

## RESPONDENTS' ESTIMATED ANNUAL BURDEN HOURS—Continued

Citation 30 CFR 1220	Reporting and recordkeeping requirement	Hour burden	Number of annual responses	Annual burden hours
<b>§ 1220.033 Audits</b>				
1220.033(b)(1) .....	(b)(1) When nonoperators of an NPSL lease call an audit in accordance with the terms of their operating agreement, the Director shall be notified of the audit call..	2	6	12
1220.033(b)(2) .....	(b)(2) If DOI determines to call for an audit, DOI shall notify the lessee of its audit call and set a time and place for the audit . . . The lessee shall send copies of the notice to the non-operators on the lease..	2	6	12
1220.033(e) .....	(e) Records required to be kept under § 1220.030(a) shall be made available for inspection by any authorized agent of DOI..	The Office of Regulatory Affairs determined that the audit process is exempt from the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 because MMS staff asks non-standard questions to resolve exceptions.		
Total Burden .....	.....	.....	110	1,046

*Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping “Non-hour” Cost Burden:* We have identified no “non-Hour cost” burdens.

*Public Disclosure Statement:* The PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) provides that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

*Comments:* Before submitting an ICR to OMB, PRA Section 3506(c)(2)(A) requires each agency to “\* \* \* provide 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** \* \* \* and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information \* \* \*.” Agencies must specifically solicit comments to: (a) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the agency to perform its duties, including whether the information is useful; (b) evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) minimize the burden on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

The PRA also requires agencies to estimate the total annual reporting “non-hour cost” burden to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the collection of information. If you have costs to generate, maintain, and disclose this information, you should comment and provide your total capital and startup cost components or annual operation, maintenance, and purchase of service components. You should describe the methods you use to

estimate major cost factors, including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, discount rate(s), and the period over which you incur costs. Capital and startup costs include, among other items, computers and software you purchase to prepare for collecting information; monitoring, sampling, and testing equipment; and record storage facilities. Generally, your estimates should not include equipment or services purchased: (i) Before October 1, 1995; (ii) to comply with requirements not associated with the information collection; (iii) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the Government; or (iv) as part of customary and usual business or private practices.

We will summarize written responses to this notice and address them in our ICR submission for OMB approval, including appropriate adjustments to the estimated burden. We will provide a copy of the ICR to you without charge upon request. We also will post the ICR at [http://www.onrr.gov/Laws\\_R\\_D/FRNotices/FRInfColl.htm](http://www.onrr.gov/Laws_R_D/FRNotices/FRInfColl.htm).

*Public Comment Policy:* We will post all comments, including names and addresses of respondents, at <http://regulations.gov>. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, be advised that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold from public view your personal identifying information, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

*ONRR Information Collection Clearance Officer:* Rachel Drucker (202) 208–3568.

Dated: March 22, 2011.

**Gregory J. Gould,**  
*Director for Office of Natural Resources Revenue.*

[FR Doc. 2011–7140 Filed 3–24–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

#### Central Valley Project Improvement Act, Standard Criteria for Ag and Urban Water Management Plans

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The “Standard Criteria for Agricultural and Urban Water Management Plans” (Criteria) are now available for public comment. To meet the requirements of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act of 1992 (CVPIA) and the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982 (RRA), the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) developed and published the Criteria. The Criteria apply to any Water Management Plans (Plans) submitted to Reclamation as required by applicable Central Valley Project (CVP) water service contracts, settlement contracts, or any contracts that specifically invokes the Criteria. Note: For the purpose of this announcement, Water Management Plans are considered the same as Water Conservation Plans.

