reasons and Demographics (Attach 4A, Screen 8).	1770	1	5/60 (0.083)	148
	Contact Information (Attach 4A, Screen 5).	400	1	5/60 (0.083)	33
	Screeners (Attach 5) .....	400	1.00	5/60 (0.083)	33
	AMPM (Attach 1) .....	400	1.00	30/60 (0.50)	200
	ASA24 (Attach 2) .....	400	1.00	30/60 (0.50)	200
	Demographics and Health Questionnaire (Attach 6).	360	1.00	10/60 (0.167)	60
	Demographics, Health and Preference Questionnaire (Attach 7).	360	1.00	15/60 (0.25)	90
	Screeners (Attach 8) .....	33	1.00	5/60 (0.083)	6
General Public for FEAST.	Reminder Telephone Call (Attach 10)	33	1.00	5/60 (0.083)	6
	Eating 3 meals .....	33	1.00	135/60 (2.25)	151
	Either AMPM or ASA24 (Attach 1 or 2).	33	1.00	30/60 (0.50)	34
	Demographics and Health Questionnaire (Attach 12).	33	1.00	10/60 (0.167)	11
		3485	.....	.....	866

**Request for Comments:** Written comments and/or suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one or more of the following points: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information

including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**Direct Comments to OMB:** Written comments and/or suggestions regarding

the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Attention: NIH Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Office of Regulatory Affairs at [OIRA\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov) or by fax to 202-395-6974. To request more information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans, contact Frances E. Thompson,

PhD, Project Officer, National Cancer Institute, NIH, EPN 4095A, 6130 Executive Boulevard MSC 7335, Bethesda, Maryland 20892-7335, or call non-toll-free number 301-594-4410, or Fax your request to 301-435-3710, or e-mail your request, including your address, to [thompsof@mail.nih.gov](mailto:thompsof@mail.nih.gov).

**Comments Due Date:** Comments regarding this information collection are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of the date of this publication.

Dated: June 27, 2011.

**Vivian Horovitch-Kelley,**

*NCI Project Clearance Liaison, National Institutes of Health.*

[FR Doc. 2011-16613 Filed 6-30-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4140-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### National Institutes of Health

#### New Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Neuropsychosocial Measures Formative Research Methodology Studies for the National Children's Study

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), the National Institutes of Health (NIH) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects to be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on May 2, 2011, pages 24497-24498, and allowed 60 days for public comment. No comments were received. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comment.

#### Proposed Collection

**Title:** Neuro-developmental and Psycho-Social Measures Formative Research Studies for the National Children's Study (NCS).

**Type of Information Collection**

**Request:** Generic Clearance.

**Need and Use of Information**

**Collection:** The Children's Health Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106-310) states:

(a) *Purpose.*—It is the purpose of this section to authorize the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development\* to conduct a national longitudinal study of environmental influences (including physical, chemical, biological, and psychosocial) on children's health and development.

(b) *In General.*—The Director of the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development\* shall establish a consortium of representatives from appropriate Federal agencies (including the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the Environmental Protection Agency) to—

(1) Plan, develop, and implement a prospective cohort study, from birth to adulthood, to evaluate the effects of both chronic and intermittent exposures on child health and human development; and

(2) Investigate basic mechanisms of developmental disorders and environmental factors, both risk and protective, that influence health and developmental processes.

(c) *Requirement.*—The study under subsection (b) shall—

(1) Incorporate behavioral, emotional, educational, and contextual consequences to enable a complete assessment of the physical, chemical, biological, and psychosocial environmental influences on children's well-being;

(2) Gather data on environmental influences and outcomes on diverse populations of children, which may include the consideration of prenatal exposures; and

(3) Consider health disparities among children, which may include the consideration of prenatal exposures.

To fulfill the requirements of the Children's Health Act, the results of formative research will be used to maximize the efficiency (measured by

scientific robustness, participant and infrastructure burden, and cost) of tools to assess language, behavior, and neurodevelopment, psychosocial stress, and health literacy and thereby inform data collection methodologies for the National Children's Study (NCS) Vanguard and Main Studies. With this submission, the NCS seeks to obtain OMB's generic clearance to conduct formative research featuring neuro-developmental and psycho-social measures.

The results from these formative research projects will inform the feasibility (scientific robustness), acceptability (burden to participants and study logistics) and cost of NCS Vanguard and Main Study neuro-developmental and psycho-social measures in a manner that minimizes public information collection burden compared to burden anticipated if these projects were incorporated directly into either the NCS Vanguard or Main Study.

**Frequency of Response:** Annual [As needed on an on-going and concurrent basis].

**Affected Public:** Members of the public, researchers, practitioners, and other health professionals.

**Type of Respondents:** Women of child-bearing age, infants, children, fathers, community leaders, members, and organizations, health care facilities and professionals, public health, environmental, social and cognitive science professional organizations and practitioners, hospital administrators, cultural and faith-based centers, and schools and child care organizations. These include both persons enrolled in the NCS Vanguard Study and their peers who are not participating in the NCS Vanguard Study.

**Annual reporting burden:** See Table 1. The annualized cost to respondents is estimated at: \$540,000 (based on \$10 per hour). There are no Capital Costs to report. There are no Operating or Maintenance Costs to report.

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN SUMMARY, ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE

Data collection activity	Type of respondent	Estimated number of respondents	Estimated number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Estimated total annual burden hours requested
Adult Psychosocial Stress .....	NCS participants .....	4,000	1	1	4,000
	Members of NCS target population (not NCS participants).	4,000	1	1	4,000
Child Developmental Measures .....	NCS participants .....	4,000	1	1	4,000
	Members of NCS target population (not NCS participants).	4,000	1	1	4,000
Health Disparities .....	NCS participants .....	4,000	1	1	4,000
	Members of NCS target population (not NCS participants).	4,000	1	1	4,000
Small, focused survey and instrument design and administration.	NCS participants .....	4,000	2	1	8,000