

based, but we were unable to do so. *See* the Corroboration Memo.

It is worth noting that the implementing regulation for section 776 of the Act states, “(t)he fact that corroboration may not be practicable in a given circumstance will not prevent the Secretary from applying an adverse inference as appropriate and using secondary information in question.” *See* 19 CFR 351.308(d). Additionally, the SAA at 870 specifically states that where “corroboration may not be practicable in a given circumstance,” the Department need not prove that the facts available are the best alternative information.

Therefore, based on our efforts, described above, to corroborate information contained in the petition, and in accordance with 776(c) of the Act, we consider the margins in the petition to be corroborated to the extent practicable for purposes of this final determination. *See* the Corroboration Memo.

Accordingly, in selecting AFA with respect to Denki Kagaku, Japan VAM & POVAL, Kuraray, and Nippon Gohsei, we have applied the margin rate of 144.16 percent, which is the highest estimated dumping margin set forth in the notice of initiation. *See* the *Initiation Notice*, 67 FR at 61593.

#### All Others

Section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act provides that, where the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for all exporters and producers individually investigated are zero or *de minimis*, or are determined entirely under section 776 of the Act, the Department may use any reasonable method to establish the estimated “All Others” rate for exporters and producers not individually investigated. This provision contemplates that we weight-average margins other than zero, *de minimis*, and FA margins to establish the “All Others” rate. Where the data do not permit weight-averaging such rates, the SAA provides that we may use other reasonable methods. *See* SAA at 873. Because the petition contained four estimated dumping margins, we have used these four estimated dumping margins, as adjusted per the notice of initiation, to create an “All Others” rate based on a simple average. Therefore, we have calculated the margin of 76.78 percent as the “All Others” rate. *See, e.g., Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Affirmative Finding of Critical Circumstances: Elastic Rubber Tape from India*, 64 FR 19123, 19124 (Apr. 19, 1999).

### Analysis of Comments Received

We received no comments from interested parties in response to our preliminary determination. We did not hold a hearing because none was requested.

### Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B) of the Act, we are directing the Customs Service to continue to suspend all entries of PVA from Japan, that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after February 20, 2003, the date of publication of our preliminary determination. The Customs Service shall continue to require a cash deposit or the posting of a bond equal to the estimated amount by which the normal value exceeds the U.S. price as shown below. These instructions suspending liquidation will remain in effect until further notice.

The dumping margins are provided below:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Denki Kagaku Kogyo Kabushiki Kaisha .....	144.16
Japan VAM & POVAL Co., Ltd. ....	144.16
Kuraray Co., Ltd. ....	144.16
The Nippon Synthetic Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. ....	144.16
All Others .....	76.78

### ITC Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we have notified the International Trade Commission (ITC) of our determination. As our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will, within 45 days, determine whether these imports are materially injuring, or threaten material injury to, the U.S. industry. If the ITC determines that material injury or threat of material injury does not exist, the proceeding will be terminated and all securities posted will be refunded or canceled. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order directing the Customs Service to assess antidumping duties on all imports of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation.

### Notification Regarding APO

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their

responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 14, 2003.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-9738 Filed 4-18-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-557-809]

### Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Malaysia: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Rescission of the antidumping duty administrative review for the period February 1, 2002 through January 31, 2003.

**SUMMARY:** On March 25, 2003, in response to a request made by Schulz (Mfg.) Sdn. Bhd. (“Schulz”), a producer and exporter of the subject merchandise in Malaysia, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published a notice of initiation of an antidumping duty administrative review on stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings (“SSBWPF”) from Malaysia, for the period February 1, 2002 through January 31, 2003. Because Schulz has withdrawn its request for review, and there were no other requests for review for this time period, the Department is rescinding this review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 21, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** James C. Doyle, Enforcement Group III, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-0159.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## Background

On February 28, 2003, Schulz, a producer and exporter of the subject merchandise in Malaysia, requested the Department to conduct an administrative review of its sales for the period February 1, 2002 through January 31, 2003. Schultz was the only interested party to request a review for this time period. On March 25, 2003, the Department published a notice of initiation of the antidumping administrative review on SSBWPF from Malaysia, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i). *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocations in Part*, 68 FR 14394 (March 25, 2003). On March 31, 2003, Schulz withdrew its request for review.

## Rescission of Review

Pursuant to the Department's regulations, the Department will rescind an administrative review "if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review." *See* 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Schultz, the only interested party to request an administrative review for this time period, withdrew its request for this review within the 90-day time limit; accordingly, we are rescinding the administrative review for the period February 1, 2002 through January 31, 2003, and will issue appropriate assessment instructions to the U.S. Customs Service.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation. This determination is issued in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4) and section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: April 14, 2003.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-9740 Filed 4-18-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 041503B]

### Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; NOAA Coastal Ocean Program Grants Proposal Application Package

**AGENCY:** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before June 20, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [dHynek@doc.gov](mailto:dHynek@doc.gov)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Leslie McDonald, 301-713-3338, ext. 155, or [Leslie.McDonald@noaa.gov](mailto:Leslie.McDonald@noaa.gov).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Abstract

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's Coastal Ocean Program (COP) provides direct financial assistance through grants and cooperative agreements for research supporting the management of coastal ecosystems. In addition to standard government application requirements, applicants for financial assistance are required to submit a summary proposal budget form and a project summary form. Applicants are also requested to submit 20 copies of applications to expedite the review process. Recipients are required to file annual progress reports and a project final report using COP formats. All of these requirements are needed for better evaluation of proposals and monitoring of awards.

#### II. Method of Collection

Paper forms and documents are submitted to the COP. The option of

electronic submission is being explored. NOAA COP will participate in the government-wide E-Grants electronic application process once it has been completed. NOAA COP is also participating in the NOAA-wide Grants Online effort, which will allow COP staff the ability to accept electronic proposals, conduct the peer-review process electronically, and process awards for recipients. However, both of these electronic options are not yet available. It is anticipated that the E-grants electronic option to receive proposals will be made available throughout NOAA in late 2004.

### III. Data

*OMB Number:* 0648-0384.

*Form Number:* None.

*Type of Review:* Regular submission.

*Affected Public:* Not-for-profit institutions (universities, colleges, junior colleges, technical schools, laboratories); State, Local, or Tribal Government.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 300.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 30 minutes for a budget form; 30 minutes for a project summary; 5 hours for an annual report; 10 hours for a final report; and 10 minutes to provide the extra copies required.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 1,100.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public:* \$0.

### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: April 14, 2003.

**Gwellnar Banks,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 03-9788 Filed 4-18-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-JS-S