

a. *Paper*: These records will be maintained permanently at RRB until their official retention period is established by the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).

b. *Electronic media*: Storage drives and Cloud storage: Continually updated and permanently retained. When storage drives and Cloud storage or other electronic media are no longer serviceable, they are sanitized in accordance with NIST guidelines.

#### RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

See Notification section below.

#### CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

See Notification section below.

#### NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES:

Requests for information regarding an individual's record should be in writing addressed to the Systems Manager identified above, including the full name and social security number of the individual. Before information about any record will be released, the System Manager may require the individual to provide proof of identity or require the requester to furnish an authorization from the individual to permit release of information.

#### EXEMPTIONS PROMULGATED FOR THE SYSTEM:

None.

#### HISTORY:

None.

[FR Doc. 2021-25380 Filed 11-18-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7905-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-93576; File No. SR-NYSENAT-2021-21]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE National, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Its Schedule of Fees and Rebates

November 15, 2021.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) <sup>1</sup> of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") <sup>2</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, <sup>3</sup> notice is hereby given that, on November 1, 2021, NYSE National, Inc. ("NYSE National" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-

regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its Schedule of Fees and Rebates ("Fee Schedule") to (1) modify the fee for non-tiered orders adding liquidity in securities priced at or above \$1.00; (2) modify the requirements to qualify for Removing Tier 4; and (3) add new Removing Tier 5. The Exchange proposes to implement the rule change on November 1, 2021. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at [www.nyse.com](http://www.nyse.com), at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Fee Schedule to (1) modify the fee for non-tiered orders adding liquidity in securities priced at or above \$1.00; (2) modify the requirements to qualify for Removing Tier 4; and (3) add new Removing Tier 5.

The proposed changes respond to the current competitive environment where order flow providers have a choice of where to direct liquidity-providing and liquidity-removing orders by offering further incentives for ETP Holders to send additional adding and removing liquidity to the Exchange.

The Exchange proposes to implement the rule change on November 1, 2021.

###### Current Market and Competitive Environment

The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market. The Commission has repeatedly expressed its preference

for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. Specifically, in Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system "has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies."<sup>4</sup>

As the Commission itself has recognized, the market for trading services in NMS stocks has become "more fragmented and competitive."<sup>5</sup> Indeed, equity trading is currently dispersed across 16 exchanges,<sup>6</sup> 31 alternative trading systems,<sup>7</sup> and numerous broker-dealer internalizers and wholesalers. Based on publicly-available information, no single exchange has more than 18% of the market.<sup>8</sup> Therefore, no exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of equity order flow. More specifically, the Exchange's share of executed volume of equity trades in Tapes A, B and C securities is less than 2%.<sup>9</sup>

The Exchange believes that the ever-shifting market share among the exchanges from month to month demonstrates that market participants can move order flow, or discontinue or reduce use of certain products, in response to fee changes. While it is not possible to know a firm's reason for moving order flow, the Exchange believes that one such reason is because of fee changes at any of the registered exchanges or non-exchange trading venues to which a firm routes order flow. These fees can vary from month to month, and not all are publicly available. With respect to non-marketable order flow that would provide liquidity on an exchange, ETP Holders can choose from any one of the

<sup>4</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005) (S7-10-04) (Final Rule) ("Regulation NMS").

<sup>5</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808, 84FR 5202, 5253 (February 20, 2019) (File No. S7-05-18) (Transaction Fee Pilot for NMS Stocks Final Rule) ("Transaction Fee Pilot").

<sup>6</sup> See Cboe Global Markets, U.S. Equities Market Volume Summary, available at [http://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/market\\_share/](http://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/market_share/). See generally <https://www.sec.gov/fast-answers/divisionsmarketregmrexchangesshtml.html>.

<sup>7</sup> See FINRA ATS Transparency Data, available at <https://otctransparency.finra.org/otctransparency/AtsIssueData>. A list of alternative trading systems registered with the Commission is available at <https://www.sec.gov/foia/docs/atlist.htm>.

<sup>8</sup> See Cboe Global Markets U.S. Equities Market Volume Summary, available at [http://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/market\\_share/](http://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/market_share/).

<sup>9</sup> See id.

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78a.

<sup>3</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

16 currently operating registered exchanges to route such order flow. Accordingly, competitive forces constrain the Exchange's transaction fees, and market participants can readily trade on competing venues if they deem pricing levels at those other venues to be more favorable.

