impacts of this project are anticipated to be those associated with the normal launch of both missions. Potential consequences of accident situations will also be addressed.

Written public input and comments on environmental impacts and concerns associated with the Mars Exploration Rover-2003 project are requested.

## Jeffrey E. Sutton,

Associate Administrator for Management Systems

[FR Doc. 01-4363 Filed 2-21-01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510-01-P

#### NATIONAL COUNCIL ON DISABILITY

#### Advisory Committee Meetings/ **Conference Calls**

AGENCY: National Council on Disability (NCD).

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the schedule of the forthcoming meetings/ conference calls for NCD's advisory committee—International Watch. Notice of this meeting is required under section 10(a)(1)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92-463).

#### **International Watch**

The purpose of NCD's International Watch is to share information on international disability issues and to advise NCD's Foreign Policy Team on developing policy proposals that will advocate for a foreign policy that is consistent with the values and goals of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Work Group: International Convention on the Human Rights of People with Disabilities.

Date and Time: March 8, 2001, 11 a.m.–12 p.m. EST.

Work Group: Inclusion of People with Disabilities in Foreign Assistance Programs.

*Date and Time:* March 14, 2001, 12 p.m.-1 p.m. EST.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen A. Blank, Attorney/Program Specialist, NCD, 1331 F Street NW, Suite 1050, Washington, DC 20004; 202-272-2004 (Voice), 202-272-2074 (TTY), 202-272-2022 (Fax), kblank@ncd.gov (e-mail).

#### Agency Mission

NCD is an independent federal agency composed of 15 members appointed by the President of the United States and confirmed by the U.S. Senate. Its overall purpose is to promote policies, programs, practices, and procedures that guarantee equal opportunity for all people with disabilities, regardless of the nature of severity of the disability;

and to empower people with disabilities to achieve economic self-sufficiency, independent living, and inclusion and integration into all aspects of society.

This committee is necessary to provide advice and recommendations to NCD on international disability issues.

We currently have balanced membership representing a variety of disabling conditions from across the United States.

#### **Open Meetings/Conference Calls**

These advisory committee meetings/ conference calls of NCD will be open to the public. However, due to fiscal constraints and staff limitations, a limited number of additional lines will be available. Individuals can also participate in the conference calls at the NCD office. Those interested in joining these conference calls should contact the appropriate staff member listed above.

Records will be kept of all International Watch meetings/ conference calls and will be available after the meeting for public inspection at NCD.

Signed in Washington, DC, on February 15, 2001.

# Ethel D. Briggs,

Executive Director. [FR Doc. 01-4357 Filed 2-21-01: 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6820-MA-M

#### NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION

## **Paperwork Reduction Act**

**AGENCY:** National Indian Gaming Commission. ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The National Indian Gaming Commission (NIGC), in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, is submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and extend approval for the following information collection activities: (1) Compliance and Enforcement under the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (IGRA); (2) approval of Class II and Class III Gaming Ordinances; and (3) National Environmental Policy Act Procedures. The NIGC is also submitting a request for reinstatement of the approval for collection of information related to its review and approval of management contracts for the operation of tribal gaming facilities. OMB previously approved this information collection requirement but the approval has expired. The OMB will consider comments from the public on these information collection activities.

Dates and Addresses: Comments for the NIGC's evaluation of the information collection activities and its request to OMB to extend or approve the information collections must be received by March 31, 2001. When providing comment, a respondent should specify the particular collection activity to which the comment pertains. Send comments to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (Attn: Desk Officer for the National Indian Gaming Commission), Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503. The NIGC regulations to which the information collections pertain are available on the NIGC website, www.nigc.gov. A copy of the NEPA procedures for the NIGC are available on request by providing a mailing address to the point of contact for questions and comments listed on the website. Both the regulations and the NEPA procedures are also available by written request to the NIGC (Attn: Ms. Juanita Mendoza), 1441 L Street NW., Suite 9100, Washington, DC, 20005, or by telephone request at (202) 632-7003. This is not a toll-free number. All other requests for information should be submitted to Ms. Mendoza at the above address for the NIGC.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Compliance and Enforcement under the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act.

