

respondent with standing to participate in subsequent administrative review or judicial review.

Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21.

Dated: September 20, 2010.

Jeffrey DeFreest,
District Ranger.

[FR Doc. 2010-24179 Filed 9-24-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Modoc County Resource Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Modoc County Resource Advisory Committee will meet in Alturas, CA. The committee is meeting as authorized under the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act (Pub. L. 110-343) and in compliance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The purpose of the meeting is to review Resource Advisory Committee Project Applications.

DATES: The meeting will be held October 4, 2010, 4 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at Modoc National Forest Office, Conference Room, 800 West 12th St., Alturas.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kimberly H. Anderson, Forest Supervisor and Designated Federal Officer, at (530) 233-8700; or Resource Advisory Coordinator, Stephen Riley at (530) 233-8771.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The business meeting on October 4, 2010 will begin at 4 p.m., at the Modoc National Forest Office, Conference Room, 800 West 12th St., Alturas, California 96101. Agenda topics will include recruiting new project proposals that meet the intent of Public Law 110-343. Time will also be set aside for public comments at the beginning of the meeting.

Dated: September 20, 2010.

Kimberly H. Anderson,
Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 2010-24177 Filed 9-24-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-890]

Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Results of Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

DATES: Effective Date: September 27, 2010.

SUMMARY: On September 17, 2010, the United States Court of International Trade ("Court" or "CIT") sustained the U.S. Department of Commerce's ("Department") final results of redetermination pursuant to the Court's remand.¹ Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ("CAFC") in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) ("*Timken*"), the Department is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the Department's final results of the administrative review ("AR") of the antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture ("WBF") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") covering the period of review ("POR") of January 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007.²

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Frances Veith, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street, and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4295.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 17, 2009, the Department published its *Final Results*. In response to Bon Ten's arguments in its administrative case

brief, the Department determined not to rescind the AR with respect to Bon Ten because Bon Ten had not demonstrated that it had no shipments during the 2007 AR POR outside of the single shipment reviewed during a new shipper review ("NSR")³ that overlapped, in part, with the 2007 AR POR.⁴ Additionally, because Bon Ten had not demonstrated its eligibility for a separate rate in the 2007 AR, the Department maintained its determination to treat Bon Ten as part of the PRC-wide entity.⁵

On August 14, 2009, Bon Ten submitted comments alleging that the Department made a ministerial error with respect to the *Final Results*. Bon Ten's ministerial error allegation focused on the Department's finding in the *Final Results* that Bon Ten had not provided any assertion prior to the submission of its case brief that it had no shipments during the 2007 AR POR outside of the shipment reviewed in the context of the NSR. Bon Ten argued that the Department did not consider its February 5, 2009, submission concerning its shipments during the 2007 AR POR in that finding.

In the *Amended Final Results*, the Department determined that, although it had inadvertently overlooked Bon Ten's February 5, 2009, submission for purposes of the *Final Results*, Bon Ten's allegation did not reflect a ministerial error. The Department reasoned that Bon Ten's allegation required reconsideration of a methodological issue, namely whether the review should be rescinded with respect to Bon Ten based upon its February 5, 2009, submission. Accordingly, the Department continued to treat Bon Ten as part of the PRC-wide entity for the AR in the *Amended Final Results*. However, the Department clarified that Bon Ten lost the separate rate status it was granted during the NSR starting on August 1, 2007, which is the first day of the administrative review that did not overlap with Bon Ten's NSR POR (*i.e.*, January 1, 2007, through July 31, 2007).⁶

On October 16, 2009, Bon Ten filed a complaint with the Court challenging the Department's determination not to rescind the AR with respect to Bon Ten

¹ See *Dongguan Bon Ten Furniture Co., Ltd. v. United States*, Court No. 09-00396: *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant To Remand*, dated August 9, 2010 ("*Bon Ten v. United States*").

² See *Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Reviews*, 74 FR 41374 (August 17, 2009) ("*Final Results*"), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, as amended by *Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Reviews*, 74 FR 55810 (October 29, 2009) ("*Amended Final Results*").

³ See *Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Fourth New Shipper Reviews*, 73 FR 64916 (October 31, 2008) ("*NSR Final Results*").

⁴ See *Final Results* at Comment 29.

⁵ See *id.*

⁶ See *Amended Final Results* and the Department's memorandum entitled, "Ministerial Error Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2007 Administrative and New Shipper Reviews of Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China," dated October 7, 2009, at Issue 4.