

Final Results of the Review

We determine that the final weighted-average dumping margin for Shanghai Fortune for the period July 1 2005, through June 30, 2006, is zero percent. The Department will disclose calculations performed for the final results to the parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

The Department has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of the review. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), for Shanghai Fortune, we calculated an exporter/importer (or customer)-specific assessment rate for the merchandise subject to this review. We calculated a per-unit assessment rate by aggregating the antidumping duties due for all U.S. sales to each importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total quantity sold to that importer (or customer). See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importer's ad valorem rate or a customer-specific per-unit rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

Cash-Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit rates will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for Shanghai Fortune, which has a separate rate, is zero percent; (2) the cash deposit rate for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters who received a separate rate in a prior segment of the proceeding (which were not reviewed in this segment of the proceeding) will continue to be the rate assigned in that segment of the proceeding; (3) the cash deposit rate for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate

of 329.33 percent; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporters that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.402(f)(3), failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and as explained in the APO itself. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice of final results of the administrative review is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 4, 2007.

David M. Spooner,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix

List of Comments and Issues in the Issues and Decisions Memorandum

Comment 1 Valuation of Aqueous Ammonia
Comment 2 Valuation of Sulfur Dioxide
Comment 3 Valuation of Steam Coal
[FR Doc. E7-17851 Filed 9-10-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Southern California, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural

Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 2104, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 07-047. *Applicant:* University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model JEM-1400. *Manufacturer:* JEOL, Ltd., Japan. *Intended Use:* See notice at 72 FR 46037, August 16, 2007.

Docket Number: 07-050. *Applicant:* University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model Quanta 200 FEG. *Manufacturer:* FEI, Company, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* See notice at 72 FR 46037, August 16, 2007.

Docket Number: 07-049. *Applicant:* Indiana University. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model JEM-3200FS. *Manufacturer:* JEOL Ltd., Japan. *Intended Use:* See notice at 72 FR 46037, August 16, 2007.

Docket Number: 06-042. *Applicant:* The University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Champaign, IL. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model JEM-2200FS. *Manufacturer:* JEOL, Ltd., Japan. *Intended Use:* See notice at 72 FR 46037, August 16, 2007.

Docket Number: 07-052. *Applicant:* Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, (2), Tecnai G2 Spirit TWIN and Morgagni TEM. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* See notice at 72 FR 46037, August 16, 2007.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. *Reasons:* Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Faye Robinson,
Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff,
Import Administration.
[FR Doc. E7-17867 Filed 9-10-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

8, from Frances Veith, International Trade Compliance Analyst, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, regarding, "2005-2006 Antidumping Duty Order Administrative Review of Saccharin from the People's Republic of China: Surrogate Values for the Final Results," dated concurrently with this notice ("Final Surrogate Value Memo").