## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

### **National Park Service**

[NPS-SER-CHCH-15252; PPSESEROC3, PPMPSAS1Y.YP0000]

General Management Plan Amendment/Environmental Impact Statement, Lookout Mountain Battlefield, Chickamauga and Chattanooga National Military Park, Tennessee and Georgia

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice of Termination of Environmental Impact Statement.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), the National Park Service (NPS) is terminating preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) for a general management plan (GMP) for Chickamauga and Chattanooga National Military Park (Park), Tennessee and Georgia. Instead, the NPS will prepare two environmental assessments (EA) to support amendments to the 1987 general management plan, one for the Lookout Mountain Battlefield unit and one for the Moccasin Bend unit.

**DATES:** The Lookout Mountain Battlefield GMP Amendment EA is expected to be distributed for public comment in summer 2014. The NPS will notify the public by mail, local and regional media, Web site, and other means, of public review periods and meetings associated with the EA; all announcements will include information on where and how to obtain a copy of the EA, how to comment on the EA, and the length of the public comment period. All public review and other written public information will be made available online through the NPS Planning, Environment, and Public Comment (PEPC) Web site at http:// parkplanning.nps.gov/chch and the Park Web site at www.nps.gov/chch. At this point in time, the NPS does not have an anticipated release date for the Moccasin Bend GMP Amendment EA.

**ADDRESSES:** Chickamauga and Chattanooga National Military Park, P.O. Box 2128, Fort Oglethorpe, GA 30742.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jim Szyjkowski at the address above, or by telephone at (423) 752–5213, extension 121.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS for a GMP for the entire Park was published in the **Federal Register** on December 15, 2008 (73 FR 241, Pages 76054 and 76055). The NPS then engaged in a scoping

process which included extensive public involvement and consultation with federally recognized tribes, and the initial development of a range of management alternatives. Subsequent to that process, the NPS determined the focus of the overall planning effort should address only specific areas within the Park that have the greatest need for management guidance. These areas are the Lookout Mountain National Battlefield and Moccasin Bend National Archeological District, both of which include new lands added to the Park since the last GMP was completed and could benefit from updated planning guidance particularly related to resource protection and visitor use. The NPS determined that the current planning process should continue immediately for the Lookout Mountain Battlefield unit followed by later planning at Moccasin Bend to better phase the work between the two units. The results of these efforts will amend the 1987 GMP related to management of both units. A separate planning process for the Moccasin Bend National Archeological District will be initiated at a later date.

The NPS has determined that an EA rather than an EIS is the appropriate level of documentation for the Lookout Mountain Battlefield GMP amendment. The EA for the GMP amendment for Lookout Mountain Battlefield unit will consider three alternatives and their related impacts. The no-action alternative would continue the present management direction as guided by the 1987 GMP. Two action alternatives would focus primarily on improving the visitor experience, increasing operational efficiency and providing access to the new lands. Preliminary analysis of the alternatives shows there is no potential for significant impacts to park resources and values and no concerns or issues were expressed during the public scoping process for the GMP that have the potential for highly controversial impacts. In February 2013, the NPS issued a newsletter that described these alternatives. The NPS also held an open house on February 28, 2013, to discuss the alternatives with the public. Many comments were received on the alternatives. While the NPS may modify the alternatives based on the comments received, none of the comments or any potential changes to the alternatives would result in anticipated significant impacts to natural or cultural resources in the Park or to visitor experience. For these reasons, the NPS determined the proposal would not constitute a major federal action requiring an EIS.

The responsible official for this Draft EIS is the Regional Director, NPS Southeast Region, 100 Alabama Street SW., 1924 Building, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

Dated: April 30, 2014.

### Sarah Craighead,

Acting Regional Director, Southeast Region. [FR Doc. 2014–10793 Filed 5–9–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–JD–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

## **National Park Service**

[NPS-SERO-BISO-15482; PPSESEROC3, PPMPSAS1Y.YP0000]

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for Contaminated Mine Drainage and Treatment Systems in the Big South Fork National River and Recreation Area, Kentucky and Tennessee

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the NPS will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Contaminated Mine Drainage and Treatment Systems in the Big South Fork National River and Recreation Area. This notice initiates the pubic scoping process for this EIS.

DATES: The date, time, and location of public meetings will be announced through the NPS Planning, Environment, and Public Comment (PEPC) Web site http:// parkplanning.nps.gov/biso, the Big South Fork National River and Recreation Area Web site, and in local media outlets. The NPS will conduct public meetings in the local area to receive input from interested parties on issues, concerns, and suggestions pertinent to coal mine drainage mitigation and treatment. The comment period will be announced at the meetings and will be published on the following Web site http:// parkplanning.nps.gov/biso.

