received on the draft EIS will be used in preparation of the final EIS, expected in January 2002. A Record of Decision (ROD) will also be issued at that time along with the publication of a Notice of Availability of the final EIS and ROD in the **Federal Register**.

Reviewer's Obligation To Comment

The Forest Service believes it is important at this early stage to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of the draft EIS must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal in such a way that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 513 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft EIS stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final EIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir, 1986), and Wisconsin Heritages Inc. v. Harris, 490 F.Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis., 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45day comment period of the draft EIS in order that substantive comments and objections are available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final EIS. To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments should be as specific as possible. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Dated: March 29, 2001.

Lynn Roberts,

Forest Supervisor, Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest, 68 S. Stevens St., Rhinelander, WI 54501.

[FR Doc. 01–8385 Filed 4–4–01; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Shoreline Outfitter/Guide Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Revision of the notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement for the saltwater shorelinebased outfitter-guide capacity previously published in **Federal Register** (65 FR 2575–2576, Jan. 18, 2000). This revision is in response to public comment and includes changes in the title, proposed action, and project schedule. The project area has been expanded to include the Tracy Arm— Fords Terror Wilderness.

SUMMARY: The Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to authorize commercial outfitter/ guide activities within the Admiralty Island National Monument and Juneau, Hoonah and Sitka Ranger Districts of the Tongass National Forest including the Tracy Arm-Fords Terror Wilderness. The analysis will consider shorelinebased commercial recreation use. The decision to prepare an EIS is a result of initial public involvement that began with public scoping in October 1998. The Record of Decision will disclose how the Forest Service has decided to allocate shoreline-based recreation capacity for commercial and noncommercial recreation uses.

The recreation capacity of the study area has been determined in a previous analysis of available shoreline recreational capacity based on the Recreation Opportunity Spectrum, Tongass Forest Plan standards and guidelines dealing with social encounters, and physical conditions such as anchorages, shoreline facilities, and resource concerns. Proposed commercial allocations are based on a percentage of the total recreation carrying capacity of individual Use Areas, or subunits within the Use Areas. Under the Proposed Action, allocation to commercial guided use would range from 10 to 40 percent of the total recreation carrying capacity within each Use Area and would depend on considerations such as season, distance from communities, subsistence use and potential impacts to resources. Areas would be identified for large group use. The Proposed Action no longer allocates commercial recreation capacity specifically to brown bear hunting guides; they are included in the general commercial allocation. The Proposed Action provides for limited commercial allocations in the spring and fall season to provide more opportunities for a primitive recreation experience. A No Action alternative and other alternatives which respond to significant issues will be developed, analyzed and compared in the Draft EIS (DEIS).

DATES: To be most useful in this analysis scoping comments should be received by May 1, 2001; however scoping comments will be accepted at

any time. Comments received in response to the original Notice of Intent are included in this analysis.

ADDRESSES: Please send written comments to: Sitka Supervisor's Office, Tongass National Forest, 204 Siginaka Way, Sitka, AK 99835 Attention: Shoreline Outfitter/Guide EIS.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bob Dalrymple, Planning Team Leader, or Mary Beth Nelson, Recreation Specialist, at the Sitka Supervisor's Office, Tongass National Forest, 204 Siginaka Way, Sitka, AK 99835 telephone (907) 747–6671.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Forest Service will continue to seek information, comments, and assistance from Federal, State and local agencies, tribal organizations, individuals, and organizations that may be interested in, or affected by the proposed activities. Comments received as a result of both the earlier public involvement and the current scoping will be included in this analysis. All comments will be analyzed to identify issues to be considered in the EIS. Tentative issues for analysis in the EIS identified from previous scoping include potential effects of the allocation to economic opportunities, conflicts between commercial operations, and effects on noncommercial resident users. Impacts to wildlife habitat and other forest resources, and the effect on subsistence uses will be included in the analysis. Based on the results of scoping and the resource capabilities within the study area, alternatives including a no-action alternative will be developed, analyzed, and compared. The DEIS is projected to be filed with the EPA in May 2001. The comment period on the DEIS will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the Federal Register. Comments on the DEIS will be considered and responded to in the Final EIS (FEIS), anticipated by July 2001.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of the DEIS must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the DEIS stage but that are not raised until after completion of the FEIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803

F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the FEIS. To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the DEIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the DEIS. Comments may also address the adequacy of the DEIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points. Comments received in response to this solicitation, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this Proposed Action and will be available for public inspection and May be Released Under FOIA. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered; however, those who submit anonymous comments will not have standing to appeal the subsequent decision (36 CFR parts 215 or 217).

