subsidiary of the Russian State Atomic Energy Corporation JSC ROSATOM (Rosatom). Approval of the proposed transaction will result in an indirect change of control of the licenses from Uranium One to Rosatom.<sup>1</sup>

Notice of the application, and opportunity to request a hearing and submit comments for Materials License SUA–1341 and SUA–1596 was published in the **Federal Register** on September 20, 2010 (75 FR 57300) with a deadline for submitting a request for hearing of October 12, 2010, and a deadline for submitting comments of October 20, 2010. No requests for hearing were received; however, four comments were received. Notice of the application and opportunity to request a hearing for Materials License 49–29384– 01 was published on the NRC's public webpage on October 1, 2010, with a deadline for submitting a request for hearing of November 30, 2010. While the deadline for requesting a hearing for Materials License 49–29384–01 has not expired, 10 CFR 2.1316 directs the staff to promptly issue approval or denial of transfer requests consistent with staff's finding in the Safety Evaluation Report (SER).

By Order dated November 23, 2010, NRC approved the indirect transfer of control of NRC Materials Licenses SUA– 1341, SUA–1596, and 49–29384–01. The Order was accompanied by a Safety Evaluation Report (SER) documenting the basis for the NRC staff's approval and a license amendment for each of the affected licenses. These actions comply with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and NRC's rules and regulations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Inaccordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," the details with respect to this action, including the SER and accompanying documentation, and license amendment, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at *http://www.nrc.gov/* reading-rm/adams.html. From this site, you can access the NRC's Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The ADAMS accession numbers for the documents related to this notice are:

1	Applicant's application, July 20, 2010	ML102090404
2	FEDERAL REGISTER Notice, Opportunity for Hearing, September 20, 2010	ML102630318
3	Applicant Response to Request for Additional Information, October 18, 2010	ML102940435
4	Request to Amend License No. 49–29384–01, June 23, 2010	ML102100530
5	Response to Request for Additional Information	ML102670746
6	NRC Letter approving change of control, November 23, 2010	ML103120152
7	NRC Order dated November 23, 2010	ML103120183
8	Materials License SUA-1341, Amendment 18, November 23, 2010	ML103120213
9	Materials License SUA–1596, Amendment 2, November 23, 2010	ML103120221
9	Materials License 49–29384–01, Amendment 01, November 24, 2010	ML103120342
10	NRC Safety Evaluation Report dated November 22, 2010	ML103120321

If you do not have access to ADAMS, or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301– 415–4737, or via e-mail to *pdr.resource@nrc.gov.* 

These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's PDR, O–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of November 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

### Keith I. McConnell,

Deputy Director, Decommissioning and Uranium Recovery Licensing Directorate, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs.

[FR Doc. 2010–30638 Filed 12–6–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-282 and 50-306; NRC-2010-0324]

### Northern States Power Company— Minnesota, Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2; Exemption

### 1.0 Background

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation (NSPM, the licensee) is the holder of Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-42 and DPR-60, which authorize operation of the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2 (PINGP). The licenses provide, among other things, that the facility is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) now or hereafter in effect.

The facility consists of two pressurized-water reactors located in Goodhue County in Minnesota.

### 2.0 Request/Action

Pursuant to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), § 50.12, "Specific exemptions," NSPM has, by

letter dated November 24, 2009, as supplemented by letter dated May 26, 2010 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System Accession Nos. ML093280883 and ML101480083, respectively), requested an exemption from 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance criteria for emergency core cooling systems for light-water nuclear power reactors," and appendix K to 10 CFR part 50, "ECCS Evaluation Models," (appendix K). The regulations in 10 CFR 50.46 contain acceptance criteria for the emergency core cooling system (ECCS) for reactors fueled with zircalov or ZIRLO<sup>TM</sup> cladding. In addition, appendix K to 10 CFR part 50 requires that the Baker-Just equation be used to predict the rates of energy release, hydrogen concentration, and cladding oxidation from the metal/ water reaction. The Baker-Just equation assumed the use of a zirconium alloy different than Optimized ZIRLO<sup>™</sup>. The exemption request relates solely to the specific types of cladding material specified in these regulations. As written, the regulations presume the use of zircaloy or ŽIRLO<sup>™</sup> fuel rod cladding. Thus, an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K is needed to support the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> With respect to the remaining two pending license applications, Jab & Antelope Project (Docket No. 40–9079) and Ludeman Project (Docket No. 40–

<sup>9095),</sup> as a license has yet to be issued, the July 20, 2010, submittal will be treated as a revision to the information regarding the corporate identity of the

applicant that is contained in the respective license applications.

use of different fuel rod cladding material. Therefore, the licensee requested an exemption that would allow the use of Optimized ZIRLO<sup>TM</sup> fuel rod cladding at PINGP. The NRC staff will prepare a separate safety evaluation, fully addressing NSPM's application for a related license amendment.

