of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2273, I make the following certification:

All workers of TRG Insurance Solutions, Beckley, West Virginia, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after May 7, 2009, through two years from the date of this revised certification, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 6th day of October, 2010.

#### Del Min Amy Chen,

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.* 

[FR Doc. 2010–26773 Filed 10–22–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

## Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-71,608]

#### Xilinx, Inc., Including On-Site Leased Workers of TEKsystems, Albuquerque, NM; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

On January 25, 2010, the Department issued a Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration applicable to workers and former workers of Xilinx, Inc., Albuquerque, New Mexico (the subject firm). The Department's Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 16, 2010 (75 FR 7031). The workers are engaged in employment related to the supply of internally used engineering services.

In the request for reconsideration, workers alleged that the subject firm has shifted abroad the supply of services like and directly competitive with the internal-use engineering services supplied by the Albuquerque, New Mexico facility and provided documentation in support of the allegation. The new documentation included a February 29, 2008, advertisement for a product engineer/ senior product engineer for one offshore location of Xilinx. Inc.: and a job advertisement dated May 19, 2009, for integrated circuit test engineers and test equipment engineers for a Product and Test Engineering Department of a foreign Xilinx facility.

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department carefully reviewed the new information and previously submitted information, and sought clarification from the subject firm. Based on the information obtained during the reconsideration investigation, the Department determines that a significant proportion or number of workers at the subject firm was totally or partially separated, or threatened with such separation; that the subject firm shifted to a foreign country the supply of services like or directly competitive with the engineering services supplied by workers at the subject firm; and that the subject worker group includes on-site leased workers of TEKsystems.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I determine that workers of Xilinx, Inc., including on-site leased workers of TEKsystems, Albuquerque, New Mexico, who are engaged in employment related to the supply of internal-use engineering services, meet the worker group certification criteria under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a). In accordance with Section 223 of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2273, I make the following certification:

All workers of Xilinx, Inc., including onsite leased workers of TEKsystems, Albuquerque, New Mexico, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after July 7, 2008, through two years from the date of this certification, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 7th day of October 2010.

#### Del Min Amy Chen,

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.* 

[FR Doc. 2010–26771 Filed 10–22–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

## Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-71,032]

### AGY Holding Corporation, Huntingdon, PA; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By application dated June 1, 2010 a union official requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding the eligibility of workers and former workers of AGY Holding Corporation, Huntingdon, Pennsylvania, to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). On June 21, 2010, the Department issued a Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration. The Department's Notice of affirmative determination was published in the **Federal Register** on July 1, 2010, 2010 (75 FR 38126).

Workers at the subject firm are engaged in employment related to the production of fine yarns and specialty glass yarns. The worker group does not include on-site leased workers.

Based on the information obtained during the reconsideration investigation, the Department determines that the subject firm shifted abroad a meaningful proportion of production of articles like or directly competitive with the fine yarns and/or specialty glass yarns produced by the workers.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained during the reconsideration investigation, I determine that workers of AGY Holding Corporation, Huntingdon, Pennsylvania, who are engaged in employment related to the production of fine yarns and specialty glass yarns, meet the worker group certification criteria under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a). In accordance with Section 223 of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2273, I make the following certification:

All workers of AGY Holding Corporation, Huntingdon, Pennsylvania, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after June 4, 2008, through two years from the date of this revised certification, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 7th day of October, 2010.

#### Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2010–26770 Filed 10–22–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

# NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

## Information Security Oversight Office

#### National Industrial Security Program Policy Advisory Committee (NISPPAC)

**AGENCY:** Information Security Oversight Office, National Archives and Records Administration.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. app 2) and implementing regulation 41 CFR 101–6, announcement is made for the following committee meeting, to discuss National Industrial Security Program policy matters.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on November 17, 2010 from 10 a.m. to 12 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** National Archives and Records Administration, 700 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Archivist's Reception Room, Room 105, Washington, DC 20408.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This meeting will be open to the public. However, due to space limitations and access procedures, the name and telephone number of individuals planning to attend must be submitted to the Information Security Oversight Office (ISOO) no later than Friday, November 12, 2010. ISOO will provide additional instructions for gaining access to the location of the meeting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David O. Best, Senior Program Analyst, ISOO, National Archives Building, 700 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20408, telephone number (202) 357–5123, or at *david.best@nara.gov*. Contact ISOO at *ISOO@nara.gov* and the NISPPAC at *NISPPAC@nara.gov*.