The Exchange utilizes a "taker-maker" or inverted fee model to attract orders that provide liquidity at the most competitive prices. Under the taker-maker model, offering rebates for taking (or removing) liquidity increases the likelihood that market participants will send orders to the Exchange to trade with liquidity providers' orders. This increased taker order flow provides an incentive for market participants to send orders that provide liquidity. The Exchange generally charges fees for order flow that provides liquidity. These fees are reasonable due to the additional marketable interest (in part attracted by the Exchange's rebate to remove liquidity) with which those order flow providers can trade.

#### Proposed Rule Change

To respond to this competitive environment, the Exchange proposes the following changes to its Fee Schedule designed to provide order flow providers with additional incentives to route order flow to the Exchange. As described above, ETP Holders have a choice of where to send their order flow.

#### Change of Fee for Non-Tiered Orders Adding Liquidity

The Exchange proposes to increase the fee for non-tiered orders adding liquidity in securities priced at or above \$1.00, currently set at \$0.0028 per share, to \$0.0029 per share. The proposed fee is competitive and would still be less than the fees charged by other markets for non-tiered orders adding liquidity.<sup>10</sup>

#### Proposed Change To Removing Tier 4 and Addition of New Removing Tier 5

Under current Removing Tier 4, the Exchange provides a rebate of \$0.0015 per share to ETP Holders that remove liquidity from the Exchange in securities with a per share price of \$1.00 or more and that have at least 50,000 Adding ADV.

The Exchange proposes to revise Removing Tier 4 by adding an additional requirement. As proposed,

ETP Holders would qualify for the current rebate by if they have at least 50,000 Adding ADV and Adding ADV and Removing ADV combined of at least 0.04% of US CADV. The Exchange does not propose any changes to the removing rate for orders that remove liquidity that qualify for Removing Tier 4.

In addition, the Exchange proposes to add new Removing Tier 5, which would provide a rebate of \$0.0007 per share to ETP Holders that remove liquidity from the Exchange in securities with a per share price of \$1.00 or more and that have at least 50,000 Adding ADV.

The Exchange believes that together, these proposed changes will incentivize more ETP Holders to route liquidity-removing and liquidity-adding order flow to the Exchange to meet the tier requirements for Removing Tier 4 by adding the proposed requirement to Removing Tier 4 of a combination of Adding and Removing ADV.<sup>11</sup> For ETP Holders who cannot meet the proposed additional requirement to qualify for proposed Removing Tier 4, the Exchange believes that the addition of proposed new Removing Tier 5 would encourage additional removing order flow to the Exchange by providing a \$0.0007 per share rebate for ETP Holders that meet the 50,000 Adding ADV requirement, which would still be less than the fees charged by other markets for non-tiered orders adding liquidity.<sup>12</sup>

The Exchange believes that the increased order flow that may result from these proposed changes would in turn support the quality of price discovery on the Exchange and provide additional price improvement opportunities for incoming orders.

As noted, the Exchange operates in a competitive environment. The Exchange does not know how much order flow ETP Holders choose to route to other exchanges or to off-exchange venues. Based on the profile of firms generally, the Exchange believes that additional ETP Holders could qualify for Removing Tier 4 with the additional qualification criteria if they choose to direct order flow to the Exchange. Without having a view of ETP Holders' activity on other exchanges and off-exchange venues, the Exchange has no way of knowing

whether this proposed rule change would result in any additional ETP Holders directing orders to the Exchange in order to qualify for the Removing Tier 4 or Removing Tier 5 rate.

The proposed changes are not otherwise intended to address any other issues, and the Exchange is not aware of any problems that ETP Holders would have in complying with the proposed changes.

#### 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>13</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>14</sup> in particular, because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members, issuers and other persons using its facilities and does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers.

#### The Proposed Change Is Reasonable

As discussed above, the Exchange operates in a highly competitive market. The Commission has repeatedly expressed its preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system "has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies."<sup>15</sup> While Regulation NMS has enhanced competition, it has also fostered a "fragmented" market structure where trading in a single stock can occur across multiple trading centers. When multiple trading centers compete for order flow in the same stock, the Commission has recognized that "such competition can lead to the fragmentation of order flow in that stock."<sup>16</sup>

Given the current competitive environment, the Exchange believes that the proposal represents a reasonable attempt to attract additional order flow to the Exchange while aligning the Exchange's fees with those charged by other markets. Specifically, the

<sup>10</sup> See, e.g., Nasdaq BX Pricing Schedule, available at [https://www.nasdaqtrader.com/Trader.aspx?id=bx\\_pricing](https://www.nasdaqtrader.com/Trader.aspx?id=bx_pricing) (providing \$0.0030 standard rate for adding displayed liquidity); Cboe EDGA Exchange Fee Schedule, available at [https://www.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee\\_schedule/edga/](https://www.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee_schedule/edga/) (providing \$0.0030 standard rate for adding displayed liquidity).

