## **Proposed Rules**

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

#### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

**Farm Service Agency** 

7 CFR Part 770

**Rural Housing Service** 

**Rural Business-Cooperative Service** 

**Rural Utilities Service** 

7 CFR Parts 1823 and 1956

[RIN 0560-AF43]

# Loans to Indian Tribes and Tribal Corporations

**AGENCY:** Farm Service Agency, USDA. **ACTION:** Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The comment period for the proposed rule is being extended in order to provide opportunities to further comment on this rule and its criteria as requested by several parties. This proposed rule was initially published in the Federal Register on November 2, 1999, (64 FR 59131). This proposed rule will consolidate into one part and to revise the Indian Tribal Land Acquisition Program (ITLAP) regulations to allow borrowers to use the loan reserve accounts to purchase additional real estate and to give borrowers additional servicing options. The proposed rule also would allow ITLAP funds to be used for certain refinancing activities; limit the requirement for reserve accounts to loans not secured by a general assignment of Tribal income; expand the uses borrowers may make of land purchased with ITLAP funds; require ITLAP loan applications, in most cases, include a copy of the borrower's option to purchase the land; and provide for subsequent loans to be made to ITLAP borrowers.

**DATES:** Comments on the proposed rule must be received on or before May 1, 2000 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments on the proposed rule to: Arthur Veldon Hall, Director, Farm Loan Programs, Loan Servicing and Property Management Division, Farm Service Agency, USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., STOP 0523, Washington, D.C. 20250– 0523, fax number: (202) 690–0949, or hand deliver them to room 5449-South at the address listed above during normal business hours.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gary West, Senior Loan Officer, Farm Loan Programs, Loan Servicing and Property Management Division, Farm Service Agency, USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., STOP 0523, Washington, D.C. 20250–0523, telephone (202) 690– 4008, facsimile (202) 690–0949, electronic mail: gwest@wdc.usda.fsa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

I. Extension of Comment Period

In the **Federal Register** of November 2, 1999, FSA published a proposed rule to enunciate eligibility criteria for debt relief options for ITLAP loans. The comment period closed December 2, 1999, FSA is soliciting comments from interested persons concerning these elements.

FSA received one request from an indebted FSA ITLAP borrower to extend the comment period an additional 30 days. In response to the request, FSA is extending the comment period an additional 30 days.

#### II. Comments

Interested persons may, on or before May 1, 2000 submit to the Farm Loan Programs, Loan Servicing and Property Management Division (address above) written comments regarding the proposed rule. Two copies of any comments are to be submitted except that individuals may submit one copy. All written comments are available for public inspection from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday at the address listed above.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on March 23, 2000.

#### August Schumacher, Jr.,

Under Secretary for Farm and Foreign Agricultural Services. [FR Doc. 00–8004 Filed 3–30–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–05–P Federal Register Vol. 65, No. 63 Friday, March 31, 2000

### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

**Federal Aviation Administration** 

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-NM-380-AD]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier Model DHC–8–100 and –300 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Bombardier Model DHC-8-100 and -300 series airplanes. This proposal would require revising the Aircraft Log Book to correct the airplane Production Modification List; performing an inspection to determine which bonded skin panels on the airplane require bonding integrity inspections (BII); and revising the Airworthiness Limitations List of the Approved Maintenance Plan to include the BII requirements. This proposal also would, for certain airplanes, require repetitive ultrasonic bond inspections to detect disbonding of airplane skin panels, and repair, if necessary. This proposal is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent damage to bonded skin panels to go undetected, which could result in failure of the bonded skin panels, and consequent loss of controllability of the airplane.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by May 1, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98–NM– 380–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Bombardier, Inc., Bombardier Regional Aircraft Division, Garratt Boulevard, Downsview, Ontario M3K 1Y5, Canada. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, New York Aircraft Certification Office, 10 Fifth Street, Third Floor, Valley Stream, New York.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Franco Pieri, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe and Propulsion Branch, ANE– 171, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, New York Aircraft Certification Office, 10 Fifth Street, Third Floor, Valley Stream, New York 11581; telephone (516) 256–7526; fax (516) 568–2716.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 98–NM–380–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

#### Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98–NM–380–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056.

#### Discussion

Transport Canada Civil Aviation (TCCA), which is the airworthiness authority for Canada, notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Bombardier Model DHC-8-100 and -300 series airplanes. TCCA advises that, during a Bombardier investigation of an operator query, inconsistencies were found between the effectivity tables for the bonding integrity inspection (BII) in the non-destructive testing manuals and the modification status record in the airplane Modification Log. As a result of these inconsistencies, some of the bonded skin panels on affected DHC-8 aircraft were not being inspected under the BII program even though they were manufactured with panels that require a bonding integrity inspection. Failure to conduct these inspections could allow damage to bonded skin panels to go undetected, which could result in failure of the bonded skin panels, and consequent loss of controllability of the airplane.

#### **Explanation of Relevant Service** Information

Bombardier has issued Service Bulletin S.B. 8–51–2, Revision 'A,' dated September 19, 1998, which describes procedures for revising the Aircraft Log Book to correct the airplane Production Modification List; performing an inspection to determine which bonded skin panels on the airplane require the BII program; and revising the Airworthiness Limitations List of the Approved Maintenance Plan to include the BII requirements.

