

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. EL00-95-000, EL00-98-000 and ER02-1656-000]

San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Complainant, v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services Into Markets Operated by the California Independent System Operator and the California Power Exchange, Respondents, Investigation of Practices of the California Independent System Operator and the California Power Exchange, and California Independent System Operator (MD02); Amended Notice of Technical Conference and Agenda

August 8, 2002.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Staff is convening a technical conference to facilitate continued discussions between the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO), market participants, state agencies and other interested participants on the development of a revised market design for the CAISO. Attached is the proposed agenda for the conference. The conference will be held in San Francisco, California, at the Renaissance Parc 55 Hotel, 55 Cyril Magnin Street, San Francisco, CA, on August 13, 14 and 15, 2002, beginning at 9 a.m.

For additional information concerning the conference, interested persons may contact Susan G. Pollonais at (202) 502-6011 or by electronic mail at "susan.pollonais@ferc.gov." No telephone communication bridge will be provided at this technical conference.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,
Deputy Secretary.

Discussion Issues for FERC Technical Conference on California Market Design (MD02), August 13-15, 2002

1. Introduction and Statement of Goals for Technical Conference
2. Overview and Discussion on Forthcoming Process
 - a. Short-term Issues—Process for Resolving Issues Related to Phase II
 - b. Long-term Issues—Process for Resolving Issues Related to Phase III
3. Standard Market Design (SMD) Overview
 - a. Market Power Mitigation b. Day-Ahead and Real-Time Markets
 - c. Resource Adequacy
 - d. Congestion Revenue Rights (CRRs)
4. Implementation Issues and Milestones
 - a. Introduction—Overview of FERC Directives
 - b. Phase IA
 - i. Status Report on Development of Automatic Mitigation Procedures(AMP)

- ii. Status Report on RFP for Independent Entity to Develop AMP Reference Prices
- c. Phase IB
 - i. Status Report on Implementation of Real-Time Economic Dispatch/Deviation Penalties (enhanced Scheduling Logging for the ISO of California (SLIC))
- d. Phase 2
 - i. Update on Implementation Requirements and Timeline
 - ii. Issues:
 - Integrated Forward Markets and Simultaneous Optimization
 - Residual Unit Commitment
 - Financial v. Physical Forward Schedules
- e. Phase III (including Locational Marginal Pricing (LMP) and CRRs)
 - i. Update on Implementation Timeline
 - ii. Issues:
 - Network Model and State Estimator MP and Optimal Power Flow (OPF)
 - CRRs
 - Financial v. Physical Forward Schedules
5. California ISO Market Surveillance Committee—Opinion and Comment
6. Next Steps/Future Conferences

[FR Doc. 02-20543 Filed 8-13-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. CP02-416-000]

Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc.; Notice of Application

August 8, 2002.

On July 31, 2002, Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc. (Williams), 3800 Frederica Street, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), as amended, and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Rules and Regulations thereunder. Williams requests authorization to: construct 15.67 miles of pipeline; and, perform piping upgrades at a compressor station. The facilities are necessary to provide additional incremental firm transportation service of 66,800 Decatherms per Day(Dth/d) for electric power generation expansion and LDC load growth, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, call (202) 502-8222 or for TTY, (202) 208-1659. Following its open season, Williams received binding

requests from Empire District Electric Co.(63,800 Dth/d) and Kansas Gas Service(3,000 Dth/d) for 15 years of firm transportation service.

Williams requests authority to: (1) Construct approximately 15.67 miles of 20-inch pipeline from the Southern Trunk 20-inch Loop Line "FR" in Cherokee County, Kansas to Jasper County, Missouri; and, (2) install piping upgrades at the Saginaw compressor station in Newton County, Missouri to increase maximum allowable operating pressure from 820 psig to 900 psig. The cost of these modifications is estimated to be approximately \$10,500,000. Further, Williams requests that the Commission determine that costs of the proposed facilities should be rolled-in with existing facility costs in their next general rate case.

Questions regarding the application may be directed to David N. Roberts, Manager of Certificates and Tariffs, P.O. Box 20008, Owensboro, Kentucky 42304, or call (270) 688-6712.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before August 28, 2002, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to