

from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Dated: June 17, 2005.

Roseanne Gonzales,

Director, Office of Program and Policy Services.

[FR Doc. 05-13146 Filed 7-1-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-376, 377, & 379 and 731-TA-788-793 (Review)]

Certain Stainless Steel Plate From Belgium, Canada, Italy, Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the countervailing duty orders on certain stainless steel plate from Belgium, Italy, and South Africa and that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on certain stainless steel plate from Belgium, Italy, Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.² The Commission further determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel plate from Canada would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.³

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on April 1, 2004 (69 FR 17235) and determined on July 6, 2004 that it

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Vice Chairman Deanna Tanner Okun and Commissioners Jennifer A. Hillman and Daniel R. Pearson dissenting.

³ Chairman Stephen Koplan and Commissioner Charlotte R. Lane dissenting.

would conduct full reviews (69 FR 45076, July 28, 2004). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on September 3, 2004 (69 FR 53946).⁴ The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on March 30, 2005, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on June 21, 2005. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3784 (June 2005), entitled Certain Stainless Steel Plate from Belgium, Canada, Italy, Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan: Investigations Nos. 701-TA-376, 377, & 379 and 731-TA-788-793 (Review).

Issued: June 28, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05-13123 Filed 7-1-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

National Council on the Humanities; Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended) notice is hereby given the National Council on the Humanities will meet in Washington, DC on July 28-29, 2005.

The purpose of the meeting is to advise the Chairman of the National Endowment for the Humanities with respect to policies, programs, and procedures for carrying out his functions, and to review applications for financial support from and gifts offered to the Endowment and to make recommendations thereon to the Chairman.

The meeting will be held in the Old Post Office Building, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. A portion of the morning and afternoon sessions on July 28-29, 2005, will not be open to the public pursuant to subsections (c)(4), (c)(6) and (c)(9)(B) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code because the Council will consider information that may disclose: Trade secrets and commercial or financial

⁴ The revised schedule for the subject reviews was published on January 27, 2005 (70 FR 3944).

information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential; information of a personal nature the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy; and information the premature disclosure of which would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of proposed agency action. I have made this determination under the authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority dated July 19, 1993.

The agenda for the sessions on July 28, 2005 will be as follows:

Committee Meetings

(Open to the Public)

Policy Discussion

9-10:30 a.m.

Challenge Grants—Room 415
Education Programs—Room 315
Federal/State Partnership—Room 507
Public Programs—Room 420

(Closed to the Public)

Discussion of specific grant applications and programs before the Council.

10:30 a.m. until Adjourned

Challenge Grants—Room 415
Education Programs—Room 315
Federal/State Partnership—Room 507
Public Programs—Room 420

2:30-3:30 p.m.

National Humanities Medals—Room 527

The morning session on July 29, 2005 will convene at 9:00 a.m., in the 1st Floor Council Room M-09, and will be open to the public, as set out below. The agenda for the morning session will be as follows:

A. Minutes of the Previous Meeting
B. Reports

1. Introductory Remarks
2. Staff Report
3. Congressional Report
4. Reports on Policy and General Matters
 - a. Challenge Grants
 - b. Education Programs
 - c. Federal/State Partnership
 - d. Public Programs
 - e. National Humanities Medals

The remainder of the proposed meeting will be given to the consideration of specific applications and closed to the public for the reasons stated above.

Further information about this meeting can be obtained from Mr. Michael P. McDonald, Acting Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506, or by calling (202) 606-8322, TDD (202) 606-

8282. Advance notice of any special needs or accommodations is appreciated.

Michael P. McDonald,

Acting Advisory Committee, Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 05-13183 Filed 7-1-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of OMB review of information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

1. *Title of submission, new, revision, or extension:* Extension.
2. *The title of the information collection:* NRC Form 445, Request For Approval of Official Foreign Travel.
3. *The form number if applicable:* NRC Form 445.
4. *How often the collection is required:* On occasion.
5. *Who will be required or asked to report:* Non-Federal consultants, contractors and NRC invited travelers (*i.e.*, non-NRC employees).
6. *An estimate of the number of annual responses:* 200.
7. *The estimated number of annual respondents:* 200.
8. *An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 200 hours (200 forms \times 1 hour each).
9. *An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Public Law 104-13 applies:* N/A.
10. *Abstract:* Form 445, "Request for Approval of Foreign Travel," is supplied by consultants, contractors, and NRC invited travelers who must travel to foreign countries in the course of conducting business for the NRC. In accordance with 48 CFR part 20, "NRC Acquisition Regulation," contractors

traveling to foreign countries are required to complete this form. The information requested includes the name of the Office Director/Regional Administrator or Chairman, as appropriate, the traveler's identifying information, purpose of travel, listing of the trip coordinators, other NRC travelers and contractors attending the same meeting, and a proposed itinerary.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O-1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC World Wide Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html>. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by August 4, 2005. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date. John A. Asalone, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0193), NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be e-mailed to JohnA.Asalone@omb.eop.gov or submitted by telephone at (202) 395-4650.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301-415-7233.

Dated in Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of June 2005.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Beth C. St. Mary,

Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.

[FR Doc. E5-3483 Filed 7-1-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR Part 9, Public Records.
2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0043.
3. *How often the collection is required:* On occasion.
4. *Who is required or asked to report:* Individuals requesting access to records under the Freedom of Information or Privacy Acts, or to records that are already publicly available in the NRC's Public Document Room. Submitters of information containing trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information who have been notified that the NRC has made an initial determination that the information should be disclosed.
5. *The number of annual respondents:* 7,987.
6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 2,120 (3.8 responses per respondent).
7. *Abstract:* 10 CFR Part 9 establishes information collection requirements for individuals making requests for records under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) or Privacy Act (PA). It also contains requests to waive or reduce fees for searching for and reproducing records in response to FOIA requests; and requests for expedited processing of requests. The information required from the public is necessary to identify the records they are requesting; to justify requests for waivers or reductions in searching or copying fees; or to justify expedited processing. Section 9.28(b) provides that if the submitter of information designated to be trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information objects to the disclosure, he must provide a written statement within 30 days that specifies all grounds why the information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential.

Submit, by September 6, 2005, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
2. Is the burden estimate accurate?
3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated

Submit, by September 6, 2005, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
2. Is the burden estimate accurate?
3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated