

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be viewed at: <http://www.ams.usda.gov/fv/moab.html>. Any questions about the compliance guide should be sent to Jay Guerber at the previously mentioned address in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

This rule invites comments on the establishment of interim final and final free and restricted percentages for the 2003–2004 marketing year under the hazelnut marketing order. Any comments received will be considered prior to finalization of this rule.

After consideration of all relevant material presented, including the Board's recommendation, and other information, it is found that this interim final rule, as hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, it is also found and determined upon good cause that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice prior to putting this rule into effect and that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this action until 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** because: (1) The 2003–2004 marketing year began July 1, 2003, and the percentages established herein apply to all merchantable hazelnuts handled from the beginning of the crop year; (2) handlers are aware of this rule, which was recommended at an open Board meeting, and need no additional time to comply with this rule; and (3) interested persons are provided a 60-day comment period in which to respond, and all comments timely received will be considered prior to finalization of this action.

#### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 982

Filberts, Hazelnuts, Marketing agreements, Nuts, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

■ For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR Part 982 is amended as follows:

#### PART 982—HAZELNUTS GROWN IN OREGON AND WASHINGTON

■ 1. The authority citation for 7 CFR Part 982 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 601–674.

■ 2. A new section 982.251 is added to read as follows:

[**Note:** This section will not be published in the annual Code of Federal Regulations.]

#### § 982.251 Free and restricted percentages—2003–2004 marketing year.

(a) The interim final free and restricted percentages for merchantable hazelnuts for the 2003–2004 marketing year shall be 6.8393 percent and 93.1607 percent, respectively.

(b) On May 31, 2004, the final free and restricted percentages for merchantable hazelnuts for the 2003–2004 marketing year shall be 8.2303 percent and 91.7697 percent, respectively.

Dated: January 12, 2004.

A.J. Yates,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 04–1004 Filed 1–15–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### 10 CFR Part 72

RIN 3150–AH25

#### List of Approved Spent Fuel Storage Casks: NAC–UMS Revision

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is amending its regulations revising the NAC International, Inc., NAC–UMS cask system listing within the “List of Approved Spent Fuel Storage Casks” to include Amendment No. 3 to Certificate of Compliance (CoC) Number 1015. Amendment No. 3 modifies the present cask system design to add an alternate poison material, revise the structural analysis, revise the thermal analyses, revise fuel assembly weight and dimensions, and revise allowable fuel cladding temperature. The amendment also revises the criticality analyses and reorganizes the Safety Analysis Report (SAR) Criticality Section, revises Technical Specification A.5.5 to remove the effluent reporting requirements, and makes several editorial and administrative changes.

**DATES:** The final rule is effective March 31, 2004, unless significant adverse comments are received by February 17, 2004. A significant adverse comment is a comment where the commenter explains why the rule would be inappropriate, including challenges to the rule's underlying premise or approach, or would be ineffective or unacceptable without a change. If the rule is withdrawn, timely notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any one of the following methods. Please include the following number—RIN 3150–AH25—in the subject line of your comments. Comments on rulemakings submitted in writing or in electronic form will be made available to the public in their entirety on the NRC rulemaking Web site. Personal information will not be removed from your comments.

Mail comments to: Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, ATTN: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff.

E-mail comments to [SECY@nrc.gov](mailto:SECY@nrc.gov). If you do not receive a reply e-mail confirming that we have received your comments, contact us directly at (301) 415–1966. You may also submit comments via the NRC's rulemaking Web site at <http://ruleform.llnl.gov>. Address questions about our rulemaking Web site to Carol Gallagher (301) 415–5905; e-mail [cag@nrc.gov](mailto:cag@nrc.gov). Comments can also be submitted via the Federal eRulemaking Portal <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Hand deliver comments to: 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852, between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. on Federal workdays (telephone (301) 415–1101).

Fax comments to: Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission at (301) 415–1101.

Publicly available documents related to this rulemaking may be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), 0–1F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee. Selected documents, including comments, may be viewed and downloaded electronically via the NRC rulemaking Web site at <http://ruleforum.llnl.gov>.

Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC after November 1, 1999, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. From this site, the public can gain entry into the NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. An electronic copy of the proposed CoC, proposed TS, and preliminary SER can be found under ADAMS Accession Nos. ML032890297 (CoC), ML032890300 and ML032890305 (TS), and ML032890312 (SER). If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC PDR Reference staff at 1–800–

397–4209, 301–415–4737 or by e-mail to [pdrc@nrc.gov](mailto:pdrc@nrc.gov).

CoC No. 1015, the revised TS, the underlying SER for Amendment No. 3, and the Environmental Assessment, are available for inspection at the NRC Public Document Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD. Single copies of these documents may be obtained from Jayne M. McCausland, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, telephone (301) 415–6219, e-mail [JMM2@nrc.gov](mailto:JMM2@nrc.gov).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jayne M. McCausland, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, telephone (301) 415–6219, e-mail [JMM2@nrc.gov](mailto:JMM2@nrc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Section 218(a) of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, as amended (NWSA), requires that “[t]he Secretary [of the Department of Energy (DOE)] shall establish a demonstration program, in cooperation with the private sector, for the dry storage of spent nuclear fuel at civilian nuclear power reactor sites, with the objective of establishing one or more technologies that the [Nuclear Regulatory] Commission may, by rule, approve for use at the sites of civilian nuclear power reactors without, to the maximum extent practicable, the need for additional site-specific approvals by the Commission.” Section 133 of the NWSA states, in part, that “[t]he Commission shall, by rule, establish procedures for the licensing of any technology approved by the Commission under section 218(a) for use at the site of any civilian nuclear power reactor.”

To implement this mandate, the NRC approved dry storage of spent nuclear fuel in NRC-approved casks under a general license by publishing a final rule in 10 CFR part 72 entitled, “General License for Storage of Spent Fuel at Power Reactor Sites” (55 FR 29181; July 18, 1990). This rule also established a new subpart L within 10 CFR part 72, entitled “Approval of Spent Fuel Storage Casks” containing procedures and criteria for obtaining NRC approval of spent fuel storage cask designs. The NRC subsequently issued a final rule on October 19, 2000 (65 FR 62581), that approved the NAC–UMS cask design and added it to the list of NRC-approved cask designs in § 72.214 as Certificate of Compliance Number (CoC No.) 1015.

#### Discussion

On January 15, 2002, and as supplemented on February 4, July 3, August 7, November 27, and December 11, 2002; and August 15, 2003, NAC International (NAC) submitted an application to amend the NAC–UMS Universal Storage System to Incorporate Enhanced Design Features. The amendment adds an alternate poison material, revises the structural analysis, revises the thermal analyses, revises fuel assembly weight and dimensions, and revises allowable fuel cladding temperature. The amendment also revises the criticality analyses and reorganizes the SAR Criticality Section, revises Technical Specification A.5.5 to remove the effluent reporting requirements, and makes several editorial and administrative changes as described in the SER. No other changes to the NAC–UMS cask system design were requested in this application. The NRC staff performed a detailed safety evaluation of the proposed CoC amendment request and found that an acceptable safety margin is maintained. In addition, the NRC staff has determined that there is still reasonable assurance that public health and safety and the environment will be adequately protected.

This direct final rule revises the NAC–UMS cask design listing in § 72.214 by adding Amendment No. 3 to CoC No. 1015. The amendment primarily consists of changes to the Technical Specification (TS) to incorporate enhanced design features. The particular TS which are changed are identified in the NRC staff’s SER for Amendment No. 3.

The amended NAC–UMS cask system, when used in accordance with the conditions specified in the CoC, the TS, and NRC regulations, will meet the requirements of part 72; thus, adequate protection of public health and safety will continue to be ensured.

#### Discussion of Amendments by Section

##### § 72.214 List of Approved Spent Fuel Storage Casks

Certificate No. 1015 is revised by adding the effective date of the initial certificate and the effective date of Amendment No. 3.