Bombardier also has issued Part 5, sections 55–00–01 and 57–30–01 of Bombardier Production Support Manual (PSM) 1–8–7A, dated December 15, 1998 (for Model DHC–8–100 series airplanes); and Part 5, sections 55–00– 01 and 57–30–01 of Bombardier PSM 1– 83–7A, dated April 30, 1999 (for Model DHC–8–300 series airplanes) which describe procedures for repetitive ultrasonic bond inspections to detect disbonding of the affected airplane skin panels and repair, if necessary.

Accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin and service information is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition. TCCA classified this service bulletin and service information as mandatory and issued Canadian airworthiness directive CF–98–31, dated September 1, 1998, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in Canada.

#### **FAA's Conclusions**

These airplane models are manufactured in Canada and are type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of § 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, TCCA has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of TCCA, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

### Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the service information described previously, except as discussed below.

### Differences Between Proposed Rule and Service Information

Operators should note that, although the service information specifies that the manufacturer may be contacted for disposition of certain repair conditions, this proposal would require the repair of those conditions to be accomplished in accordance with a method approved by the FAA.

Operators also should note that, although the Canadian airworthiness directive affects Bombardier Model DHC-8-314 series airplanes, Bombardier Model DHC-8-314 series airplanes are not type certificated in the United States. Therefore, the proposed AD does not affect those airplanes.

#### **Cost Impact**

The FAA estimates that 41 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish the proposed revisions to the Aircraft Log Book, Approved Maintenance Plan, and inspection, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the actions proposed by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$2,460, or \$60 per airplane. The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

#### **Regulatory Impact**

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT **Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44** FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

#### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### §39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

#### Bombardier Inc. (Formerly de Havilland, Inc.): Docket 98–NM–380–AD.

Applicability: DHC–8–100 and –300 series airplanes, serial numbers 215 through 341 inclusive; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

*Compliance:* Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent damage to bonded skin panels to go undetected, which could result in failure of the bonded skin panels, and consequent loss of controllability of the airplane; accomplish the following:

### **Revision to Aircraft Log Book and Airworthiness Limitations List**

(a) Within 30 days after the effective date of this AD, perform the actions required by paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(3) of this AD.

(1) Revise the Aircraft Log Book to correct the airplane Production Modification List in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions in Part A of Section III of Bombardier Service Bulletin S.B. 8–51–2, Revision 'A,' dated September 19, 1998.

(2) Perform an inspection to determine which bonded skin panels on the airplane require bonding integrity inspections (BII) in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions in Part B of Section III of Bombardier Service Bulletin S.B. 8–51–2, Revision 'A,' dated September 19, 1998.

(3) Revise the Airworthiness Limitations List of the Approved Maintenance Plan by inserting the bonding integrity inspections identified as de Havilland Maintenance Task 5500/01 and de Havilland Maintenance Task 5700/01 into the Airworthiness Limitations List. Except as provided by paragraph (e) of this AD: After the actions specified in paragraph (a)(3) of this AD have been accomplished, no alternative replacement times or structural inspection intervals may be approved for the bonded panels of the empennage and wings specified in de Havilland Maintenance Task 5700/01.

#### **On-Condition Repetitive Inspections**

(b) For airplanes on which the bonded skin panels require BII's, as determined in paragraph (a)(2) of this AD: At the next required maintenance visit, but no later than 12 months after the effective date of this AD, perform an initial ultrasonic bond inspection to detect disbonding of the skin panels, in accordance with Part 5, sections 55-00-01 and/or 57-30-01, of Bombardier Production Support Manual (PSM) 1-8-7A, dated December 15, 1998 (for Model DHC-8-100 series airplanes); or Part 5, sections 55-00-01 and 57-30-01 of Bombardier PSM 1-83-7A, dated April 30, 1999 (for Model DHC-8-300 series airplanes); as applicable. Thereafter, repeat the ultrasonic inspection at the interval specified in the applicable PSM.

#### **On-Condition Repair**

(c) Except as provided by paragraph (d) of this AD, if any disbonding is detected during any inspection required by paragraph (b) of this AD, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with Part 5, sections 55–00–01 and 57–30–01 of Bombardier PSM 1–8–7A, dated December 15, 1998 (for Model DHC–8– 100 series airplanes); or Part 5, sections 55– 00–01 and 57–30–01 of Bombardier PSM 1– 83–7A, dated April 30, 1999 (for Model DHC–8–300 series airplanes); as applicable.

(d) If any disbonding is detected during any inspection required by paragraph (b) of this AD; and the applicable service information specifies to contact Bombardier for appropriate action: Prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate. For a repair method to be approved by the Manager, New York ACO, as required by this paragraph, the Manager's approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

#### **Alternative Methods of Compliance**

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, New York ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, New York ACO.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the New York ACO.

#### **Special Flight Permits**

(f) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in Canadian airworthiness directive.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 27, 2000.

#### Donald L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 00–8020 Filed 3–30–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-26-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier Model CL–600–1A11 (CL–600) and CL– 600–2A12 (CL–601) Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.