U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–3338.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 702 of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979 (as amended) ("the Act") requires the Department of Commerce ("the Department") to determine, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, whether any foreign government is providing a subsidy with respect to any article of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty, as defined in section 702(h) of the Act, and to publish an annual list and quarterly updates to the type and amount of those subsidies. We hereby provide the Department's quarterly update of

subsidies on articles of cheese that were imported during the period October 1, 2011, through December 31, 2011.

The Department has developed, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, information on subsidies (as defined in section 702(h) of the Act) being provided either directly or indirectly by foreign governments on articles of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty. The appendix to this notice lists the country, the subsidy program or programs, and the gross and net amounts of each subsidy for which information is currently available. The Department will incorporate additional programs which are found to constitute subsidies, and additional information on the subsidy programs listed, as the information is developed.

The Department encourages any person having information on foreign government subsidy programs which benefit articles of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty to submit such information in writing to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230.

This determination and notice are in accordance with section 702(a) of the Act.

Dated: February 15, 2012.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

APPENDIX

SUBSIDY PROGRAMS ON CHEESE SUBJECT TO AN IN-QUOTA RATE OF DUTY

| Country | Program(s) | Gross ¹ Subsidy (\$/lb) | Net ² Subsidy (\$/lb) |
|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 27 European Union Member States 3 | European Union Restitution Payments Export Assistance on Certain Types of Cheese Indirect (Milk) Subsidy Consumer Subsidy | \$0.00 0.34 0.00 0.00 | \$0.00 0.34 0.00 0.00 |
| | Total | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Switzerland | Deficiency Payments | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 |

¹ Defined in 19 U.S.C. 1677(5). ² Defined in 19 U.S.C. 1677(6).

[FR Doc. 2012–4122 Filed 2–21–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

North American Free Trade Agreement, Article 1904; NAFTA Panel Reviews; First Request for Panel Review

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: On February 10, 2012, Maquilacero S.A. de C.V. filed a First Request for Panel Review with the United States Section of the NAFTA Secretariat pursuant to Article 1904 of the North American Free Trade Agreement. Panel Review was requested of the U.S. Department of Commerce's final determination regarding Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Mexico, Final Results of 2009–2010 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review. This determination was

published in the **Federal Register** (77 FR 1915), on January 12, 2012. The NAFTA Secretariat has assigned Case Number USA–MEX–2012–1904–01 to this request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ellen Bohon, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482–5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter 19 of the North American Free Trade Agreement ("Agreement") established a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada, and the Government of Mexico established Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686).

A first Request for Panel Review was filed with the United States Section of the NAFTA Secretariat, pursuant to Article 1904 of the Agreement, on March 18, 2011, requesting a panel review of the determination and order described above.

The Rules provide that:

(a) A Party or interested person may challenge the final determination in whole or in part by filing a Complaint in accordance with Rule 39 within 30 days after the filing of the first Request for Panel Review (the deadline for filing a Complaint is March 12, 2012);

(b) A Party, investigating authority or interested person that does not file a Complaint but that intends to appear in support of any reviewable portion of the final determination may participate in the panel review by filing a Notice of Appearance in accordance with Rule 40 within 45 days after the filing of the first

³The 27 member states of the European Union are: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, and the United Kingdom.

Request for Panel Review (the deadline for filing a Notice of Appearance is March 26, 2012); and

(c) the panel review shall be limited to the allegations of error of fact or law, including the jurisdiction of the investigating authority, that are set out in the Complaints filed in panel review and the procedural and substantive defenses raised in the panel review.

Dated: February 14, 2012.

Ellen Bohon,

 $\label{lem:united} United \ States \ Secretary, NAFTA \ Secretariat \\ [\text{FR Doc. 2012}-3854 \ \text{Filed 2-21-12}; 8:45 \ \text{am}]$

BILLING CODE 3510-GT-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Survey of Hawaii Resident Resource Users' Knowledge, Attitudes and Perceptions of Coral Reefs in Two Hawaii Priority Sites

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before April 23, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at JJessup@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Risa Oram, (808) 944–2124 or Risa.Oram@noaa.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The United States (U.S.) Coral Reef Task Force (USCRTF) was established in 1998 by *Executive Order 13089* to lead and coordinate U.S. efforts to address the threats facing coral reefs. The Hawaii Coral Reef Working Group (CRWG), composed of key state and federal partners involved in coral reef management, was established through a

local charter to provide guidance to the State of Hawaii's coral program and to prioritize sites to implement specific ridge-to-reef management activities. Priority sites are areas where coral reef ecosystems of high biological value are threatened but have strong potential for improvement with management intervention. The current two priority sites in Hawaii are South Kohala on the Big Island (Pelekane Bay-Puako-Anaeho'omalu Bay, Hawai'i) and West Maui (Kaʻanapali-Kahekili, Maui). At both sites, multiple partners are collaborating to produce conservation action plans to conserve resources and human uses.

The Human Dimensions Research Program at NOAA Fisheries Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center is initiating a survey to support development of these conservation action plans, including management actions in watersheds and in the coral reef ecosystems in the two priority sites. The purpose of this survey is to identify resident users' knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions regarding coral reef and watershed conditions and alternative management strategies to protect resources at the two priority sites.

Information from this survey is needed to inform the conservation action planning process initiated by the State of Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources (DLNR), Division of Aquatic Resources (HDAR) and The Nature Conservancy (TNC) at the South Kohala site and to inform conservation and watershed planning being implemented by HDAR, The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and other partners at the West Maui site. Managers have indicated a more immediate need for information at the South Kohala site; therefore, we will conduct the survey there first and the survey at West Maui afterwards. The information gained from the survey will provide priority site managers with essential information about the population of resident users who can both threaten reef health and play a key role in stewardship of reef resources. Conservation planners will gain information about the threats and status of coral reefs from the resident users who interact most with those systems, and help managers identify topics for public outreach and education. A representative study of resident users' knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions will supplement broader public input into the conservation planning processes at the sites.

II. Method of Collection

Data will be collected through an intercept survey of adult residents

visiting the coastal area included within the boundary of the two priority sites. Sampling will be stratified by season (wet/dry); day of the week (weekendholiday/weekday) and time of day (morning/afternoon/evening) to account for the expected variation in use levels by residents. The target sample size is 200 respondents at each site. The only wording that would change on the surveys would be interviewer introductions to the survey and specifics about the priority site boundaries.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: None.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Regular submission.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 400.

Estimated Time per Response: 20 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 133.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: February 15, 2012.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012-3992 Filed 2-21-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P