

between multiple grants. Given this tendency, HUD has been requiring a waiting period of 1 to 3 years before a Lead Hazard Reduction grantee is eligible for another Lead Hazard Reduction grant. For example, in its FY 2021 NOFO, HUD specified that if a jurisdiction received Lead Hazard Reduction grant funding in 2019 or 2020, it was not eligible to apply under the program;<sup>22</sup> and HUD specified in the FY 2024 NOFO that a jurisdiction that received Lead Hazard Reduction grant funding in 2023 was not eligible to apply under the program.<sup>23</sup> HUD is interested in feedback on whether a waiting period of two years is appropriate for a potential Lead Hazard Reduction formula grant or whether some other waiting period would be appropriate for maximizing the production of lead safe and healthy housing units by individual formula grantees and the program overall.

*c. Effect of poor performance under a previous grant on the award of a new formula grant.*

HUD grant award requirements incorporate the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) grant regulations, particularly its Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards at 2 CFR part 200. In particular, OMB's provisions relating to the award of grants<sup>24</sup> state that the pre-Federal award requirements and contents of Federal awards<sup>25</sup> apply to Federal grant agreements—such as the Lead Hazard Reduction grants with their Healthy Homes Supplements. Among those requirements is the Federal awarding agency review of risk posed by applicants. Awarding agencies, including HUD, must have a framework for evaluating the risks posed by applicants before they receive Federal awards.<sup>26</sup> HUD may decide that the risks posed by a particular jurisdiction are so high that the Department will not make an award to the jurisdiction, or HUD may apply special conditions to the grant that correspond to the degree of risk assessed. Among the factors that may be used in this risk evaluation is the jurisdiction's history of performance in managing Federal awards.<sup>27</sup>

Under title X, HUD has a national perspective on the effective use of Lead Hazard Reduction grant funds to eliminate lead-based paint hazards in

housing as expeditiously as possible.<sup>28</sup> HUD is interested in learning the public's views on how the poor performance of a prior-year Lead Hazard Reduction grantee (whether its grant is awarded competitively or by formula) should affect HUD's evaluation of the risk of awarding that grantee a formula grant. For example, HUD seeks suggestions regarding when not to award a grant to a previously poor performing grantee and, instead, award the funds to the jurisdiction with the next-highest need, and when and what special conditions to apply to a previously poor performing grantee.

*3. Regarding award amounts, should a Lead Hazard Reduction formula grant program have smaller awards to geographically disperse the funding, or should larger grant amounts be awarded which would concentrate funding in certain highest-risk communities but reduce the number of jurisdictions receiving funds?*

Title X identifies several purposes for the Lead Hazard Reduction program,<sup>29</sup> including to develop a national strategy to build the infrastructure necessary to eliminate lead-based paint hazards in all housing as expeditiously as possible and to reorient the national approach to the presence of lead-based paint in housing to implement, on a priority basis, a broad program to evaluate and reduce lead-based paint hazards in the Nation's housing stock. In implementing title X, HUD has awarded Lead Hazard Reduction grants across the U.S. to large and small jurisdictions, both rural and urban.

If a formula grant program is authorized, HUD intends to continue the approach regarding jurisdictions' size and urbanicity; however, HUD is interested in receiving feedback from the public on whether HUD's formula grant resources should focus on addressing lead-based paint hazards in as many as possible high-risk locations across the U.S. or focus on areas of highest potential lead exposure risk to have a greater effect on communities at highest risk, or in a balance between the two (and what the balancing criteria should be).

*4. What should be the period of performance duration for a formula grant?*

HUD's FY 2023 and FY 2024 Lead Hazard Reduction grant programs each have specified 48-month periods of performance. Previous grantee periods of performance have been 36 months

and 42 months. Historically, HUD has varied the periods of performance based predominately on the maximum award amount in a particular NOFO, with higher grant amounts necessitating longer periods of performance. HUD seeks public input on appropriate grant durations for a formula-based Lead Hazard Reduction grant.

**Matthew Ammon,**

*Director, Office of Lead Hazard Control and Healthy Homes.*

[FR Doc. 2024-21002 Filed 9-13-24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[BLM\_NV\_FRN; MO# 04500179291]

#### Notice of Availability of the Record of Decision for Libra Solar in Lyon and Mineral Counties, NV

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) announces the availability of the Record of Decision (ROD) for the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Libra Solar Project located in Lyon and Mineral Counties, Nevada. The ROD was signed on September 10, 2024, which constitutes the decision of the BLM, as approved by the Department of the Interior.

**DATES:** The ROD was signed on September 10, 2024.

**ADDRESSES:** The ROD is available online at <https://eplanning.blm.gov/eplanning-ui/project/2022592/510>. Printed copies of the ROD are available for the public at the Carson City District Office, 5665 Morgan Mill Road, Carson City, Nevada or can be provided upon request by Carson City District Public Room at: 775-885-6000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lisa Ross, Public Affairs Specialist, telephone (775) 885-6107; address 5665 Morgan Mill Road, Carson City, NV 89701; email [blm\\_nv\\_ccdo\\_libra\\_solar@blm.gov](mailto:blm_nv_ccdo_libra_solar@blm.gov). Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services for contacting Ms. Ross. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

<sup>22</sup> Available at <https://www.grants.gov/search-results-detail/333884>.

<sup>23</sup> Available at <https://www.grants.gov/search-results-detail/355076>.

<sup>24</sup> See the table in 2 CFR 200.101(b)(2).

