(3) The "Remarks" section of EASA AD 2021–0064 does not apply to this AD.

#### (i) Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

- (1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, Large Aircraft Section, International Validation Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or responsible Flight Standards Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the Large Aircraft Section, International Validation Branch, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (j) of this AD. Information may be emailed to: 9-AVS-AIR-730-AMOC@faa.gov. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the responsible Flight Standards Office.
- (2) Contacting the Manufacturer: For any requirement in this AD to obtain instructions from a manufacturer, the instructions must be accomplished using a method approved by the Manager, Large Aircraft Section, International Validation Branch, FAA; or EASA; or Fokker Services B.V.'s EASA Design Organization Approval (DOA). If approved by the DOA, the approval must include the DOA-authorized signature.
- (3) Paperwork Reduction Act Burden Statement: A federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act unless that collection of information displays a current valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Number for this information collection is 2120-0056. Public reporting for this collection of information is estimated to be approximately 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. All responses to this collection of information are mandatory as required by this AD. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Information Collection Clearance Officer, Federal Aviation Administration, 10101 Hillwood Parkway, Fort Worth, TX 76177-1524.

### (j) Related Information

For more information about this AD, contact Ho-Joon Lim, Aerospace Engineer, Large Aircraft Section, International Validation Branch, FAA, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; telephone and fax 206–231–3405; email ho-joon.lim@faa.gov.

#### (k) Material Incorporated by Reference

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference (IBR) of the service information listed in this

- paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.
- (2) You must use this service information as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless this AD specifies otherwise.
- (i) European Union Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) AD 2021–0064, dated March 5, 2021.
  - (ii) [Reserved]
- (3) For EASA AD 2021–0064, contact EASA, Konrad-Adenauer-Ufer 3, 50668 Cologne, Germany; telephone +49 221 8999 000; email ADs@easa.europa.eu; internet www.easa.europa.eu. You may find this EASA AD on the EASA website at https://ad.easa.europa.eu.
- (4) You may view this material at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206–231–3195. This material may be found in the AD docket at https://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2021–0698.
- (5) You may view this material that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, email fr.inspection@nara.gov, or go to: https://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibrlocations.html.

Issued on August 18, 2021.

#### Ross Landes,

Deputy Director for Regulatory Operations, Compliance & Airworthiness Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2021–19817 Filed 9–14–21; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4910–13–P** 

# **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Parole Commission**

# 28 CFR Part 2

[Docket No. USPC-2021-04]

# RIN 1104-AA09

Paroling, Recommitting, and Supervising Federal Prisoners: Prisoners Serving Sentences Under the United States and District of Columbia Codes

**AGENCY:** United States Parole Commission, Justice.

**ACTION:** Interim rule with request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Parole Commission is revising its regulations to permit findings by a Residential Reentry Center's Disciplinary Committee to be used as conclusive evidence of prisoner misconduct while in a Residential Reentry Center.

**DATES:** This regulation is effective September 15, 2021. Comments due on or before November 15, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by docket identification number USPC-2021-04 by one of the following methods:

1. Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

2. *Mail*: Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Parole Commission, attention: USPC Rules Group, 90 K Street NE, Washington, DC 20530.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Helen H. Krapels, General Counsel, U.S. Parole Commission, 90 K Street NE, Third Floor, Washington, DC 20530, telephone (202) 346–7030. Questions about this publication are welcome, but inquiries concerning individual cases cannot be answered over the telephone.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: After the U.S. Parole Commission has granted a prisoner a parole effective date, but before the prisoner signed the parole certificate, if the prisoner violates the rules of the institution, the Parole Commission may reopen the case and schedule a rescission hearing. 28 CFR 2.34(a). At that hearing, the Parole Commission may consider the report of the Bureau of Prisons ("BOP") Disciplinary Hearing Officer ("DHO") following a disciplinary hearing, that a prisoner has violated disciplinary rules as "conclusive evidence of institutional misconduct," and does not need to conduct a full hearing to consider witnesses and evidence. 28 CFR 2.34(c). The disciplinary hearing conducted by the DHO complies with the procedural due process requirements established by the Supreme Court in Wolff v. McDonnell, i.e., the prisoner has notice of the alleged violations at least 24 hours in advance of hearing, a statement of factfinding, the right to call witnesses and present documentary evidence. Thus, the Parole Commission may rely on the findings and conclusions of the DHO to take action in response to the information.

For prisoners who are housed at a Residential Reentry Center ("RRC") prior to their release and violate the rules, the in-person disciplinary hearing is conducted before the RRC's Center Disciplinary Committee ("CDC"). Under the BOP's Program Statement 7300.09, the CDC then refers its findings to the DHO for review, final action, and sanctions. Every court which has examined the procedures established by Program Statement 7300.09 has held that hearing procedures used by the CDC satisfy the procedural due process requirements established by the Supreme Court in Wolff v. McDonnell.