Responsible Official

Fred Salinas, Assistant Forest Supervisor, Tongass National Forest, 204 Siginaka Way, Sitka, Alaska 99835— 7316, is the responsible official. In making the decision, the responsible official will consider the comments, responses, disclosure of environmental consequences, and applicable laws, regulations, and policies. The responsible official will state the rationale for the chosen alternative in the Record of Decision.

Dated: March 23, 2001.

Fred S. Salinas,

Assistant Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 01-8402 Filed 4-4-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Oregon Coast Provincial Advisory Committee Meeting

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Oregon Coast Provincial Advisory Committee (PAC) will meet at Spirit Mountain, in the Banquet Room, Grande Ronde, Oregon, on April 19, 2001. The meeting will begin at 9 a.m. and end at 3:30 p.m. The agenda will include: Survey & Manage update, Late Successional Reserve 267 Restoration Project report OR Dept. of Forestry Plan briefing, County Payments update, Monitoring update, ULEP briefing, public comments, and round-robin information sharing. Lunch will be on your own. There are several restaurants at Spirit Mountain. A fifteen-minute open public forum is scheduled at 2 p.m. Interested citizens are encouraged to attend. The committee welcomes the publics' written comments on committee business at any time.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joni Quarnstrom, Public Affairs Specialist, Siuslaw National Forest, 541/750–7075 or write to Forest Supervisor, Siuslaw National Forest, P.O. Box 1148, Corvallis, OR 97339.

Dated: March 26, 2001.

Gloria D. Brown,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 01-8309 Filed 4-4-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS

Notice of Meeting

The next meeting of the Commission of Fine Arts is scheduled for 19 April 2001 at 10 a.m., in the Commission's offices at the National Building Museum, Suite 312, Judiciary Square, 441 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20001–2728. Items of discussion affecting the appearance of Washington, DC, may include buildings, parks and memorials.

Draft agendas are available to the public one week prior to the meeting. Inquiries regarding the agenda and requests to submit written or oral statements should be addressed to Charles H. Atherton, Secretary, Commission of Fine Arts, at the above address or call 202–504–2200. Individuals requiring sign language interpretation for the hearing impaired should contact the Secretary at least 10 days before the meeting date.

Dated in Washington, DC, 29 March 2001. Charles H. Atherton,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–8403 Filed 4–4–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6330-01-M

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

National Senior Service Corps; Schedule of Income Eligibility Levels

AGENCY: Corporation for National and Community Service.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This Notice revises the schedules of income eligibility levels for participation in the Foster Grandparent Program (FGP) and the Senior Companion Program (SCP) of the Corporation, published in 65 FR 17629 on April 4, 2000.

DATES: These guidelines are effective on April 1, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Corporation for National and Community Service, National Senior Service Corps, Attn: Ms. Ruth Archie, 1201 New York Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20525, by telephone at (202) 606–5000, ext. 289, or e-mail: rarchie@cns.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The revised schedules are based on changes in the Poverty Guidelines issued by the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), published in 66 FR 10695, February 16, 2001. In accordance with program regulations, the income eligibility level for each State, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands and the District of Columbia is 125 percent of the DHHS Poverty Guidelines, except in those areas determined by the Corporation to be of higher cost of living as of April 1, 2001. In such instances, the guidelines shall be 135 percent of the DHHS Poverty levels (See attached list of High Cost Areas). The level of eligibility is rounded to the next highest multiple of \$5.00.

In determining income eligibility, consideration should be given to the following, as set forth in 45 CFR parts 2551–2553, dated October 1, 1999.

Allowable medical expenses are annual out-of-pocket expenses for health insurance premiums, health care services, and medications provided to the applicant, enrollee, or spouse and were not and will not be paid for by Medicare, Medicaid, other insurance, or by any other third party and, must not exceed 15 percent of the applicable Corporation income guideline.

Annual income is counted for the past 12 months and includes: The applicant or enrollee's income and the applicant or enrollee's spouse's income, if the spouse lives in the same residence. Sponsors must count the value of shelter, food, and clothing, if provided at no cost the applicant, enrollee or spouse.