

Amendment to Final Results

Pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act, we are now amending the final results of administrative reviews of

the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Singapore, Sweden, Thailand, and the

United Kingdom, for the period May 1, 1993, through April 30, 1994. The revised weighted-average margins are as follows:

Company	BBs	CRBs	SPBs
France: SKF	3.73	(¹)	³ 18.80
Germany:			
FAG	12.93	13.57	³ 2.00
SKF	3.04	9.45	14.36
Italy: SKF	3.21	(²)	
Japan:			
Koyo Seiko	³ 14.90	³ 6.53	(¹)
NTN	14.33	³ 11.05	³ 32.33
Sweden: SKF	1.93	³ 0.00	
Thailand: NMB/Pelmec	0.23		
United Kingdom:			
Barden	1.06	(¹)	
FAG	3.31	(¹)	
NSK/RHP	7.14	7.12	

(¹) No shipments or sales subject to this review.

(²) Not subject to review.

(³) No change to the margin as a result of litigation.

Accordingly, the Department will determine and the U.S. Customs Service will assess appropriate antidumping duties on entries of the subject merchandise made by firms covered by these reviews. Individual differences between United States price and foreign market value may vary from the percentages listed above. For companies covered by these amended results, the Department will issue appraisal instructions to the U.S. Customs Service after publication of these amended final results of reviews.

This notice is published pursuant to section 751(a) of the Tariff Act.

Dated: November 3, 2000.

Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-29257 Filed 11-14-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**INTERNATIONAL TRADE ADMINISTRATION**

[A-580-812]

Dynamic Random Access Memory Semiconductors of One Megabit or Above From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On June 6, 2000, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on dynamic random access memory semiconductors of one megabit or above ("DRAMs") from the Republic of Korea. The merchandise covered by this order are DRAMs from the Republic of Korea. The review covers two manufacturers, Hyundai Electronics Industries Co., Ltd. and Hyundai Electronics America (collectively "Hyundai"), and LG Semicon Co., Ltd. and LG Semicon America (collectively "LG"), and four exporters, G5 Corporation ("G5"), Kim's Marketing, Jewon Trading ("Jewon"), and Wooyang Industry Co., Ltd. ("Wooyang"). The period of review ("POR") is May 1, 1998, through April 30, 1999.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculations. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed below in the section entitled "*Final Results of the Review*."

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 15, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Conniff or Alexander Amdur, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1009 or 482-5346, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

Background

On June 6, 2000, the Department published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on DRAMs from Korea. *See Dynamic Random Access Memory Semiconductors of One Megabit or Above From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Intent Not to Revoke Order in Part*, 65 FR 35886 (June 6, 2000). We invited parties to comment on our preliminary results of review. On September 5, 2000, we received case briefs from Micron Technology, Inc. ("Micron"), the petitioner, Hyundai, and LG. On September 12, 2000, we received rebuttal briefs from Micron, Hyundai, and LG. The petitioner requested a public hearing on June 12, 2000, and a public hearing was held on September 20, 2000. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Effective January 1, 2000, the Department revoked the antidumping duty order on dynamic random access memory semiconductors of one megabit and above ("DRAMs") from the

Republic of Korea, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(1). *See DRAMs from the Republic of Korea; Final Results of Full Sunset Review and Revocation of Order*, 65 FR 5939 (October 5, 2000). Therefore, we will not issue cash deposit instructions to the U.S. Customs Service ("Customs") based on the results of this review. We are conducting a truncated administrative review for the May 1, 1999, through December 30, 1999 period. Since the revocation is currently in effect, current and future imports of DRAMs from Korea will be entered into the United States without regard to antidumping duties. We have instructed Customs to liquidate all entries as of January 1, 2000 without regard to antidumping duties.

Scope of Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of DRAMs from Korea. Included in the scope are assembled and unassembled DRAMs. Assembled DRAMs include all package types. Unassembled DRAMs include processed wafers, uncut die, and cut die. Processed wafers produced in Korea, but packaged or assembled into memory modules in a third country, are included in the scope; wafers produced in a third country and assembled or packaged in Korea are not included in the scope.

The scope of this review includes memory modules. A memory module is a collection of DRAMs, the sole function of which is memory. Modules include single in-line processing modules ("SIPs"), single in-line memory modules ("SIMMs"), or other collections of DRAMs, whether unmounted or mounted on a circuit board. Modules that contain other parts that are needed to support the function of memory are covered. Only those modules which contain additional items which alter the function of the module to something other than memory, such as video graphics adapter ("VGA") boards and cards, are not included in the scope. The scope of this review also includes video random access memory semiconductors ("VRAMS"), as well as any future packaging and assembling of DRAMs; and, removable memory modules placed on motherboards, with or without a central processing unit ("CPU"), unless the importer of motherboards certifies with the Customs Service that neither it nor a party related to it or under contract to it will remove the modules from the motherboards after importation. The scope of this review does not include DRAMs or memory modules that are reimported for repair or replacement.

The DRAMs and modules subject to this review are currently classifiable under subheadings 8471.50.0085, 8471.91.8085, 8542.11.0024, 8542.11.8026, 8542.13.8034, 8471.50.4000, 8473.30.1000, 8542.11.0026, 8542.11.8034, 8471.50.8095, 8473.30.4000, 8542.11.0034, 8542.13.8005, 8471.91.0090, 8473.30.8000, 8542.11.8001, 8542.13.8024, 8471.91.4000, 8542.11.0001, 8542.11.8024 and 8542.13.8026 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the Department's written description of the scope of this review remains dispositive.

Facts Available ("FA")

In accordance with section 776(a) of the Act, we have determined that the use of adverse FA is warranted for G5, Kim's Marketing, Jewon, and Wooyang for these final results of review.