#### Procedural Background

This rule is limited to the changes contained in Amendment No. 3 to CoC No. 1015 and does not include other aspects of the NAC–UMS cask system design. The NRC is using the “direct final rule procedure” to issue this amendment because it represents a limited and routine change to an

existing CoC that is expected to be noncontroversial. Adequate protection of public health and safety continues to be ensured. The amendment to the rule will become effective on March 31, 2004. However, if the NRC receives significant adverse comments by February 17, 2004, then the NRC will publish a document that withdraws this action and will address the comments received in response to the proposed amendments published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**. A significant adverse comment is a comment where the commenter explains why the rule would be inappropriate, including challenges to the rule’s underlying premise or approach, or would be ineffective or unacceptable without a change. A comment is adverse and significant if:

(1) The comment opposes the rule and provides a reason sufficient to require a substantive response in a notice-and-comment process. For example, a substantive response is required when:

(A) The comment causes the NRC staff to reevaluate (or reconsider) its position or conduct additional analysis;

(B) The comment raises an issue serious enough to warrant a substantive response to clarify or complete the record; or

(C) The comment raises a relevant issue that was not previously addressed or considered by the NRC staff.

(2) The comment proposes a change or an addition to the rule, and it is apparent that the rule would be ineffective or unacceptable without incorporation of the change or addition.

(3) The comment causes the NRC staff to make a change (other than editorial) to the CoC or TS.

These comments will be addressed in a subsequent final rule. The NRC will not initiate a second comment period on this action. However, if the NRC receives significant adverse comments by February 17, 2004, then the NRC will publish a document that withdraws this action and will address the comments received in response to the proposed amendments published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**.

#### Voluntary Consensus Standards

The National Technology Transfer Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–113) requires that Federal agencies use technical standards that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies unless the use of such a standard is inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. In this direct final rule, the NRC would revise the NAC–UMS cask system design listed in § 72.214 (List of NRC-approved spent fuel storage cask designs). This action

does not constitute the establishment of a standard that establishes generally applicable requirements.

#### **Agreement State Compatibility**

Under the "Policy Statement on Adequacy and Compatibility of Agreement State Programs" approved by the Commission on June 30, 1997, and published in the **Federal Register** on September 3, 1997 (62 FR 46517), this rule is classified as Compatibility Category "NRC." Compatibility is not required for Category "NRC" regulations. The NRC program elements in this category are those that relate directly to areas of regulation reserved to the NRC by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (AEA) or the provisions of title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Although an Agreement State may not adopt program elements reserved to NRC, it may wish to inform its licensees of certain requirements via a mechanism that is consistent with the particular State's administrative procedure laws, but does not confer regulatory authority on the State.

#### **Plain Language**

The Presidential Memorandum dated June 1, 1998, entitled "Plain Language in Government Writing," directed that the Government's writing be in plain language. The NRC requests comments on this direct final rule specifically with respect to the clarity and effectiveness of the language used. Comments should be sent to the address listed under the heading **ADDRESSES** above.

#### **Finding of No Significant Environmental Impact: Availability**

Under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and the NRC regulations in subpart A of 10 CFR part 51, the NRC has determined that this rule, if adopted, would not be a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment and, therefore, an environmental impact statement is not required. The rule would amend the CoC for the NAC-UMS cask system within the list of approved spent fuel storage casks that power reactor licensees can use to store spent fuel at reactor sites under a general license. The amendment will modify the present cask system design to add an alternate poison material, revises the structural analysis, revises the thermal analyses, revises fuel assembly weight and dimensions, and revises allowable fuel cladding temperature. The amendment also revises the criticality analyses and reorganizes the SAR Criticality Section, revises Technical Specification A.5.5 to

remove the effluent reporting requirements, and makes several editorial and administrative changes. The environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact on which this determination is based are available for inspection at the NRC Public Document Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD. Single copies of the environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact are available from Jayne M. McCausland, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, telephone (301) 415-6219, email [jmm2@nrc.gov](mailto:jmm2@nrc.gov).

#### **Paperwork Reduction Act Statement**

This direct final rule does not contain a new or amended information collection requirement subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*). Existing requirements were approved by the Office of Management and Budget, Approval Number 3150-0132.

#### **Public Protection Notification**

The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a request for information or an information collection requirement unless the requesting document displays a currently valid OMB control number.

