

waters, states are required to establish TMDLs according to a priority ranking.

EPA's Water Quality Planning and Management regulations include requirements related to the implementation of Section 303(d) of the CWA (40 CFR 130.7). The regulations require states to identify water-quality-limited waters still requiring TMDLs every two years. The lists of waters still needing TMDLs must also include priority rankings, identify the pollutants causing the impairment, and must identify the waters targeted for TMDL development during the next two years (40 CFR 130.7).

Consistent with EPA's regulations, Utah submitted to EPA its listing decisions under Section 303(d)(2) in correspondence dated March 31, 2011 and April 21, 2011. On February 10, 2012, EPA partially approved with further review pending for Kanab Creek and tributaries, Utah's 2008 and 2010 listings of waters and associated priority rankings. On April 11, 2012, EPA disapproved Utah's decision to not include Kanab Creek and tributaries, from state line to irrigation diversion at confluence with Reservoir Canyon on the 2008 and 2010 lists. EPA solicits public comment on the addition of these waters to the State's list, as required by 40 CFR 130.7(d)(2).

**Authority:** Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.*

Dated: April 26, 2012.

**Martin Hestmark,**

*Acting Assistant Regional Administrator,  
Office of Ecosystems Protection and  
Remediation.*

[FR Doc. 2012-11428 Filed 5-10-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-9002-9]

### Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

*Responsible Agency:* Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7146 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements  
Filed 04/30/2012 Through 05/04/2012  
Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

#### Notice

Section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act requires that EPA make public its comments on EISs issued by other Federal agencies. EPA's comment letters on EISs are available at: <http://>

[www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/eisdata.html](http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/eisdata.html).

**Supplementary Information:** EPA is seeking agencies to participate in its e-NEPA electronic EIS submission pilot. Participating agencies can fulfill all requirements for EIS filing, eliminating the need to submit paper copies to EPA Headquarters, by filing documents online and providing feedback on the process. To participate in the pilot, register at: <https://cdx.epa.gov>.

*EIS No. 20120136, Final Supplement, APHIS, NY, Bird Hazard Reduction Program, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Updated Information on the Efficacy and Impacts of the Gull Hazard Reduction Program and All Other Bird Hazard Management Activities, Queens County, NY, Review Period Ends: 06/13/2012, Contact: Martin S. Lowney 518-477-4837.*

*EIS No. 20120137, Draft EIS, USFS, 00, Mountain Pine Beetle Response Project, Implementing Multiple Resource Management Activities, Black Hills National Forest, Custer, Fall River, Lawrence, Meade, and Pennington Counties, SD and Crook and Weston Counties, WY, Comment Period Ends: 06/25/2012, Contact: Katie Van Alstyne 605-343-1567.*

*EIS No. 20120138, Draft EIS, USACE, FL, Tarmac King Road Limestone Mine, Construction, Issuance of Permit, Levy County, FL, Comment Period Ends: 07/11/2012, Contact: Edward Sarfert 850-439-9533.*

*EIS No. 20120139, Draft EIS, NPS, GA, Fort Pulaski National Monument General Management Plan and Wilderness Study, Implementation, Chatham County, GA, Comment Period Ends: 07/09/2012, Contact: David Libman 404-507-5701.*

*EIS No. 20120140, Final EIS, USAF, OH, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base (WPAFB) Project, Reconfigure and Relocate Facilities and Base Perimeter Fence Relocation in Area A, Fairborn, OH, Review Period Ends: 06/11/2012, Contact: Estella Holmes 937-522-3522.*

*EIS No. 20120141, Final EIS, USFS, CO, Beaver Creek Mountain Improvements Project, Special Use Permit, White River National Forest, Eagle County, CO, Review Period Ends: 06/11/2012, Contact: Don Dressler 970-827-5157.*

*EIS No. 20120142, Draft EIS, USN, 00, Atlantic Fleet Training and Testing Activities, To Support and Conduct Current, Emerging, and Future Training and Testing Activities along the Eastern Coast of the U.S. and Gulf of Mexico, Comment Period Ends: 06/25/2012, Contact: Jene Nissen 757-836-5221.*

*EIS No. 20120143, Draft EIS, USN, 00, Hawaii-Southern California Training and Testing Activities, To Support and Conduct Current, Emerging, and Future Training and Testing Activities off Southern California and around the Hawaiian Islands, CA, HI, Comment Period Ends: 06/25/2012, Contact: Alex Stone 619-545-8128.*

*EIS No. 20120144, Draft EIS, USAF, CA, F-15 Aircraft Conversion, 144th Fighter Wing, California National Guard, To Convert the Unit from the F-16 Fighting Falcon Aircraft and Operations to the F-15 Eagle Aircraft and Operations at Fresno-Yosemite International Airport, Fresno County, CA, Comment Period Ends: 06/25/2012, Contact: Robert Dogan 240-612-8859.*

*EIS No. 20120145, Draft EIS, BR, CA, Water Transfer Program for the San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors Water Authority, 2014-2038, To Execute Agreements for Water Transfers/or Exchanges, San Joaquin Valley, Fresno, Madera, Merced, and Stanislaus Counties, CA, Comment Period Ends: 07/03/2012, Contact: Bradley Hubbard 916-978-5204.*

Dated: May 8, 2012.

**Cliff Rader,**

*Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. 2012-11467 Filed 5-10-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), the Federal Communications Commission invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s). Comments are requested concerning: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; (d) ways to