Thus far, the Parole Commission has not taken the step to amend its

regulation to include findings of the CDC after a hearing as conclusive evidence that the prisoner violated the rules of the institution. With more and more prisoners being placed in RRC's before their parole dates, it is critical that the Commission be able to rely on the CDC's findings to promote the smooth transition to the community or to pull back an inmate who has demonstrated that he or she is not ready to be released to the community without requiring a second hearing by the DHO or a fully contested disciplinary hearing conducted by the U.S. Parole Commission.

The Parole Commission is promulgating this rule as an interim rule and is providing a 60-day period for public comment. The amended rule will take effect upon publication in the **Federal Register**.

#### Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

This regulation has been drafted and reviewed in accordance with Executive Order 12866, "Regulation Planning and Review," section 1(b), Principles of Regulation, and in accordance with Executive Order 13565, "Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review, section 1(b), General Principles of Regulation. The Commission has determined that this rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866, section 3(f), Regulatory Planning and Review, and accordingly this rule has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

# **Executive Order 13132**

This rule will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Under Executive Order 13132, this rule does not have sufficient federalism implications requiring a Federalism Assessment.

# Regulatory Flexibility Act

This rule will not have a significant economic impact upon a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 605(b).

# Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

This rule will not cause State, local, or tribal governments, or the private sector, to spend \$100,000,000 or more in any one year, and will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. No action under the Unfunded

Mandates Reform Act of 1995 is necessary.

# Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Subtitle E— Congressional Review Act)

This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by Section 804 of the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996 Subtitle E-Congressional Review Act, now codified at 5 U.S.C. 804(2). This rule will not result in an annual effect on the economy of \$100,000,000 or more; a major increase in costs or prices; or significant adverse effects on the ability of United States-based companies to compete with foreign-based companies. Moreover, this is a rule of agency practice or procedure that does not substantially affect the rights or obligations of non-agency parties, and does not come within the meaning of the term "rule" as used in Section 804(3)(C), now codified at 5 U.S.C. 804(3)(C). Therefore, the reporting requirement of 5 U.S.C. 801 does not apply.

# List of Subjects in 28 CFR Part 2

Administrative practice and procedure, Prisoners, Probation and parole.

#### The Interim Rule

Accordingly, the U.S. Parole Commission amends 28 CFR part 2 as follows:

# PART 2—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for 28 CFR part 2 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 18 U.S.C. 4203(a)(1) and 4204(a)(6).

■ 2. Amend § 2.34 by revising paragraphs (a) and (c) to read as follows:

#### § 2.34 Rescission of parole.

(a) When an effective date of parole has been set by the Commission, release on that date is conditioned upon continued satisfactory conduct by the prisoner. If a prisoner granted such a date has been found in violation of institution rules by a Discipline Hearing Officer, or the Center Disciplinary Committee, or is alleged to have committed a new criminal act at any time prior to the delivery of the certificate of parole, the Commissioner shall be advised promptly of such information. The prisoner shall not be released until the institution has been notified that no change has been made in the Commission's order to parole. Following receipt of such information, the Commissioner may reopen the case and retard the parole date for up to 90

days without a hearing, or schedule a rescission hearing under this section on the next available docket at the institution or on the first docket following return to a federal institution from a community corrections center or a state or local halfway house.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

(c) A hearing before a Discipline Hearing Officer, or the Center Disciplinary Committee, resulting in a finding that the prisoner has committed a violation of disciplinary rules may be relied upon by the Commission as conclusive evidence of institutional misconduct. However, the prisoner will be afforded an opportunity to explain any mitigating circumstances, and to present documentary evidence in mitigation of the misconduct at the rescission hearing.

### Patricia K. Cushwa,

Chairman (Acting), U.S. Parole Commission. [FR Doc. 2021–19885 Filed 9–14–21; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–31–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Parole Commission**

#### 28 CFR Part 2

[Docket No. USPC-2021-05] RIN 1104-AA10

# Paroling, Recommitting, and Supervising Federal Prisoners: Prisoners Serving Sentences Under the United States and District of Columbia Codes

**AGENCY:** United States Parole Commission, Justice. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Parole Commission is adopting a final rule to conform with the District of Columbia Council's amendment to medical and geriatric parole law which removed an exception that excluded prisoners convicted of certain violent offenses from medical parole consideration.

**DATES:** Effective September 15, 2021.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Helen H. Krapels, General Counsel, U.S. Parole Commission, 90 K Street NE, Third Floor, Washington, DC 20530, telephone (202) 346–7030. Questions about this publication are welcome, but inquiries concerning individual cases cannot be answered over the telephone.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background: The U.S. Parole Commission is responsible for medical parole release decisions for District of