

AMENDMENTS TO PFC APPROVALS

Amendment No. city, state	Amendment approved date	Original approved net PFC revenue	Amended approved net PFC revenue	Original estimated charge exp. date	Amended estimated charge exp. date
08-06-C-01-LAW Lawton, OK	03/13/12	\$917,000	\$1,075,784	11/01/13	11/01/13
09-07-C-01-GRK Killeen, TX.	03/13/12	2,300,000	2,565,711	12/01/12	01/01/13
09-08-C-01-ALO Waterloo, IA.	03/23/12	201,930	262,180	02/01/13	11/01/13
11-10-C-01-ALO Waterloo, IA.	03/23/12	97,420	133,685	06/01/14	08/01/15

Issued in Washington, DC on April 6, 2012.

Joe Hebert,

Manager, Financial Analysis and Passenger Facility Charge Branch.

[FR Doc. 2012-8977 Filed 4-16-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on Proposed Highway in California

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Limitation on Claims for Judicial Review of Actions by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327, and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration—National Marine Fisheries Service.

SUMMARY: The FHWA, on behalf of Caltrans, is issuing this notice to announce actions taken by Caltrans, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration—National Marine Fisheries Service that are final within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. 139(I)(1). The actions relate to a proposed highway project, State Route 76 (SR-76) from South Mission Road in Bonsall to just east of Interstate 15 (I-15), including interchange improvements, in Fallbrook, County of San Diego, State of California. Those actions grant licenses, permits, and approvals for the project.

DATES: By this notice, the FHWA, on behalf of Caltrans, is advising the public of final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(I)(1). A claim seeking judicial review of the Federal agency actions on the highway project will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before October 14, 2012. If the Federal law that authorizes judicial review of a claim provides a time period of less

than 180 days for filing such claim, then the shorter time period still applies.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For Caltrans: Bruce April, Deputy District Director, Division of Environmental Analysis, California Department of Transportation, 4050 Taylor Street, MS 242, San Diego, CA 92110, Regular Office Hours: 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., Telephone number 619-688-0100, email Bruce.April@dot.ca.gov. For the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Ms. Stephanie J. Hall, District 11 Liaison, 915 Wilshire Boulevard, 13th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017-3401, Regular Office Hours: 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., Telephone number 213-452-3410, email Stephanie.J.Hall@usace.army.mil, the Clean Water Act Section 404 Permit is pending. For the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ms. Sally Brown, Caltrans Liaison, 6010 Hidden Valley Road, Suite 101, Carlsbad, CA 92011, Regular Office Hours 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., Telephone number 760-431-9440, the Biological Opinion was received on September 22, 2011. For the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration—National Marine Fisheries Service, Mr. Stan Glowacki, Protected Resources Division, Southwest Regional Office, 501 West Ocean Boulevard, Long Beach, CA 90802-4213, Telephone number 562-980-4061, email stan.glowacki@noaa.gov, the Section 7 consultation was completed on June 8, 2011.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Effective July 1, 2007, the FHWA assigned, and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) assumed, environmental responsibilities for this project pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327. Notice is hereby given that Caltrans has taken final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(I)(1) by issuing licenses, permits, and approvals for the following highway project in the State of California: The project is located in northern San Diego County on SR-76 from South Mission Road in the unincorporated community of Bonsall to just east of I-15, including interchange improvements in the unincorporated community of

Fallbrook, covering a distance of approximately 5.6-miles on SR-76 and 1.2 miles on I-15 (PM 12.1/17.7; 46.1/47.3). The project would construct SR-76 as a four-lane conventional highway including interchange improvements. The Existing Alignment Alternative with a partial cloverleaf interchange design has been selected as the Preferred Alternative and also as the Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative (LEDPA). The FHWA project reference number is FHWA-CA-EIS-10-01-F.

The actions by the Federal agencies, and the laws under which such actions were taken, are described in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the project, approved on January 5, 2012, in the FHWA Record of Decision (ROD) issued on March 23, 2012, and in other documents in the FHWA project records. The FEIS, ROD, and other project records are available by contacting Caltrans at the addresses provided above. The Caltrans FEIS and ROD can be viewed and downloaded from the project Web site at <http://www.dot.ca.gov/dist11/envir.htm>.

