

proposed action. Comments received in response to this notice, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be a part of the project record and available for public review.

*Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review:* A Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) will be prepared for comment. The next major opportunity for public input will be when the DEIS is published. The comment period for the DEIS will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**. The Draft EIS is anticipated to be available for public review in February 2014.

Dated: April 15, 2013.

**Rick Brazell,**

*Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 2013-09710 Filed 4-25-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Rural Housing Service

#### Notice of Request for Extension of a Currently Approved Information Collection

**AGENCY:** The Rural Housing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Proposed collection; Comments requested.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Rural Housing Service's intention to request an extension for a currently approved information collection in support of the program for "Section 515 Multi-Family Housing Preservation and Revitalization Restructuring Demonstration Program (MPR) for Fiscal Year 2006."

**DATES:** Comments on this notice must be received by June 25, 2013 to be assured of consideration.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Melinda Price, Finance and Housing Analyst, Multi-Family Housing and Preservation and Direct Loan Division, Federal Building, 200 North High Street, Room 597, Columbia, Ohio 43215, (614) 255-2403

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Section 515 Multi-Family Housing Preservation and Revitalization Restructuring (MPR) Demonstration Program.

*OMB Number:* 0575-0190.

*Expiration Date of Approval:* July 31, 2013.

*Type of Request:* Extension of currently approved information collection.

*Abstract:* The Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 2006 (Pub. L. 109-97) provides funding for, and authorizes Rural Development to conduct a demonstration program for the preservation and revitalization of the Section 515 Multi-Family Housing portfolio. Section 515 of the Housing Act of 1949 (42 U.S.C. 1485) provides Rural Development the authority to make loans for low-income Multi-Family Housing and related facilities.

Rural Development refers to this program as Multi-Family Housing Preservation and Revitalization Restructuring Program (MPR). A NOFA sets forth the eligibility and application requirements. Information will be collected from applicants and grant recipients by Rural Development staff in its Local, Area, State, and National offices. This information will be used to determine applicant eligibility for this demonstration program. If an applicant proposal is selected, that applicant will be notified of the selection and given the opportunity to submit a formal application.

*Estimate of Burden:* Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response.

*Respondents:* Individuals, partnerships, public and private nonprofit corporations, agencies, institutions, organizations, and Indian tribes.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 1,500.

*Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* 1.

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 2,420.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 2,720.

Copies of this information collection can be obtained from Jeanne Jacobs, Regulations and Paperwork Management Branch, Support Services Division at (202) 692-0040.

*Comments:* Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of Rural Development, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of Rural Development's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the

collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments may be sent to Jeanne Jacobs, Regulations and Paperwork Management Branch, Support Services Division, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Support Services Division, STOP 0742, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20250. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record.

Dated: April 17, 2013.

**Tammye Treviño,**

*Administrator, Rural Housing Service.*

[FR Doc. 2013-09894 Filed 4-25-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-909]

#### Certain Steel Nails From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of the Third Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2010-2011

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 26, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Javier Barrientos, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2243.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On March 18, 2013, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published the final results of the third administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel nails from the People's Republic of China ("PRC").<sup>1</sup> On March 13, 2013, Hongli *et al.*<sup>2</sup> filed timely allegations

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Steel Nails From the People's Republic of China; Final Results of Third Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2010-2011*, 78 FR 16651 (March 18, 2013), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum ("Final Results").

<sup>2</sup> Itochu Building Products Co., Inc., Tianjin Jinghai County Hongli Industry & Business Co., Ltd. ("Hongli"), Certified Products International Inc. ("CPI"), China Staple Enterprise (Tianjin) Co., Ltd. ("China Staple"), Chieh Yung Metal Ind. Corp.,

Continued

that the Department made ministerial errors in the *Final Results* and requested, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224, that the Department correct the alleged ministerial errors. No other party in this proceeding submitted comments on the Department's final margin calculations.

### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order includes certain steel nails having a shaft length up to 12 inches. Certain steel nails subject to the order are currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") subheadings 7317.00.55, 7317.00.65 and 7317.00.75. While the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.<sup>3</sup>

For a full description of the scope, see Ministerial Error Memorandum<sup>4</sup> at page 2.

### Amended Final Results of the Review

The Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act"), defines a "ministerial error" as including "errors in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical errors resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other type of unintentional error which the administering authority considers ministerial."<sup>5</sup> As explained in the Ministerial Error Memorandum accompanying this notice, in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.224(e), we have determined that we made a ministerial error in the calculation of Hongli's *Final Results* margin calculation with regard to the classification of certain surrogate financial data. We note that correcting this error changes the weighted-average margins for Hongli, as well as the separate rate companies from the *Final Results*. In addition, the *Final Results*

inadvertently reported a separate rate margin for CPI and China Staple, although we rescinded the review of these two companies.<sup>6</sup> For a detailed discussion of these ministerial errors, as well as the Department's analysis of the allegations of ministerial errors, see the Ministerial Error Memorandum. As discussed in the Ministerial Error Memorandum, the review is rescinded for CPI and China Staple.

### Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations performed for these amended final results within five days of the date of publication of this notice to interested parties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

### Amended Final Results of the Review

The weighted-average dumping margins for the period of review ("POR") are as follows:

| Manufacturer/exporter                                                | Weighted average margin (percent) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| (1) Tianjin Jinghai County Hongli Industry & Business Co., Ltd ..... | 33.25                             |
| (2) Cana (Tianjin) Hardware Industrial Co., Ltd .....                | 33.25                             |
| (3) Shanghai Curvet Hardware Products Co., Ltd .....                 | 33.25                             |
| (4) Huanghua Jinhai Hardware Products Co., Ltd .....                 | 33.25                             |
| (5) Shanxi Tianli Industries Co., Ltd .....                          | 33.25                             |
| (6) Shanghai Jade Shuttle Hardware Tools Co., Ltd .....              | 33.25                             |
| (7) Shandong Dinglong Import & Export Co., Ltd .....                 | 33.25                             |
| (8) Tianjin Jinchi Metal Products Co., Ltd .....                     | 33.25                             |
| (9) Huanghua Xionghua Hardware Products Co., Ltd .....               | 33.25                             |
| (10) Tianjin Zhonglian Metals Ware Co., Ltd .....                    | 33.25                             |
| (11) Shanghai Yueda Nails Industry Co., Ltd .....                    | 33.25                             |
| (12) Hebie Cangzhou New Century Foreign Trade Co., Ltd .....         | 33.25                             |
| (13) Zhaoqing Harvest Nails Co., Ltd .....                           | 33.25                             |
| (14) Mingguan Abundant Hardware Products Co., Ltd .....              | 33.25                             |
| (15) Nanjing Yuechang Hardware Co., Ltd .....                        | 33.25                             |
| (16) S-Mart (Tianjin) Technology Development Co., Ltd .....          | 33.25                             |
| (17) SDC International Australia Pty., Ltd .....                     | 33.25                             |
| (18) Shanxi Hairui Trade Co., Ltd .....                              | 33.25                             |
| (19) Guangdong Foreign Trade Import & Export Corporation .....       | 33.25                             |
| (20) Qingdao D&L Group Ltd .....                                     | 33.25                             |
| PRC-Wide Rate <sup>7</sup> .....                                     | 118.04                            |

Those companies not eligible for a separate rate will be considered part of the PRC-wide entity.

### Assessment Rates

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. The Department intends

to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of these amended final results of this review. However, on April 9, 2013, the U.S. Court of International Trade issued a preliminary injunction enjoining

CYM (Nanjing) Nail Manufacture Co., Ltd., Qidong Liang Chyuan Metal Industry Co., Ltd. ("Qidong Liang Chyuan") and Hengshui Mingyao Hardware & Mesh Products Co., Ltd. ("Hengshui Mingyao") (collectively Hongli *et al.*).