#### **Regulatory Analysis**

On July 18, 1990 (55 FR 29181), the NRC issued an amendment to 10 CFR part 72 to provide for the storage of spent nuclear fuel under a general license in cask designs approved by the NRC. Any nuclear power reactor licensee can use NRC-approved cask designs to store spent nuclear fuel if it notifies the NRC in advance, spent fuel is stored under the conditions specified in the cask's CoC, and the conditions of the general license are met. A list of NRC-approved cask designs is contained in § 72.214. On October 19, 2000 (65 FR 62581), the NRC issued an amendment to part 72 that approved the NAC-UMS cask design by adding it to the list of NRC-approved cask designs in § 72.214. On January 15, 2002, and as supplemented on February 4, July 3, August 7, November 27, and December 11, 2002; and August 15, 2003, NAC International (NAC) submitted an application to amend the NAC-UMS Universal Storage System to Incorporate Enhanced Design Features. The amendment adds an alternate poison material, revises the structural analysis, revises the thermal analyses, revises fuel assembly weight and dimensions, and revises allowable fuel cladding

temperature. The amendment also revises the criticality analyses and reorganizes the SAR Criticality Section, revises Technical Specification A.5.5 to remove the effluent reporting requirements, and makes several editorial and administrative changes.

The alternative to this action is to withhold approval of this amended cask system design and issue an exemption to each general license. This alternative would cost both the NRC and the utilities more time and money because each utility would have to pursue an exemption.

Approval of the direct final rule will eliminate this problem and is consistent with previous NRC actions. Further, the direct final rule will have no adverse effect on public health and safety. This direct final rule has no significant identifiable impact or benefit on other government agencies. Based on this discussion of the benefits and impacts of the alternatives, the NRC concludes that the requirements of the direct final rule are commensurate with the NRC's responsibilities for public health and safety and the common defense and security. No other available alternative is believed to be as satisfactory, and thus, this action is recommended.

#### **Regulatory Flexibility Certification**

In accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (5 U.S.C. 605(b)), the NRC certifies that this rule will not, if issued, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This direct final rule affects only the licensing and operation of nuclear power plants, independent spent fuel storage facilities, and NAC International, Inc. The companies that own these plants do not fall within the scope of the definition of "small entities" set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act or the Small Business Size Standards set out in regulations issued by the Small Business Administration at 13 CFR part 121.

#### **Backfit Analysis**

The NRC has determined that the backfit rule (10 CFR 50.109 or 10 CFR 72.62) does not apply to this direct final rule because this amendment does not involve any provisions that would impose backfits as defined. Therefore, a backfit analysis is not required.

#### **Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act**

In accordance with the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, the NRC has determined that this action is not a major rule and has verified this determination with the Office of

Information and Regulatory Affairs,  
Office of Management and Budget.

#### List of Subjects in 10 CFR Part 72

Administrative practice and procedure, Criminal penalties, Manpower training programs, Nuclear materials, Occupational safety and health, Penalties, Radiation protection, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Spent fuel, Whistleblowing.

■ For the reasons set out in the preamble and under the authority of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended; the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended; and 5 U.S.C. 552 and 553; the NRC is adopting the following amendments to 10 CFR part 72:

#### PART 72—LICENSING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE INDEPENDENT STORAGE OF SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL, HIGH-LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE, AND REACTOR-RELATED GREATER THAN CLASS C WASTE

■ 1. The authority citation for part 72 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Secs. 51, 53, 57, 62, 63, 65, 69, 81, 161, 182, 183, 184, 186, 187, 189, 68 Stat. 929, 930, 932, 933, 934, 935, 948, 953, 954, 955, as amended, sec. 234, 83 Stat. 444, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2071, 2073, 2077, 2092, 2093, 2095, 2099, 2111, 2201, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2282); sec. 274, Pub. L. 86–373, 73 Stat. 688, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2021); sec. 201, as amended, 202, 206, 88 Stat. 1242, as amended, 1244, 1246 (42 U.S.C. 5841, 5842, 5846); Pub. L. 95–601, sec. 10, 92 Stat. 2951 as amended by Pub. L. 102–486, sec. 7902, 106 Stat. 3123 (42 U.S.C. 5851); sec. 102, Pub. L. 91–190, 83 Stat. 853 (42 U.S.C. 4332); secs. 131, 132, 133, 135, 137, 141, Pub. L. 97–425, 96 Stat. 2229, 2230, 2232, 2241, sec. 148, Pub. L. 100–203, 101 Stat. 1330–235 (42 U.S.C. 10151, 10152, 10153, 10155, 10157, 10161, 10168).

