

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training
Administration****[NAFTA-5983]****Freightliner LLC, Cleveland
Manufacturing Plant, Cleveland, NC;
Notice of Negative Determination
Regarding Application for
Reconsideration**

By application dated May 8, 2002, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for North American Free Trade Agreement-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA-TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on April 3, 2002, and was published in the **Federal Register** on April 17, 2002 (67 FR 18924).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The denial of NAFTA-TAA for workers engaged in activities related to the production of Class 8 heavy-duty trucks at Freightliner LLC, Cleveland Truck Manufacturing Plant, Cleveland, North Carolina was based on the finding that criteria (3) and (4) of the group eligibility requirements of paragraph (a)(1) of Section 250 of the Trade Act, as amended, were not met. There were no increased company imports of Class 8 heavy-duty trucks from Mexico or Canada, nor did the subject firm shift production from Cleveland, North Carolina to Mexico or Canada. The survey conducted by the Department of Labor revealed that customer purchases of Class 8 heavy-duty trucks from Canada or Mexico were negligible during the relevant period.

The petitioner appears to be alleging that the layoffs that occurred from July 2000 through October 2000 were the result of shifts in production to Mexico by their parent, Freightliner LLC, Portland, Oregon producing trucks and parts and a sister plant Freightliner LLC Truck Manufacturing, Mt. Holly, North Carolina producing parts used at the

Cleveland plant, caused a decrease in production at the subject plant.

The subject plant layoffs that occurred during July 2000 through October 2000 period are beyond the relevant period. In accordance with Section 250 of the Act, no certification may apply to any worker whose last total or partial separation from the subject firm occurred one year prior to the date of the petition. The layoffs were more than one year prior to the date of the petition (March 12, 2002). Therefore, the declines in plant production and employment during the July 2000 through October 2000 period are not relevant.

The petitioner also indicates that a meaningful portion of the subject plants' production declines were caused by an indirect effect of an increasing number of companies either closing throughout the United States or shifting their production to Mexico, thus reducing the demand for Freightliner Class 8 Trucks and causing the layoffs at the subject firm.

Customers shifting their production to Mexico or going out of business are not relevant factors in meeting the eligibility requirement of section 250 of the Trade Act.

The petitioner further states that they should be certified for NAFTA-TAA since the Portland and Mt. Holly facilities were certified eligible for NAFTA-TAA.

A review of the two NAFTA-TAA certifications pertaining to the Portland (NAFTA-4636) and Mt. Holly (NAFTA-4550) plants were based on some products produced by those two plants being shifted to Mexico. In the case of the subject plant, there was no shift in plant production to either Canada or Mexico during the relevant period. To meet the eligibility requirement (criterion 4) of a shift in subject plant production to Canada or Mexico, there must be a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles "like or directly competitive" with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

The Department of Labor conducted an investigation for the relevant period. The investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met as depicted in the initial decision.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 14th day of June, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,
Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-18422 Filed 7-19-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training
Administration****[NAFTA-006260]****GretagMacbeth, New Windsor, NY;
Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance, hereinafter called NAFTA-TAA and in accordance with Section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2331), an investigation was initiated on April 18, 2002, in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at GretagMacbeth, New Windsor, New York. Workers were engaged in the production of color quality and control instruments.

During the investigation it was discovered that the petition was a duplicate of the petition filed on April 18, 2002 that resulted in a negative determination (NAFTA-006109). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 9th day of July, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-18427 Filed 7-19-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training
Administration****[NAFTA-5998]****Ibiden Graphite Of America
Corporation, Portland, Oregon; Notice
of Negative Determination Regarding
Application for Reconsideration**

By application postmarked May 29, 2002, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for North American Free Trade Agreement-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA-TAA), applicable to workers

and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on May 3, 2002, and was published in the **Federal Register** on May 17, 2002 (67 FR 35142).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The denial of NAFTA-TAA for workers engaged in activities related to the production of carbon graphite machined products and raw graphite materials at Ividen Graphite of America Corporation, Portland, Oregon was based on the finding that criteria (3) and (4) of the group eligibility requirements of paragraph (a)(1) of Section 250 of the Trade Act, as amended, were not met. There were no company imports of carbon graphite machined products and raw graphite materials from Mexico or Canada, nor did the subject firm shift production from Portland, Oregon to Mexico or Canada. The survey conducted by the Department of Labor revealed no imports of carbon graphite machined products and raw graphite materials from Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

The petitioner appears to be alleging that the production performed by the subject firm is now being sent to a facility located in Boston, Massachusetts, who then send most of that work to Japan.

The alleged shifts in production to a foreign source other than Canada or Mexico or the imports from a foreign source other than Canada or Mexico are not relevant factors in meeting the eligibility requirements for NAFTA-TAA under Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Of note, on June 28, 2002 the workers were certified eligible to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance under TA-W-41,424.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 12th day of July 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-18426 Filed 7-19-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-6286]

Joy Mining Machinery, Mt. Vernon, IL; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance, hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA), and in accordance with Section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273), an investigation was initiated on June 12, 2002, in response to a petition filed by the International Brotherhood of Boilermakers, Iron Ship Builders, Blacksmiths, Forgers and Helpers, Local 483, on behalf of workers at Joy Mining Machinery, Mt. Vernon, Illinois (NAFTA-6286).

The date of the petition is June 3, 2002, however, the employees in question were terminated from employment November 24, 2000. Thus, all workers were separated from the subject firm more than one year prior to the date of the petition. Section 223(b) of the Act specifies that no certification may apply to any worker whose last separation occurred more than one year prior to the date of the petition.

Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 10th day of July, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-18428 Filed 7-19-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-6322]

Whisper Jet, Inc., Sanford, FL; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub.L. 103-182)

concerning transitional adjustment assistance, hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA), and in accordance with Section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273), an investigation was initiated on June 20, 2002, in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at Whisper Jet, Inc., Sanford, Florida.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 12th day of July, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-18429 Filed 7-19-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Sunshine Act; Notice of Meeting

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m. Thursday, July 25, 2002.

PLACE: Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314-3428.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Quarterly Insurance Fund Report.
2. Reprogramming of NCUA's Operating Budget for 2002.
3. Request from a Federal Credit Union to Convert to a Community Charter.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Becky Baker, Secretary of the Board, Telephone: 703-518-6304.

Sheila Albin,

Acting Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 02-18568 Filed 7-18-02; 1:13 pm]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-282 and 50-306]

Nuclear Management Company, LLC; Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-42 and DPR-60, issued to Nuclear Management Company, LLC (the licensee) for