<sup>11</sup> The Exchange currently uses a similarly-structured requirement for Removing Tier 1. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 89640 (August 12, 2020), 85 FR 53041 (August 27, 2020) (SR-NYSENAT-2020-27).

<sup>12</sup> See Nasdaq BX Pricing Schedule, available at [https://www.nasdaqtrader.com/Trader.aspx?id=bx\\_pricing](https://www.nasdaqtrader.com/Trader.aspx?id=bx_pricing) (providing rebates of \$0.0005 for Tapes A and B and \$0.0004 for Tape C for firms adding at least 50,000 ADV).

<sup>13</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>14</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) & (5).

<sup>15</sup> See Regulation NMS, *supra* note 4, at 37499.

<sup>16</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 61358, 75 FR 3594, 3597 (January 21, 2010) (File No. S7-02-10) (Concept Release on Equity Market Structure).

proposed fee increase for non-tiered orders adding liquidity in securities priced at or above \$1.00 is reasonable because it is competitive and the proposed fee would remain advantageous when compared to the fees charged by other markets for non-tiered orders adding liquidity.<sup>17</sup> In addition, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes to the Removing Tiers are reasonable because they would encourage greater liquidity for ETP Holders routing order flow to the Exchange.

The Exchange believes that the proposal as a whole represents a reasonable effort to promote price discovery and enhanced order execution opportunities for ETP Holders. All ETP Holders would benefit from the greater amounts of liquidity on the Exchange, which would represent a wider range of execution opportunities.

#### The Proposal Is an Equitable Allocation of Fees and Rebates

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change equitably allocates its fees among its market participants. The proposed change would continue to encourage ETP Holders to both submit additional liquidity to the Exchange and execute orders on the Exchange, thereby contributing to robust levels of liquidity, to the benefit of all market participants.

The Exchange believes that increasing the fee currently charged for non-tiered orders adding liquidity in securities priced at or above \$1.00 is an equitable allocation of fees. Even though the proposed change would increase the fee for non-tiered orders, the Exchange does not believe that it will discourage executions on the Exchange because the proposed fee would remain advantageous when compared with comparable fees charged by other markets.<sup>18</sup> To the extent that the proposed change continues to attract order flow to the Exchange, this order flow would make the Exchange a more competitive venue for, among other things, order execution. Thus, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change would continue to improve market quality for all market participants on the Exchange and, as a consequence, continue to attract more order flow to the Exchange, thereby improving market-wide quality and price discovery.

Similarly, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes to the Removing Tiers would encourage the submission of additional liquidity to the Exchange, thus enhancing order execution

opportunities for ETP Holders from the additional amounts of liquidity present on the Exchange. All ETP Holders would benefit from the greater amounts of liquidity that would be present on the Exchange, which would provide greater execution opportunities and improve market quality.

The Exchange further believes that the proposal constitutes an equitable allocation of fees and credits because all similarly situated ETP Holders and other market participants would be eligible for the same general and tiered rates and would be eligible for the same fees and credits. Moreover, the proposed change is equitable because the revised fees would apply equally to all similarly situated ETP Holders. The proposal neither targets nor will it have a disparate impact on any particular category of market participant.

#### The Proposal Is Not Unfairly Discriminatory

The Exchange believes that the proposal is not unfairly discriminatory. In the prevailing competitive environment, ETP Holders are free to disfavor the Exchange's pricing if they believe that alternatives offer them better value.

Moreover, the proposal neither targets nor will it have a disparate impact on any particular category of market participant. The Exchange believes that the proposal does not permit unfair discrimination because the proposal would be applied to all similarly situated ETP Holders and all ETP Holders would be subject to the same modified fee for non-tiered orders adding liquidity in securities priced at or above \$1.00, and to the same qualification requirements for modified Removing Tier 4 and new Removing Tier 5. Accordingly, no ETP Holder already operating on the Exchange would be disadvantaged by the proposed allocation of fees and credits.

The Exchange further believes that the proposed changes would not permit unfair discrimination among ETP Holders because the non-tiered and tiered rates are available equally to all ETP Holders. As described above, in today's competitive marketplace, order flow providers have a choice of where to direct order flow, and the Exchange believes there are additional ETP Holders that could qualify if they chose to direct their order flow to the Exchange.