<sup>25</sup> 2 CFR part 200, subpart C.

<sup>26</sup> 2 CFR 200.206.

<sup>27</sup> 2 CFR 200.206(b)(2)(iii).

<sup>28</sup> 42 U.S.C. 4851a(1).

<sup>29</sup> 42 U.S.C. 4851a.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department of the Interior has approved the BLM's decision to issue a right-of-way grant for construction, operation and maintenance (O&M), and decommissioning of a 5,141-acre solar facility wholly on BLM lands with a 24.1-mile-long gen-tie line to connect to the existing Fort Churchill substation near the town of Yerington in Lyon County, Nevada. The Project includes the following primary components: (1) a 700 megawatt (MW) alternating current (MWac) solar photovoltaic power generating facility; (2) a 700 MW battery energy storage system; (3) linear and ancillary facilities, including access roads, electrical distribution lines, and communication cables; (4) O&M facilities; and (5) a substation and a 24.1-mile-long 345 kilovolt (kV) or 525 kV gen-tie line into the Fort Churchill substation, of which 22.9 miles would be on the BLM-managed lands. The project and planning area is approximately 55 miles southeast of the Reno metropolitan area, 11 miles southeast of the town of Yerington, 7 miles west of U.S. Route 95, and 8 miles east of State Route 208.

The decision to authorize this energy generation project on public lands is consistent with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act, as amended, and the BLM's right-of-way regulations (43 U.S.C. 1761; 43 CFR part 2800).

Approval of this right-of-way constitutes the final decision of the Department of the Interior and is not subject to appeal under Departmental regulations at 43 CFR part 4.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1501.9)

**Kimberly D. Dow,**

*District Manager, Carson City District.*

[FR Doc. 2024–20948 Filed 9–13–24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4331–21–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1416]

### Certain Hydrodermabrasion Systems and Components Thereof II; Correction; Notice of Institution of Investigation; Correction

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

**SUMMARY:** Correction is made to the investigation caption.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Correction

In the **Federal Register** of September 10, 2024 (89 FR 73446–47) in FR Doc.

2024–20417, on page 73446, in the second column, the investigation caption should read: [Certain Hydrodermabrasion Systems and Components Thereof II].

Issued: September 10, 2024.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2024–20928 Filed 9–13–24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## JOINT BOARD FOR THE ENROLLMENT OF ACTUARIES

### Invitation for Membership on Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries.

**ACTION:** Request for applications.

**SUMMARY:** The Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries (Joint Board), established under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), is responsible for the enrollment of individuals who wish to perform actuarial services under ERISA. To assist in its examination duties mandated by ERISA, the Joint Board has established the Advisory Committee on Actuarial Examinations (Advisory Committee) in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA). The current Advisory Committee members' terms expire on February 28, 2025. This notice describes the Advisory Committee and invites applications from those interested in serving on the Advisory Committee for the March 1, 2025–February 28, 2027, term.

**DATES:** Applications for membership on the Advisory Committee must be received by the Joint Board no later than December 6, 2024.

**ADDRESSES:** Send applications electronically with APPLICATION FOR ADVISORY COMMITTEE inserted in subject line to [NHQJBEA@irs.gov](mailto:NHQJBEA@irs.gov). See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for application requirements.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elizabeth Van Osten, Designated Federal Officer, Advisory Committee on Actuarial Examinations, at 202–317–3648 or [elizabeth.j.vanosten@irs.gov](mailto:elizabeth.j.vanosten@irs.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### 1. Background

To qualify for enrollment to perform actuarial services under ERISA, an applicant must satisfy certain experience and knowledge requirements, which are set forth in the Joint Board's regulations. An applicant may satisfy the knowledge requirement

by successful completion of Joint Board examinations in basic actuarial mathematics and methodology and in actuarial mathematics and methodology relating to pension plans qualifying under ERISA.

The Joint Board, the Society of Actuaries, and the American Society of Pension Professionals & Actuaries jointly offer examinations acceptable to the Joint Board for enrollment purposes and acceptable to the other two actuarial organizations as part of their respective examination programs.

##### 2. Scope of Advisory Committee Duties

The Advisory Committee plays an integral role in the examination program by assisting the Joint Board in offering examinations that enable examination candidates to demonstrate the knowledge necessary to qualify for enrollment. The Advisory Committee's duties, which are strictly advisory, include (1) recommending topics for inclusion on the Joint Board examinations, (2) developing and reviewing examination questions, (3) recommending proposed examinations, (4) reviewing examination results and recommending passing scores, and (5) providing other recommendations and advice relative to the examinations, as requested by the Joint Board.

##### 3. Member Terms and Responsibilities

Members are appointed for a two-year term. The upcoming term will begin on March 1, 2025, and end on February 28, 2027. Members may seek reappointment for additional consecutive terms.

Members are expected to attend approximately four meetings each calendar year and are reimbursed for travel expenses in accordance with applicable government regulations. Meetings may be held in-person or by teleconference. In general, members are expected to devote 125 to 175 hours, including meeting time, to the work of the Advisory Committee over the course of a year.

##### 4. Member Selection

The Joint Board seeks to appoint an Advisory Committee that is fairly balanced in terms of points of view represented and functions to be performed. Every effort is made to ensure that most points of view extant in the enrolled actuary profession are represented on the Advisory Committee. To that end, the Joint Board seeks to appoint members from each of the main practice areas of the enrolled actuary profession, including small employer plans, large employer plans, and multiemployer plans. In addition, to ensure diversity of points of view, the