**DATES:** Submit written comments by April 25, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Please mail comments to Ms. Melissa Crandell, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, MP-410, Sacramento, California 95825, 916-978-5208, or e-mail at [mcrandell@usbr.gov](mailto:mcrandell@usbr.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To be placed on a mailing list for any subsequent information or to obtain a copy of any water management plans, please contact Ms. Crandell at the e-mail address or telephone number above.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3405(e) of the CVPIA (Title 34 Pub. L. 102-575), requires the Secretary of the Interior to establish and administer an office on Central Valley Project water conservation best management practices (BMPs) that shall develop Criteria for evaluating the adequacy of all Plans developed by project contractors, including those Plans required by section 210 of the RRA. In addition, according to section 3405(e)(1), the Criteria must be developed “\* \* \* with the purpose of promoting the highest level of water use efficiency reasonably achievable by project contractors using best available cost-effective technology and best management practices.” The Criteria states that all parties (Contractors) that contract with Reclamation for water supplies (except any contractor who receives less than a five-year average of 2,000 acre-feet per year (AFY) of only municipal and industrial (urban) water, any contractor who receives any combination of irrigation and/or urban water amounting to less than a current five-year average of 2,000 AFY, and agricultural contracts under a current five-year average of 2,000 irrigable acres) must prepare Plans that contain the following information:

1. Description of the District
2. Inventory of Water Resources
3. BMPs for Agricultural Contractors
4. BMPs for Urban Contractors
5. Plan Implementation

Reclamation will evaluate Plans based on the Criteria. The CVPIA requires Reclamation to evaluate, and revise if necessary, the Criteria every 3 years. The Criteria were last updated in 2008 and the proposed 2011 update is currently under review. Public scoping meetings to solicit comments on revision of the Criteria were held in January and February 2011. Comments will be incorporated into the finalized document. A copy can be found at the following Web site: [http://www.mp.usbr.gov/watershare/news/2011\\_Standard\\_Criteria.pdf](http://www.mp.usbr.gov/watershare/news/2011_Standard_Criteria.pdf). A copy can also be obtained by contacting persons at the address above.

**Public Disclosure:** Before including your name, address, phone number,

e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: March 18, 2011.

**Richard J. Woodley,**

*Regional Resources Manager, Mid-Pacific Region, Bureau of Reclamation.*

[FR Doc. 2011-7078 Filed 3-24-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Connected Media Experience, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on February 8, 2011, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Connected Media Experience, Inc. (“CMX”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Robin Berjon, Paris, France, has been added as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and CMX intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On March 12, 2010, CMX filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on April 16, 2010 (75 FR 20003).

The last notification was filed with the Department on November 1, 2010. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the

Act on December 17, 2010 (75 FR 79024).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2011-6922 Filed 3-24-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Consortium for Energy, Environment and Demilitarization

Notice is hereby given that, on February 14, 2011, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Consortium for Energy, Environment and Demilitarization (“CEED”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the Parties are: Auburn University, Auburn, AL; Camgian Microsystems Corporation, Starkville, MS; Capital Technology Group, Washington, DC; Cheming North America, Chester Township, PA; Consortium for Education, Research and Technology of North Louisiana (CERT), Shreveport, LA; DKJ Technologies, Dayton, OH; E2 Project Management LLC, Rockaway, NJ; El Dorado Engineering Inc., Salt Lake City, UT; Engineering and Management Executives Inc. (EME), Alexandria, VA; Erigo Technologies, LLC, Enfield, NH; EXPLO Systems, Inc., Minden, LA; General Atomics, San Diego, CA; Gradient Technology, Elk River, MN; Group 4 Labs, Fremont, CA; HBM nCode Federal LLC, Starkville, MS; Hoboken Brownstone Company, Hoboken, NJ; IPS Custom Automation, Grand Prairie, TX; Humanistic Robotics, Inc., Bristol, PA; Malocom Pirmie, Inc., Baltimore, MD; Mississippi State University, Starkville, MS; MSE Technology Applications, Butte, MT; National Center for Defense Manufacturing and Machining, Latrobe, PA; Primis Technologies LLC, Washington, DC; Real New Energy, Alexandria, VA; Stella Group, LTD, Washington, DC; Technical Consultants,