Finally, the Exchange believes that it is subject to significant competitive forces, as described below in the Exchange's statement regarding the burden on competition.

For the foregoing reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with the Act.

#### B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

In accordance with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act,<sup>19</sup> the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Instead, as discussed above, the Exchange believes that the proposed change would encourage the submission of additional liquidity and order flow to a public exchange, thereby enhancing order execution opportunities for ETP Holders. As a result, the Exchange believes that the proposed change furthers the Commission's goal in adopting Regulation NMS of fostering competition among orders, which promotes "more efficient pricing of individual stocks for all types of orders, large and small."<sup>20</sup>

*Intramarket Competition.* The proposed change is designed to attract additional order flow to the Exchange. As described above, the Exchange believes that the proposed change would provide additional incentives for market participants to route liquidity-providing and liquidity-removing orders to the Exchange. Greater liquidity benefits all market participants on the Exchange by providing more trading opportunities and encourages ETP Holders to send orders, thereby contributing to robust levels of liquidity. The proposed revised requirements for the tiered rebates and fees would be available to all similarly-situated market participants, and thus, the proposed change would not impose a disparate burden on competition among market participants on the Exchange.

*Intermarket Competition.* The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily choose to send their orders to other exchanges and off-exchange venues if they deem fee levels at those other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees and rebates to remain competitive with other exchanges and off-exchange venues. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees and rebates in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange does not believe its proposed fee change can impose any burden on intermarket competition.

<sup>17</sup> See *supra* note 10.

<sup>18</sup> See *id.*

<sup>19</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

<sup>20</sup> Regulation NMS, 70 FR at 37498–99.

The Exchange believes that the proposed change could promote competition between the Exchange and other execution venues, including those that currently offer similar order types and comparable transaction pricing, by encouraging additional orders to be sent to the Exchange for execution.

*C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

**III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

The foregoing rule change is effective upon filing pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) <sup>21</sup> of the Act and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b-4 <sup>22</sup> thereunder, because it establishes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) <sup>23</sup> of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

**IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

*Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-NYSENAT-2021-21 on the subject line.

*Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSENAT-2021-21. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSENAT-2021-21 and should be submitted on or before December 10, 2021.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>24</sup>

**J. Matthew DeLesDernier,**

*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2021-25228 Filed 11-18-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**[Investment Company Act Release No. 34418; 812-15268]**

**SEI Asset Allocation Trust, et al.**

November 15, 2021.

**AGENCY:** Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

**ACTION:** Notice of an application under Section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") for an exemption from Section 15(c) of the Act.

**SUMMARY:** The requested exemption would permit a Trust's board of trustees

(the "Board") to approve new sub-advisory agreements and material amendments to existing sub-advisory agreements without complying with the in-person meeting requirement of Section 15(c) of the Act.

**APPLICANTS:** SEI Asset Allocation Trust, SEI Daily Income Trust, SEI Institutional International Trust, SEI Institutional Investments Trust, SEI Institutional Managed Trust, SEI Tax Exempt Trust, Adviser Managed Trust, New Covenant Funds, SEI Catholic Values Trust (each a "Trust") and SEI Investments Management Corporation.

**FILING DATES:** The application was filed on September 27, 2021.

**HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING:**

An order granting the requested relief will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing on any application by emailing the SEC's Secretary at [Secretarys-Office@sec.gov](mailto:Secretarys-Office@sec.gov) and serving the relevant applicant with a copy of the request by email, if an email address is listed for the relevant applicant below, or personally or by mail, if a physical address is listed for the relevant applicant below.

Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on December 10, 2021, and should be accompanied by proof of service on applicants, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Pursuant to rule 0-5 under the Act, hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, any facts bearing upon the desirability of a hearing on the matter, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by emailing the Commission's Secretary.

**ADDRESSES:** The Commission: [Secretarys-Office@sec.gov](mailto:Secretarys-Office@sec.gov). Applicants: John J. O'Brien, Esq., [johnobrien@morganlewis.com](mailto:johnobrien@morganlewis.com).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lisa Reid Ragen, Branch Chief, at (202) 551-6825 (Division of Investment Management, Chief Counsel's Office).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For Applicants' representations, legal analysis, and condition, please refer to Applicants' application, dated September 27, 2021, which may be obtained via the Commission's website by searching for the file number, using the Company name box, at <http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm>, or by calling (202) 551-8090.

<sup>21</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>22</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

<sup>23</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

<sup>24</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).