This notice applies to all Federal agency decisions as of the issuance date of this notice and all laws under which such actions were taken, including but not limited to:

1. Council on Environmental Quality regulations;
2. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA);
3. Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU);
4. Department of Transportation Act of 1966;
5. Federal Aid Highway Act of 1970;
6. Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990;
7. Clean Water Act of 1977 and 1987;
8. Endangered Species Act of 1973;
9. Migratory Bird Treaty Act;
10. Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981;
11. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964;
12. Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Act of 1970;

13. National Historic Preservation Act of 1966;
14. Historic Sites Act of 1935;
15. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976;
16. Executive Order 11990, Protection of Wetlands
17. Executive Order 13112, Invasive Species;
18. Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management; and,
19. Executive Order 12898, Environmental Justice.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

Authority: 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1)

Issued on: April 11, 2012.

Manuel E. Sánchez,

*Senior Transportation/Border Engineer,
Federal Highway Administration, San Diego,
California.*

[FR Doc. 2012-9205 Filed 4-16-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-RY-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket No. FMCSA-2011-0378]

Qualification of Drivers; Exemption Applications; Vision

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of final disposition.

SUMMARY: FMCSA announces its decision to exempt twelve individuals from the vision requirement in the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs). The exemptions will enable these individuals to operate commercial motor vehicles (CMVs) in interstate commerce without meeting the prescribed vision requirement. The Agency has concluded that granting these exemptions will provide a level of safety that is equivalent to or greater than the level of safety maintained without the exemptions for these CMV drivers.

DATES: The exemptions are effective April 17, 2012. The exemptions expire on April 17, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elaine M. Papp, Chief, Medical Programs Division, (202)-366-4001, fmcsamedical@dot.gov, FMCSA, Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Room W64-224, Washington, DC 20590-0001.

Office hours are from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic Access

You may see all the comments online through the Federal Document Management System (FDMS) at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> at any time or Room W12-140 on the ground level of the West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The FDMS is available 24 hours each day, 365 days each year. If you want acknowledgement that we received your comments, please include a self-addressed, stamped envelope or postcard or print the acknowledgement page that appears after submitting comments on-line.

Privacy Act: Anyone may search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or of the person signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's Privacy Act Statement for the FDMS published in the **Federal Register** on January 17, 2008 (73 FR 3316), or you may visit <http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2008/pdf/E8-785.pdf>.

Background

On February 22, 2012, FMCSA published a notice of receipt of exemption applications from certain individuals, and requested comments from the public (77 FR 10610). That notice listed twelve applicants' case histories. The twelve individuals applied for exemptions from the vision requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10), for drivers who operate CMVs in interstate commerce.

Under 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, FMCSA may grant an exemption for a 2-year period if it finds "such exemption would likely achieve a level of safety that is equivalent to or greater than the level that would be achieved absent such exemption." The statute also allows the Agency to renew exemptions at the end of the 2-year period. Accordingly, FMCSA has evaluated the twelve applications on their merits and made a determination to grant exemptions to each of them.

Vision and Driving Experience of the Applicants

The vision requirement in the FMCSRs provides:

A person is physically qualified to drive a commercial motor vehicle if that person has distant visual acuity of at least 20/40 (Snellen) in each eye without corrective lenses or visual acuity separately corrected to 20/40 (Snellen) or better with corrective lenses, distant binocular acuity of at least 20/40 (Snellen) in both eyes with or without corrective lenses, field of vision of at least 70° in the horizontal meridian in each eye, and the ability to recognize the colors of traffic signals and devices showing requirement red, green, and amber (49 CFR 391.41(b)(10)).

FMCSA recognizes that some drivers do not meet the vision requirement but have adapted their driving to accommodate their vision limitation and demonstrated their ability to drive safely. The twelve exemption applicants listed in this notice are in this category. They are unable to meet the vision requirement in one eye for various reasons, including amblyopia, severed optic nerve, detached retina, corneal scar, complete loss of vision, macular scarring and prosthesis. In most cases, their eye conditions were not recently developed. Ten of the applicants were either born with their vision impairments or have had them since childhood. The two individuals that sustained their vision conditions as adults have had them for a period of 17 to 45 years.

Although each applicant has one eye which does not meet the vision requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10), each has at least 20/40 corrected vision in the other eye, and in a doctor's opinion, has sufficient vision to perform all the tasks necessary to operate a CMV. Doctors' opinions are supported by the applicants' possession of valid commercial driver's licenses (CDLs) or non-CDLs to operate CMVs. Before issuing CDLs, States subject drivers to knowledge and skills tests designed to evaluate their qualifications to operate a CMV.

All of these applicants satisfied the testing requirements for their State of residence. By meeting State licensing requirements, the applicants demonstrated their ability to operate a CMV, with their limited vision, to the satisfaction of the State.

While possessing a valid CDL or non-CDL, these twelve drivers have been authorized to drive a CMV in intrastate commerce, even though their vision disqualified them from driving in interstate commerce. They have driven