## 3.0 Discussion

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, the Commission may, upon application by any interested person or upon its own initiative, grant exemptions from the requirements of 10 CFR part 50 when (1) the exemptions are authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to public health or safety, and are consistent with the common defense and security; and (2) when special circumstances are present. Under 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2), special circumstances include, among other things, when application of the specific regulation in the particular circumstance would not serve, or is not necessary to achieve, the underlying purpose of the rule.

## Authorized by Law

This exemption would allow the use of Optimized ZIRLO<sup>™</sup> fuel rod cladding material at PINGP. As stated above, 10 CFR 50.12 allows the NRC to grant exemptions from the requirements of 10 CFR part 50. The NRC staff has determined that granting of the licensee's proposed exemption will not result in a violation of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, or the Commission's regulations. Therefore, the exemption is authorized by law.

## No Undue Risk to Public Health and Safety

The underlying purpose of 10 CFR 50.46 is to establish acceptance criteria for ECCS performance. Westinghouse topical reports WCAP-12610-P-A and CENPD-404-P-A, Addendum 1-A, "Optimized ZIRLO<sup>TM</sup>," dated July 2006, contain the justification to use Optimized ZIRLO<sup>™</sup> fuel rod cladding material in addition to Zircalov-4 and ZIRLO<sup>TM</sup> (these topical reports are nonpublicly available because they contain proprietary information). The NRC staff approved the use of these topical reports, subject to the conditions stated in the staff's safety evaluations for each. In these topical reports, Westinghouse evaluated the structural and material properties of Optimized ZIRLO<sup>TM</sup> and determined that the use of Optimized ZIRLO<sup>TM</sup> as cladding would have either no significant impact or would produce a reduction in corrosion or oxidation and a corresponding reduction in hydrogen pickup. Westinghouse also

evaluated the impact of Optimized ZIRLO<sup>TM</sup> fuel cladding on the loss-ofcoolant accident (LOCA) and non-LOCA accident analyses. The evaluations determined that the LOCA analyses for fuel with Optimized ZIRLO<sup>TM</sup> cladding complied with 10 CFR 50.46, and that there was a negligible difference in the non-LOCA analyses between fuel clad with standard ZIRLO<sup>TM</sup> and fuel clad with Optimized ZIRLO<sup>TM</sup>.

The underlying purpose of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, Section I.A.5, "Metal-Water Reaction Rate," is to ensure that cladding oxidation and hydrogen generation are appropriately limited during a LOCA and conservatively accounted for in the ECCS evaluation model. Appendix K of 10 CFR part 50 requires that the Baker-Just equation be used in the ECCS evaluation model to determine the rate of energy release, cladding oxidation, and hydrogen generation. Westinghouse has shown in WCAP-12610-P-A that the Baker-Just model is conservative in all post-LOCA scenarios with respect to the use of the Optimized ZIRLO<sup>TM</sup> advanced alloy as a fuel cladding material.

The NRC-approved topical reports have demonstrated that predicted chemical, thermal, and mechanical characteristics of the Optimized ZIRLO<sup>TM</sup> alloy cladding are bounding for those approved for ZIRLO<sup>TM</sup> under anticipated operational occurrences and postulated accidents. Reload cores are required to be operated in accordance with the operating limits specified in the technical specifications and the core operating limits report.

Based on the above, no new accident precursors are created by using Optimized ZIRLO<sup>TM</sup>; thus, the probability of postulated accidents is not increased. Also, based on the above, the consequences of postulated accidents are not increased. Therefore, there is no undue risk to public health and safety due to using Optimized ZIRLO<sup>TM</sup>.

# Consistent With Common Defense and Security

The proposed exemption would allow the use of Optimized ZIRLO<sup>TM</sup> fuel rod cladding material at PINGP. This change to the plant configuration has no relation to security issues. Therefore, the common defense and security is not impacted by this exemption.

### Special Circumstances

Special circumstances, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii), are present whenever application of the regulation in the particular circumstances is not necessary to achieve the underlying

purpose of the rule. The underlying purpose of 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K to 10 CFR part 50 is to establish acceptance criteria for ECCS performance. The wording of the regulations in 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K is not directly applicable to Optimized ZIRLO<sup>TM</sup>, even though the evaluations above show that the intent of the regulation is met. Therefore, since the underlying purposes of 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K are achieved through the use of Optimized ZIRLO<sup>TM</sup> fuel rod cladding material, the special circumstances required by 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii) for the granting of an exemption from 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K exist.

### 4.0 Conclusion

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, the exemption is authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and is consistent with the common defense and security. Also, special circumstances are present. Therefore, the Commission hereby grants NSPM an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K to 10 CFR part 50, to allow the use of Optimized ZIRLO<sup>TM</sup> fuel rod cladding material, for the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the Commission has determined that the granting of this exemption will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment as published in the **Federal Register** on October 14, 2010 (75 FR 63213).

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of November, 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

# Joseph G. Giitter,

Director, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2010–30653 Filed 12–6–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

BILLING CODE 7590-01-

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-348 and 50-364; NRC-2009-0375]

### Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2; Exemption

### 1.0 Background

Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. (SNC, the licensee), is the holder of Renewed Facility