Dated: October 19, 2010. Mary Ann Hadyka,

*Committee Management Officer.* [FR Doc. 2010–26797 Filed 10–22–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7515–01–P

#### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The National Science Foundation (NSF) is announcing plans to request clearance of this collection. In accordance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13), we are providing opportunity for public comment on this action. After obtaining and considering public comment, NSF will prepare the submission requesting that OMB approve clearance of this collection for no longer than three years. **DATES:** Written comments on this notice must be received by December 27, 2010 to be assured of consideration. Comments received after that date will be considered to the extent practicable.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Suzanne Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 295, Arlington, Virginia 22230; telephone (703) 292–7556; or send e-mail to *splimpto@nsf.gov.* Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday. You may obtain a copy of the data collection instruments and instructions from Ms. Plimpton.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title of Collection:* Revitalizing Computing Pathways (CPATH) in Undergraduate Education Program Evaluation.

OMB Number: 3145–0211. Expiration Date of Approval: March 31, 2013.

*Title of collection:* Revitalizing Computing Pathways (CPATH) in Undergraduate Education Program Evaluation.

Type of request: Revised Clearance. *Abstract:* The CPATH program was established by the National Science Foundation's Computer & Information Science & Engineering (CISE) division with a vision towards preparing a U.S. workforce with the computing competencies and skills imperative to the Nation's health, security, and prosperity in the 21st century. This workforce includes a cadre of computing professionals prepared to contribute to sustained U.S. leadership in computing in a wide range of application domains and career fields, and a broader professional workforce with knowledge and understanding of critical computing concepts, methodologies, and techniques. To achieve this vision, CISE/CPATH is calling for colleges and universities to work together and with other stakeholders (industry, professional societies, and other types of organizations) to formulate and implement plans to revitalize undergraduate computing education in the United States. The full engagement of faculty and other individuals in CISE disciplines will be critical to success. Successful CPATH projects will be systemic in nature, address a broad range of issues, and have significant potential to contribute to the transformation and revitalization of undergraduate computing education on a national scale. The qualitative data collection of this program evaluation will document CPATH program

strategies utilized in infusing computational thinking across different contexts and disciplines, examine the development of communities of practitioners and the dissemination of best practices around computational thinking, and analyze preliminary evidence for how the CPATH program is preparing students for career options in the STEM workforce. Five overarching evaluation questions will guide this program evaluation: (1) How is the CPATH program infusing computational thinking into a wide range of disciplines serving undergraduate education? (2) What is the evidence that university and community college departments and faculty are integrating computational thinking into their courses? (3) How are undergraduate students benefiting from participating in CPATH projects? (4) What is the evidence that the CPATH program is developing communities of practitioners that regularly share best practices across different contexts and disciplinary boundaries? (5) How is the CPATH program promoting sustainable multi-sector partnerships that represent a broad range of stakeholders (e.g., industry, higher education, K12) and contribute to workforce development that supports continued U.S. leadership in innovation? Answers to these questions are currently obtained using mixed evaluation methods including document analyses, site visit interviews, and telephone interviews with selected CPATH grant participants including principal investigators, staff, faculty, administrators, students, and external partners. This revision of the existing data collection activities will now include new protocols for interviewing faculty via phone, project evaluators, as well as edits to the previous protocols. Participation in CPATH program evaluation activities is a mandatory requirement for all CPATH awardees in accordance with the America Competes Act, H.R. 2272, and implementing directives.

*Estimate of Burden:* Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response.

*Respondents:* Individuals. *Estimated Number of Responses per Form:* 400.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 400 hours (400 respondents at 1 hour per response)

*Frequency of Response:* One time. *Comments:* Comments are invited on

(a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the NSF, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the NSF's estimate of the