Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: 202–482–4114.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated the second sunset review of canned pineapple fruit ("CPF") from Thailand on April 3, 2006. See Initiation of Five-year "Sunset" Reviews, 71 FR 16551 (April 3, 2006). On April 17, 2006, we received notification of intent to participate from the domestic interested party, Maui Pineapple Co., Ltd., ("Maui") in accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). On May 3, 2006, Maui and the respondent interested parties filed substantive comments with the Department.1

On May 22, 2006, the Department issued a preliminary adequacy determination stating that the respondents in the ongoing sunset review did not meet the adequacy requirements. See Memorandum from Zev Primor to Tom Futtner, "Adequacy Determination in Antidumping Duty Sunset Review of Canned Pineapple from Thailand" (May 22, 2006). On May 30, 2006, and June 8, 2006, we received timely comments pertaining to our adequacy calculation methodology from the respondent interested parties and Maui, respectively. Upon review of the parties' comments, we modified our calculation methodology and determined that the respondent interested parties meet the adequacy requirements. See Memorandum from Zev Primor to Tom Futtner, "Correction to the Adequacy Calculation in the Antidumping Duty Sunset Review of Canned Pineapple Fruit from Thailand" (July 12, 2006). Consequently, the Department determined to conduct a full sunset review of the antidumping duty order on CPF from Thailand as provided at section 751(c)(5)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), and at 19 CFR 351.218(e)(2)(i) because: (1) the parties' substantive responses met the requirements of 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3), and (2) both the information on the record and our review of the proprietary Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") data indicated that the respondent interested parties account for more than 50 percent of the exports to the United States, the level that the Department normally considers to be an adequate response to the notice of initiation by respondent interested parties under 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(A). *Id*.

Extension of Time Limits

With respect to the preliminary results of a sunset review, the Department's regulations, at 19 CFR 351.218(f)(1), provide that the Department normally will issue its preliminary results in a full sunset review not later than 110 days after the date of publication of initiation in the Federal Register. However, in accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(3)(ii), the Department may extend the period of time for making its final determination by not more than 90 days, if it determines that the review is extraordinarily complicated. Because some of the issues are complex, the Department has determined, pursuant to section 751(c)(5)(C)(ii) of the Act, that the sunset review is extraordinarily complicated and will require additional time for the Department to complete its analysis. Due to the complex nature of the CPB and shipment data, revocation of a number of companies during the period of review, and the change from the expedited to a full sunset review, the Department will require additional time to conduct the analysis necessary for the preliminary results.

The Department's preliminary results of the full sunset review of the antidumping duty order on CPF from Thailand are currently scheduled for July 22, 2006, and the final results are currently scheduled for November 29, 2006. As a result of our decision to extend the deadline for the preliminary results of review, the Department intends to issue the preliminary results of the full sunset review of the antidumping duty order on CPF from Thailand no later than October 20, 2006, and the final results of the review no later than February 27, 2007. These dates are 90 days from the originally scheduled dates of the preliminary and final results of this sunset review.

This notice is issued in accordance with sections 751(c)(5)(B) and (C)(ii) of the Act.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–11839 Filed 7–24–06; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration (A–122–840)

Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Canada: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 25, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Damian Felton or Brandon Farlander, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0133 or (202) 482– 0182, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 3, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published an opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Canada for the period October 1, 2004, to September 30, 2005. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Filing, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review, 70 FR 57558 (October 3, 2005). On May 25, 2006, the Department published in the Federal Register a notice extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the administrative review from July 3, 2006, to August 2, 2006. See Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Canada: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 71 FR 30116 May 25, 2006). The preliminary results of this administrative review are currently due August 2, 2006.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue preliminary results within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time period to a maximum of 365 days.

We determine that completion of the preliminary results of this review by August 2, 2006, is not practicable

¹ The respondent interested parties are: Pineapple Processors Group; the Thai Food Processors Association; Thai Pineapple Canning Industry Corp. Ltd.; Malee Sampran Public Co., Ltd.; The Siam Agro Industry Pineapples and Others Public Co., Ltd.; Great Oriental Food Products Co. Ltd.; Thai Pineapple Products and Other Fruits Co., Ltd.; The Tipco Foods (Thailand) PCL; Pranburi Hotei Co., Ltd.; and Siam Fruit Canning (1988) Co., Ltd.

because the Department needs additional time to consider all comments filed by the petitioner and to fully analyze the respondent's responses on the record of this review. To accomplish this, and in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results by an additional 90 days until October 31, 2006. Therefore, the preliminary results are now due no later than October 31, 2006. The final results continue to be due 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 18, 2006.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretaryfor Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–11840 Filed 7–24–06; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-351-824

Silicomanganese From Brazil: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **SUMMARY:** In response to a request from the commerce of the com

SUMMARY: In response to a request from Eramet Marietta Inc., a domestic producer of silicomanganese, the Department of Commerce initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicomanganese from Brazil. The period of review is December 1, 2004, through November 30, 2005. We are now rescinding this review because the sole respondent reported that it had no sales or shipments to the United States during the period of review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 25, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Yang Jin Chun at (202) 482–5760 or Dmitry Vladimirov at (202) 482–0665, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The

Department of Commerce (the Department) published an antidumping duty order on silicomanganese from Brazil on December 22, 1994. See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order:*Silicomanganese from Brazil, 59 FR 66003 (December 22, 1994). On

December 1, 2005, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order for the period of review covering December 1, 2004, through November 30, 2005. See Notice of Opportunity to Request Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation, 70 FR 72109 (December 1, 2005). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), the petitioner, Eramet Marietta Inc., requested an administrative review of this order with respect to the following affiliated respondents: Rio Doce Manganês S.A., Companhia Paulista de Ferro-Ligas, and Urucum Mineração S.A. (collectively RDM/CPFL)

The Department published the notice of the initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicomanganese from Brazil on February 1, 2006. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part, 71 FR 5241 (February 1, 2006). In response to the Department's questionnaire, RDM/CPFL notified the Department that the company had no entries, exports, or sales of the subject merchandise during the period of review. The Department published the notice of its intent to rescind the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicomanganese from Brazil on May 19, 2006. See Silicomanganese from Brazil: Notice of Intent to Rescind Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 71 FR 29123 (May 19, 2006) (Notice of Intent to Rescind). The Department based its intent to rescind the review on a customs data query that found no evidence of entries or shipments of the subject merchandise by RDM/CPFL during the period of review. See Notice of Intent to Rescind, at 29124.

Rescission of the Administrative Review

The Department will rescind an administrative review with respect to an exporter or producer if the Department concludes that there were no entries, exports, or sales of the subject merchandise during the period of review. See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3). The Department gave interested parties 15 days from the date of publication of the Notice of Intent to Rescind to comment on its intent to rescind this review. No interested party has submitted comments on our intent to rescind this review within the given time period. Accordingly, we are rescinding this administrative review.

In accordance with the Department's clarification of its assessment policy

(see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003)), in the event any entries were made during the period of review through intermediaries under U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) case numbers for RDM/CPFL, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the all—others rate in effect on the date of entry.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4) and section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: July 18, 2006.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretaryfor Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–11837 Filed 7–24–06; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration (A–570–806)

Silicon Metal From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("Department") has received timely requests to conduct new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act") and 19 CFR 351.214(d), we are initiating reviews for Shanghai Jinneng International Trade Co., Ltd. ("Shanghai Jinneng") and Jiangxi Gangyuan Silicon Industry Co., Ltd. ("Jiangxi Gangyuan").

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 25, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: P. Lee Smith or Scot T. Fullerton, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of