#### OMB Number: 3141-0001.

Abstract: The Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (25 U.S.C. 2701 et seq.) [IGRA] governs the regulation of gaming on Indian lands. Although the IGRA places primary responsibility with the tribes for regulating gaming, section 2706 (b) of the Act directs the NIGC to monitor gaming conducted on Indian lands on a continuing basis. The IGRA authorizes the NIGC to access and inspect all papers, books and records relating to gaming conducted on Indian lands. In accordance with this statutory responsibility, 25 CFR 571.7 requires Indian gaming operations to keep permanent financial records. 25 CFR 571.12 and 571.13 require, respectively, an annual independent audit of a tribe's gaming operations and submission of this audit to the NIGC. The NIGC uses this information to fulfill its statutory responsibility to monitor Indian gaming. Additionally, section 2713(a) of the IGRA authorizes the Chairman to issue civil fine assessments and closure orders for violations of the Act or the Commission's regulations. This authority is implemented through 25 CFR part 575. The full Commission

reviews these matters on appeal under 25 CFR part 577.

*Estimated Burden:* No additional burden is imposed by the requirements to maintain customary business records and to allow NIGC personnel access to those records. The preparation and submission of an annual audit are accomplished on a fixed fee basis. The response to enforcement actions would vary, but 164 hours would represent an average if a respondent utilized all appeal mechanisms.

*Respondents:* Indian tribes conducting gaming operations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 220.

Estimated Annual Responses: 951. Estimated Total Annual Hours Burden: 2.194.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost Burden:* \$1,779,880.

*Title:* Approval of Class II and Class III Ordinances, Background Investigations and Gaming Licenses under the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act.

OMB Number: 3141-000-3.

Abstract: The IGRA establishes the National Indian Gaming Commission as an independent regulatory agency to oversee Indian gaming. The Act sets standards for the regulation of gaming including requirements for approval or disapproval of tribal gaming ordinances. IGRA section 2705(a)(3) requires the Chairman to review all class II and class III tribal gaming ordinances. In accordance with this provision, 25 CFR 552.2 of the NIGC's regulations requires tribes to submit to the NIGC: (1) A copy of the gaming ordinance to be approved, a copy of the authorizing resolution by which it was enacted by the tribal government, a request for approval of the ordinance or resolution; (2) a description of procedures the tribe will employ in conducting background investigations on key employees or primary management officials; (3) a description of procedures the tribe will use to issue licenses to primary management officials and key employees; (4) copies of all gaming regulations; (5) a copy of any applicable tribal-state compact; (6) a description of dispute resolution procedures for disputes arising between the gaming public and the tribe or management contractor; (7) identification of the law enforcement agent that will take fingerprints and a description of the procedures for conducting criminal history checks; and (8) designation of an agent for service of process. Under 25 CFR 522.3, tribes must submit any amendment to the ordinance or resolution for approval by the Chairman. In this instance, the tribe

must provide a copy of the authorizing resolution. The NIGC will use the information collected to approve or disapprove the ordinance or amendment. Section 2710 of the IGRA requires tribes to conduct background investigations on key employees and primary management officials involved in class II and class III gaming. 25 CFR parts 556 and 558 require tribes to perform each investigation using information such as name, address, previous employment records, previous relationships with either Indian tribes or the gaming industry, and licensing relating to those relationships, any convictions and any other information a tribe feels is relevant to the employment of the individuals being investigated. Tribes are then required to submit to the NIGC a copy of the completed employment applications and investigative reports and licensing eligibility determinations on key employees or primary management officials before issuing gaming licenses to those persons. The NIGC will use this information in conducting its review of the suitability determinations and will advise the tribe if it disagrees with any particular determination.

*Estimated Burden:* The reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to be 80 hours per response for approval of an initial gaming ordinance, 5 hours per response for an amendment, and 400 hours annually, on the average, for each tribe for submission of matters related to background information and licensing.

*Respondents:* Indian tribes conducting gaming operations.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 220.

Estimated Annual Responses: 941. Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 89,590 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost Burden: \$2,758,400.

*Title:* National Environmental Policy Act Procedures.

*OMB Number:* 3141–006.

Abstract: The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) was enacted to encourage a national policy of protecting, enhancing, and restoring the quality of the human environment. The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ), established pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), promulgated implementing regulations at 40 CFR 1501 et seq. NEPA and CEQ's regulations require every Federal agency to establish procedures and strategies that consider the environmental consequences of Federal agency actions. Under NEPA, Federal agencies are required to prepare or cause to be

prepared environmental documents relating to actions by the agency that may have significant impact on the environment. The NEPA process will be triggered when a tribe and management contractor seek approval of a management contract under 25 CFR 533 which involves the construction of or significant modification to a gaming facility. NIGC procedures discuss the submission of an environmental assessment for consideration incident to that approval process. NIGC will use the environmental assessment in determining whether there is significant impact on the environment as a result of the construction or significant facility modification and may require mitigations described in the assessment to minimize any impact.

*Respondents:* Indian tribes seeking approval of a management contract for tribal gaming operations and/or a management contactor.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 50.