ADDRESSES: Interested individuals, organizations, and agencies are encouraged to provide written comments regarding the scope of issues to be addressed in the EIS. Written comments may be sent to: Niki Stephanie Nicholas, Superintendent, Big South Fork National River and Recreation Area, 4564 Leatherwood Road, Oneida, TN 37841.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom Blount, Chief of Natural Resources, Big South Fork National River and Recreation Area, 4564 Leatherwood Road, Oneida, TN, 423–569–9778.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Big South Fork National River and Recreation Area is within the Cumberland Plateau area and straddles the Kentucky and Tennessee state line. This region has been extensively mined for coal since the early 1900s and mining waste materials were typically dumped near the mines. Surface and ground water that comes in contact with mine spoils or that is discharged from the mines is often acidic and has elevated (toxic) concentrations of heavy metals. Contaminated mine drainage pollutes aquatic systems and is considered to be partly responsible for a reduction in biological diversity of lakes and streams in the Big South Fork

The NPS developed mitigation and treatment systems for nine specific sites to a conceptual level during a prior environmental assessment effort. During that effort it was recommended that an EIS be prepared due to the scope and complexity of the project. As a result, the NPS is now preparing a Contaminated Mine Drainage Mitigation and Treatment System EIS. The purpose of this project is to address contaminated mine drainage (CMD) at nine sites within the McCreary County, Kentucky portion of BISO and to create a programmatic approach to considering future treatment options at former mining sites throughout Big South Fork National River and Recreation Area. These actions will address the need to improve water quality in tributaries of the Big South Fork River. As part of this effort, the NPS will consider adjusting or refining the preliminary engineering plans to install mine drainage mitigation and treatment systems at the nine sites that were studied in the earlier environmental assessment. A draft EIS will be prepared and presented to the public for review and comment, followed by preparation and availability of the Final Contaminated Mine Drainage Mitigation and Treatment System EIS.

A scoping brochure will be available summarizing the purpose, need and objectives of the EIS. Copies of that information may be obtained by visiting the NPS public comment and planning Web site at http://

parkplanning.nps.gov/biso, or from the Office of the Superintendent, Niki Stephanie Nicholas, Big South Fork National River and Recreation Area, 4564 Leatherwood Road, Oneida, TN, 423–569–9778.

If you wish to comment during the scoping process, you may use any one

of several methods. The preferred method for submitting comments is on the NPS PEPC Web site at http://parkplanning.nps.gov/biso. You may also mail or hand-deliver your comments to: Big South Fork National River and Recreation Area, Attention: Coal Mine Drainage Treatment and Mitigation EIS, 4564 Leatherwood Road, Oneida, TN 37841

Comments will also be accepted during public meetings; however, comments in any format (hard copy or electronic) submitted on behalf of others will not be accepted. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in any comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

The responsible official for this Coal Mine Drainage Mitigation and Treatment System EIS is the Regional Director, NPS Southeast Region, 100 Alabama Street SW., 1924 Building, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

Dated: April 30, 2014.

## Sarah Craighead,

Acting Regional Director, Southeast Region. [FR Doc. 2014–10792 Filed 5–9–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-JD-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

## **National Park Service**

[NPS-NER-NERI-15235; PPNENERISO/ PPMPSPD1Z.YM00000[REMOVED PRIVATE FIELD]]

# Establishment of a New Fee Area at New River Gorge National River

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice is to comply with section 804 of the Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act of 2004 (Pub. L. 108-447). The act requires agencies to give the public advance notice (6 months) of the establishment of a new recreation fee area. New River Gorge National River in West Virginia plans to collect the following expanded amenity recreation fees at the newly constructed Meadow Creek Campground beginning in late summer of 2014: \$28 per night for an RV site with Electric and Water Hook-ups; \$24 per night for an RV site with Electric Hook-ups but no site-specific water; \$18 per night for a Tent Only site with Electric Hook-ups, but no site-specific water; \$10 per night for a Tent Only site with No Hook-ups. Communal water spigots will be available to all campers, regardless of site type. Revenue will be used to cover the cost of collections at the campground and for deferred maintenance in the park.

**DATES:** Collection of fees will be effective 6 months after publication in the **Federal Register**.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jamie Fields, Planner, New River Gorge National River, P.O. Box 246, Glen Jean, WV 25846–0246; telephone (304) 465– 6527.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: These fees were determined through a comparability study of similar sites in the area at Federal, state, and private recreation areas and will only be charged at Meadow Creek Campground. In accordance with NPS public involvement guidelines, the park engaged numerous individuals, organizations, and local, state, and Federal government representatives while planning for the implementation of this fee.

Dated: April 30, 2014.

#### Lena McDowall,

Associate Director, Business Services. [FR Doc. 2014–10795 Filed 5–9–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-WV-P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request Aency proposal for the collection of information submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review; comment request.

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (P.L. 104–13), the Commission has submitted a proposal for the collection of information to OMB for approval. The proposed information collection is a 3-year extension of the current "generic clearance" (approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control No. 3117-0016) under which the Commission can issue information collections (specifically, producer, importer, purchaser, and foreign producer questionnaires and certain institution notices) for the following types of import injury investigations: Antidumping,