1. Application of FA

Section 776(a) of the Act provides that, if an interested party withholds information that has been requested by the Department, fails to provide such information in a timely manner or in the form or manner requested, significantly impedes a proceeding under the antidumping statute, or provides information which cannot be verified, the Department shall use, subject to sections 782(d) and (e), facts otherwise available in reaching the applicable determination. In this review, as described in detail below, the above-referenced companies failed to provide the necessary information in the form and manner requested, and, in some instances, the submitted information could not be verified. Thus, pursuant to section 776(a) of the Act, the Department is required to apply, subject to section 782(d), facts otherwise available.

Section 782(d) of the Act provides that, if the Department determines that a response to a request for information does not comply with the request, the Department will inform the person submitting the response of the nature of the deficiency and shall, to the extent practicable, provide that person the opportunity to remedy or explain the deficiency. If that person submits further information that continues to be unsatisfactory, or this information is not submitted within the applicable time limits, the Department may, subject to section 782(e), disregard all or part of the original and subsequent responses, as appropriate.

Pursuant to section 782(e) of the Act, notwithstanding the Department's determination that the submitted information is "deficient" under section 782(d) of the Act, the Department shall not decline to consider such information if all of the following requirements are satisfied: (1) The information is submitted by the established deadline; (2) the information can be verified; (3) the information is not so incomplete that it cannot serve as a reliable basis for reaching the applicable determination; (4) the interested party has demonstrated that it acted to the best of its ability; and (5) the information can be used without undue difficulties.

The Department has concluded that, because G5, Kim's Marketing, Jewon, and Wooyang failed to respond to the Department's questionnaire, a determination based on a total FA is warranted for these companies. *See the Preliminary Results* for a detailed discussion of this analysis.

2. Selection of FA

In selecting from among the facts otherwise available, section 776(b) of the Act authorizes the Department to use an adverse inference if the Department finds that an interested party failed to cooperate by not acting to the best of its ability to comply with the request for information. *See, e.g., Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 62 FR 53808, 53819-20 (October 16, 1997). In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department determined that by not responding to the Department's questionnaire, each of these four companies did not act to the best of its respective abilities, and therefore an adverse inference is warranted in applying facts available for these companies.

For the final results, no interested party comments were submitted regarding this issue and we continue to find that the failure of G5, Kim's Marketing, Jewon, and Wooyang to respond to the Department's questionnaire in this review demonstrates that these entities failed to cooperate by not acting to the best of their ability. Thus, consistent with the Department's practice in cases where a respondent fails to respond to the Department's questionnaire, in selecting FA for G5, Kim's Marketing, Jewon, and Wooyang in this review, an adverse inference is warranted. Therefore, we are assigning G5, Kim's Marketing, Jewon, and Wooyang an adverse FA rate of 10.44 percent, the rate calculated for Hyundai in a previous review and the

highest margin from any segment of the proceeding related to DRAMS from Korea.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memorandum") from Holly A. Kuga, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, to Troy H. Cribb, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated November 3, 2000, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/summary/list.htm>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received, we have made certain changes in the margin calculations. These changes are discussed in the relevant sections of the "Decision Memorandum."

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following percentage weighted-average margins exist for the period April 1, 1998 through, May 30, 1999:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
LG	1.18
Hyundai	2.30
G5	10.44
Wooyang	10.44
Jewon	10.44
Kim's Marketing	10.44

Assessment

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service. Where the importer-specific assessment rate is above *de minimis*, we will instruct Customs to assess antidumping duties

on that importer's entries of subject merchandise.

These final results of review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by this review. For duty-assessment purposes, we calculated importer-specific assessment rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to each importer and dividing this amount by the total estimated entered value reported for those sales. Hyundai and LG, in accordance with the Department's questionnaire, estimated the entered value of their respective sales by calculating the average of the entered value of each control number for the POR. For all other respondents, we based assessment rate on the facts available margin percentage.

Notification

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: November 3, 2000.

Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix—Issues in Decision Memorandum

Comments and Responses

1. Currency Conversions
2. Calculation of Foreign Currency Transaction Gains
3. Offset to Foreign Currency Translation Losses
4. Calculation of Foreign Currency Translation Gains

5. Allocation of Foreign Currency Translation Gains and Losses
6. Foreign Exchange Translation Losses in Construction in Progress ("CIP") Account
7. Offset for Long-Term Interest Income
8. Unspecified Foreign Exchange Gains and Losses
9. Research and Development ("R&D")
10. Cross-Fertilization of R&D
11. Use of Cost of Goods Sold ("COGS") to Calculate R&D Ratio
12. Calculation of LG's R&D Ratio
13. Calculation of LG's G&A Ratio
14. Increase in Useful Lives
15. Adjustment to Depreciation
16. Programming Error in LG's Depreciation Adjustment
17. Adjustment for Special Depreciation for LG
18. Level of Trade ("LOT")/Constructed Export Price ("CEP") Offset
19. LG's Interest Expense
20. Calculation of CEP Profit for LG
21. Correction of LG's Concordance Program
22. Overstatement of LG's Duty Assessment Rate

[FR Doc. 00-29256 Filed 11-14-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

INTERNATIONAL TRADE ADMINISTRATION

(A-484-801)

Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide From Greece: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On May 15, 2000, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on electrolytic manganese dioxide from Greece. The review covers one producer/exporter, Tosoh Hellas, during the period of review April 1, 1998, through March 31, 1999.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. We have made one change in our calculations. The review indicates the existence of no dumping margins for Tosoh Hellas during this period.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 15, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hermes Pinilla or Richard Rimlinger, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230;