Section 72.44(g) also issued under secs. 142(b) and 148(c), (d), Pub. L. 100–203, 101 Stat. 1330–232, 1330–236 (42 U.S.C. 10162(b), 10168(c),(d)). Section 72.46 also issued under sec. 189, 68 Stat. 955 (42 U.S.C. 2239); sec. 134, Pub. L. 97–425, 96 Stat. 2230 (42 U.S.C. 10154). Section 72.96(d) also issued under sec. 145(g), Pub. L. 100–203, 101 Stat. 1330–235 (42 U.S.C. 10165(g)). Subpart J also issued under secs. 2(2), 2(15), 2(19), 117(a), 141(h), Pub. L. 97–425, 96 Stat. 2202, 2203, 2204, 2222, 2244 (42 U.S.C. 10101, 10137(a), 10161(h)). Subparts K and L are also issued under sec. 133, 98 Stat. 2230 (42 U.S.C. 10153) and sec. 218(a), 96 Stat. 2252 (42 U.S.C. 10198).

■ 2. In § 72.214, Certificate of Compliance 1015 is revised to read as follows:

#### § 72.214 List of approved spent fuel storage casks.

\* \* \* \* \*

*Certificate Number:* 1015.

*Initial Certificate Effective Date:*

November 20, 2000.

*Amendment Number 1 Effective Date:*

February 20, 2001.

*Amendment Number 2 Effective Date:*

December 31, 2001.

*Amendment Number 3 Effective Date:*

March 31, 2004.

*SAR Submitted by:* NAC International, Inc.

*SAR Title:* Final Safety Analysis Report for the NAC–UMS Universal Storage System.

*Docket Number:* 72–1015.

*Certificate Expiration Date:* November 20, 2020.

*Model Number:* NAC–UMS.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated in Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of December, 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**William D. Travers,**

*Executive Director for Operations.*

[FR Doc. 04–976 Filed 1–15–04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

#### FEDERAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS EXAMINATION COUNCIL

##### 12 CFR Part 1102

[Docket No. AS04–1]

##### Appraisal Subcommittee; Appraiser Regulation

**AGENCY:** Appraisal Subcommittee, Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (“ASC”).

**ACTION:** Final rule amendments.

**SUMMARY:** The ASC is adopting nonsubstantive amendments to its regulations that correct the ASC’s office’s street address, zip code, and telephone numbers to reflect an office relocation from 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., to 2000 K Street, NW., Washington, DC.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 16, 2004.

##### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marc L. Weinberg, General Counsel, at (202) 293–6250 or [marc@asc.gov](mailto:marc@asc.gov); Appraisal Subcommittee; 2000 K Street, NW., Suite 310; Washington, DC 20006.

##### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Authority and Section-by-Section Analysis

The ASC, since its creation under Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989, as amended (“Title XI”), has adopted and amended several regulations that appear at 12 CFR part 1102. These regulations, found in

subparts A, B, C, and D of that part, relate to the ASC’s implementation of The Privacy Act of 1974, the Freedom of Information Act, and various sections of Title XI.

In November 1998, the ASC moved its offices from 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., to its current location at 2000 K Street, NW. Part 1102, as adopted, contained numerous references to the ASC’s Pennsylvania Avenue address and one reference to its previous fax number. The ASC is amending part 1102 by removing all references to its Pennsylvania Avenue address and prior fax number and replacing it with its new K Street address and new fax number.

#### II. Administrative Requirements

##### A. Notice and Comment Requirements Under 5 U.S.C. 553

The ASC, under 12 U.S.C. 553, is required, among other things, to publish in the **Federal Register** for public notice and comment a general notice of proposed rule making, unless, in accordance with paragraph (b)(3)(B), the agency finds “for good cause . . . that notice and public procedure thereon are impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” The ASC finds that notice and procedure are unnecessary in connection with these rule amendments because they are nonsubstantive and essentially are nomenclature changes, as that term is defined in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, page 2–31 (October 1998).

##### List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 1102

Administrative practice and procedure, Appraisers, Banks, banking, Freedom of Information, Mortgages, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

##### Text of the Rule

■ For the reasons set forth in the preamble, title 12, chapter XI of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 1102—APPRAISER REGULATION

■ 1. The authority citation for part 1102, subpart A, continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 12 U.S.C. 3348(a).

■ 2. The authority citation for part 1102, subpart B, continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 12 U.S.C. 3332, 3335, and 3348(c).

■ 3. The authority citation for part 1102, subpart C, continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 12 U.S.C. 552a.