<sup>3</sup> See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Steel Nails From the People's Republic of China*, 73 FR 44961 (August 1, 2008).

<sup>4</sup> See Memorandum to Gary Taverman, from James C. Doyle, regarding "Third Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Steel Nails from the People's Republic of China: Ministerial Error Allegations Memorandum," dated concurrently with this notice ("Ministerial Error Memorandum"). This memorandum is a public

document and is on file electronically via Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS"). Access to IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Ministerial Error Memorandum is available on the web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Ministerial Error Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Ministerial Error Memorandum are identical in content.

<sup>5</sup> See section 751(h) of the Act; see also 19 CFR 351.224(e).

<sup>6</sup> The Department notes that it rescinded the review for the following companies in the final results: (1) Jining Huarong Hardware Products Co., Ltd.; (2) Chiieh Yung Metal Ind. Corp.; (3) CYM (Nanjing) Nail Manufacture Co., Ltd.; (4) Qidong Liang Chyuan; (5) CPI; (6) Besco Machinery Industry (Zhejiang) Co., Ltd.; (7) China Staple; (8) Zhejiang Gem-Chun Hardware Accessory Co., Ltd.; (9) PT Enterprise Inc.; (10) Shanxi Yuci Broad Wire Products Co., Ltd.; (11) Hengshui Mingyao; and (12) Union Enterprise (Kunshan) Co., Ltd. (collectively "No Shipment Respondents"). See *Final Results*, 78 FR at 16652.

<sup>7</sup> See *Final Results*, 78 FR at 16652–16653.

liquidation of certain entries during the POR which are subject to the antidumping duty order on certain steel nails from the PRC.<sup>8</sup> Accordingly, the Department will not issue assessment instructions to CBP for any entries subject to the above-mentioned injunction after publication of this notice.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we are calculating importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rates for the merchandise subject to this review. In these *Final Results*, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Final Modification for Reviews*, i.e., on the basis of monthly average-to-average comparisons using only the transactions associated with that importer with offsets being provided for non-dumped comparisons.<sup>9</sup> Where the respondent has reported reliable entered values, we calculate importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to each importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total entered value of the sales to each importer (or customer). Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* rate is greater than *de minimis*, we will apply the assessment rate to the entered value of the importers'/ customers' entries during the POR, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

Where we do not have entered values for all U.S. sales to a particular importer/customer, we calculate a per-unit assessment rate by aggregating the antidumping duties due for all U.S. sales to that importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total quantity sold to that importer (or customer).<sup>10</sup> To determine whether the duty assessment rates are *de minimis*, in accordance with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we calculated importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* ratios based on the estimated entered value. Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.<sup>11</sup>

For the companies receiving a separate rate that were not selected for

individual review, we will assign an assessment rate based on the rate we calculated for the mandatory respondent whose rate was not *de minimis*, as discussed above. We intend to instruct CBP to liquidate entries containing subject merchandise exported by the PRC-wide entity at the PRC-wide rate. Finally, for those companies for which this review has been rescinded, the Department intends to assess antidumping duties at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(2).

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the amended final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the exporters listed above, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the amended final results of review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, i.e., less than 0.5 percent, a zero cash deposit rate will be required for that company); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 118.04 percent; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporters that supplied that non-PRC exporter. The deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

#### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: April 17, 2013.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**  
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2013-09919 Filed 4-25-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

[A-570-848]

#### Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 26, 2013.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Department) has determined that a request for a new shipper review (NSR) of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China (PRC), meets the statutory and regulatory requirements for initiation. The period of review (POR) for this NSR is September 1, 2012, through February 28, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dmitry Vladimirov, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; Telephone: 202-482-0665.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the

<sup>8</sup> See *Itochu Building Products Co., Inc., et al. v. United States*, CIT Court No. 13-00132 dated April 9, 2013.

<sup>9</sup> See *Antidumping Proceeding: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8103 (February 14, 2012) ("Final Modification for Reviews").

<sup>10</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

<sup>11</sup> See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).