

The effective date of continuation of these orders will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of these orders not later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the effective date of continuation. These five-year (sunset) reviews and this notice are in accordance with sections 751(c) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4).

Dated: October 6, 2010.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**  
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010-25776 Filed 10-12-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-806]

#### **Silicon Metal From the People's Republic of China; Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the 2008-2009 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* October 13, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Demitri Kalogeropoulos, or Keith Huffman, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-2623 and (202) 482-4987, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On July 29, 2009, the Department of Commerce ("Department") initiated the administrative review ("AR") of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") for the period June 1, 2008, through May 31, 2009. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Deferral of Administrative Review*, 74 FR 37690 (July 29, 2009). On July 15, 2010, the Department published its preliminary results. *See Silicon Metal From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Preliminary Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 41143 (July 15, 2010).

The final results of this AR are currently due no later than November 12, 2010.

#### **Extension of Time Limit for Final Results**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue the final results in an AR within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time period to a maximum of 180 days.

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the original time limit because the Department requires additional time to analyze significant issues raised in the case briefs and rebuttal briefs. These issues include the calculation of surrogate financial ratios, the valuation of silica fume and coal, and questions regarding comparisons between U.S. prices and normal value. Therefore, given the complexity of issues in this case, we are extending the time limit for completion of the final results by 60 days.

An extension of 60 days from the current deadline of November 12, 2010, would result in a new deadline of January 11, 2011.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: October 5, 2010.

**Susan H. Kuhbach,**  
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2010-25772 Filed 10-12-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-838]

#### **Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed-Circumstances Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) has determined, pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), that Meghmani Pigments is the successor-in-interest to Alpanil Industries.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* October 13, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jerrold Freeman or Richard Rimlinger, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import

Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; (202) 482-0180 or (202) 482-4477, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On August 30, 2010, and in accordance with section 751(b) of the Act, 19 CFR 351.216, and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3), we preliminarily found that Meghmani Pigments is the successor-in-interest to Alpanil Industries. *See Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed-Circumstances Review*, 75 FR 52930 (August 30, 2010). Although we gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results, we received no comments.

##### **Scope of the Order**

The merchandise subject to the order is carbazole violet pigment 23 identified as Color Index No. 51319 and Chemical Abstract No. 6358-30-1, with the chemical name of diindolo [3,2-b:3',2'-m]<sup>1</sup> triphenodioxazine, 8,18-dichloro-5, 15-diethyl-5, 15-dihydro-, and molecular formula of C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The subject merchandise includes the crude pigment in any form (e.g., dry powder, paste, wet cake) and finished pigment in the form of presscake and dry color. Pigment dispersions in any form (e.g., pigment dispersed in oleoresins, flammable solvents, water) are not included within the scope of the order. The merchandise subject to the order is classifiable under subheading 3204.17.90.40 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

##### **Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Review**

For the reasons stated in the preliminary results, we continue to find that Meghmani Pigments is the successor-in-interest to Alpanil Industries and, as a result, should be accorded the same antidumping duty treatment as Alpanil Industries. Accordingly, effective on the date of publication of these final results in the **Federal Register**, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to collect cash deposits for estimated

<sup>1</sup> The bracketed section of the product description, [3,2-b:3',2'-m], is not business-proprietary information. In this case, the brackets are simply part of the chemical nomenclature.