

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**Bureau of Land Management**

[LLOR912000–L63100000.DD0000]

Notice of Reestablishment of the Secure Rural Schools Resource Advisory Committees**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice is published in accordance with Section 9(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972. Notice is hereby given that the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) has reestablished the Bureau of Land Management's Secure Rural Schools Resource Advisory Committees.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Allison Sandoval, Legislative Affairs and Correspondence (600), Bureau of Land Management, 1620 L Street, NW., MS–LS–401, Washington, DC 20036, telephone (202) 912–7434.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the Committees is to provide recommendations to the Secretary for project funding, as required by the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000, Public Law 106–393, as amended by Public Law 110–343, Title VI (2008).

Certification Statement

I hereby certify that the reestablishment of the Secure Rural Schools Resource Advisory Committees is necessary and in the public interest in connection with the Secretary of the Interior's responsibilities to manage the lands, resources, and facilities administered by the Bureau of Land Management.

Dated: January 21, 2010.

Ken Salazar,*Secretary of the Interior.*

[FR Doc. 2010–1624 Filed 1–26–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–33–P**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337–TA–677]

In the Matter of: Certain Course Management System Software Products; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation on the Basis of a Settlement Agreement**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 6) terminating the investigation of the basis of a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James A. Worth, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3065. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on June 9, 2009, based upon a complaint filed on behalf of Blackboard Inc. of Washington, DC (“Blackboard”) on April 17, 2009, and supplemented on May 6 and May 14, 2009. 74 FR 27345 (June 9, 2009). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain course management system software products that infringe certain claims of United States Patent No. 6,988,138. The notice of investigation named Desire2Learn, Inc. of Ontario, Canada (“D2L”) as respondent.

On December 17, 2009, Blackboard and D2L filed a joint motion pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(b) to terminate the investigation based upon a settlement agreement. On December 24, 2009, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion. On December 28, 2009, the ALJ issued Order No. 6, granting the motion. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of section 210.42(h) of the

Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42(h)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 21, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2010–1489 Filed 1–26–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE****Drug Enforcement Administration**

[Docket No. 07–47]

Mr. Checkout North Texas; Admonition of Registrant

On August 14, 2007, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA or “the Government”), issued an Order to Show Cause to Mr. Checkout North Texas (Respondent), of Grand Prairie, Texas. The Order to Show Cause proposed the revocation of Respondent's DEA Certificate of Registration as a distributor of list I chemicals on the ground that its continued registration was “inconsistent with the public interest, as that term is used in 21 U.S.C. 823(h).” Show Cause Order at 1.

The Show Cause Order specifically alleged that Respondent was distributing certain list I chemical products containing pseudoephedrine and ephedrine, which are precursor chemicals used in the illicit manufacture of methamphetamine, a schedule II controlled substance, to convenience stores and truck stops, and that these stores traditionally sell only very small quantities of non-prescriptions drugs. *Id.* at 2. The Order further alleged that the specific list I chemical products Respondent distributes “are rarely found in any retail store serving the traditional therapeutic market,” and have “a history of being diverted into the illicit production of methamphetamine.” *Id.* The Order thus alleged that Respondent “continues to be primarily involved in the list I chemical business and is continuing to sell these products with high diversion potential to retailers who have minimal expectation of sales of products of these kinds.” *Id.* at 3. Finally, the Order alleged that Respondent “has been involved in the distribution of listed chemical products out of an unregistered location in violation of the registration requirements of 21 U.S.C. 822.” *Id.* at 2.

On September 17, 2007, Respondent timely requested a hearing on the allegations and the matter was placed