Estimated Annual Responses: 15. Estimated Burden Hours Per

Response: 1,300.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 19,500.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Cost:* \$1,755,000.

*Title:* Approval of Management Contracts.

OMB Number: 3141-0004 (expired). Abstract: Under sections 2710(e) and 2711 of the IGRA, subject to the approval of the NIGC Chairman, an Indian tribe may enter into a management contract for the operation and management of a tribal gaming activity. In approving a management contract, by the terms of the statute, the Chairman shall require and obtain the name, address, and other pertinent background information on each person or entity having a direct financial interest in, or management responsibility for such contract, and in the case of a corporation those individuals who serve on the board of directors of such corporation and each of its stockholders who hold 10 percent or more of its shares; a description of previous experience that each person has had with other Indian gaming contracts or with the gaming industry including any gaming licenses which the person holds; and a complete financial statement of each person listed. Under 25 CFR part 533, the Chairman requires the submission of the contract with original signatures, any collateral agreements to the contract, a tribal ordinance or resolution authorizing the submission and supporting documentation, a three-year business plan which sets forth the

parties' goals, objectives, budgets, financial plans, and related matters and income statements and sources and use of funds statements for the previous three years, and, for any contract exceeding five years or which includes a management fee of more than 30 percent, justification that the capital investment required and income projections for the gaming operation require the longer duration or the additional fee. Under 25 CFR part 535, the Chairman may approve a modification to a management contract or an assignment of that management contract based on information similar to that required under part 533. The part also specifies that the Chairman may void a previous management contract approval and allows the parties the opportunity to submit information relevant to that determination. 25 CFR part 537 specifies the requirements for submission of background information in amplification of the statutory requirement for obtaining information on persons and entities having a direct financial interest in or management responsibility for a management contract. Finally, 25 CFR part 539 permits appeals to the Commission from a decision of the Chairman to disapprove a management contract and allows the Indian tribe and the management company an opportunity to provide information relevant to that appeal. The NIGC will use the information collected to either approve or disapprove the contract or, in the case of an appeal, to grant or deny the appeal.

*Estimated Burden:* The reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to be 80 hours per response for approval of a new management contract, 40 hours for approval of a management contract amendment, and 40 hours per response for an individual financial background investigation.

*Respondents:* Indian tribes conducting gaming and management contractors for tribal gaming operations.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 50.

Estimated Annual Responses: 228.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 9,720.

Estimated Total Annual Cost Burden: \$817,000.

# Richard B. Schiff,

Acting Chief of Staff, National Indian Gaming Commission.

[FR Doc. 01–4397 Filed 2–21–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7565–01–P

# NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION

#### **Sunshine Act Meeting**

# **Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors**

**TIME AND DATE:** 2 p.m., Monday, February 26, 2001.

**PLACE:** Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation, 1325 G Street, NW, Suite 800, Board Room, Washington, DC 20005.

# STATUS: Open.

#### CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Jeffrey T. Bryson, General Counsel/ Secretary, 202–220–2372 or

# jbryson@nw.org.

**AGENDA:** I. Call to Order

- II. Approval of Minutes: November 20, 20, 2000, Regular Meeting
- III. Audit Committee Report: January 9, 2001, Meeting
- IV. Budget Committee Report: January 25, 2001, Meeting
- V. Resolutions of Appreciation
- VI. Treasurer's Report
- VII. Executive Director's Management Report
- VIII. Adjournment

#### Jeffrey T. Bryson,

General Counsel/Secretary. [FR Doc. 01–4554 Filed 2–20–01; 3:03 pm] BILLING CODE 7570–01–M

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. The title of the information collection: NRC Form 314—Certificate of Disposition of Materials.

2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150–0028.

3. *How often the collection is required:* The form is submitted once, when a licensee terminates its license.

4. Who is required or asked to report: Persons holding an NRC license for the possession and use of radioactive byproduct, source, or special nuclear material who are ceasing licensed activities and terminating the license.

5. *The number of annual respondents:* 400.

6. The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: An average of 0.5 hours per response, for a total of 200 hours.

7. *Abstract:* NRC Form 314 furnishes information to NRC regarding transfer or other disposition of radioactive material by licensees who wish to terminate their licenses. The information is used by NRC as part of the basis for its determination that the facility has been cleared of radioactive material before the facility is released for unrestricted use.

Submit, by April 23, 2001, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O–1 F23, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site (*http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/PUBLIC/ OMB/index.html*). The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, T–6 E 6, Washington, DC 20555–0001, by telephone at (301) 415–7233, or by Internet electronic mail at BJS1@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 14th day of February, 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

### Brenda Jo. Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 01–4371 Filed 2–21–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P