Burden statement: The Commission estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows:

ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN HOURS AND BURDEN HOUR COSTS

17 CFR	Annual number of respondents	Frequency of response per respondent	Hours per response and cost	Total annual responses	Total hours cost
Part 32, Appendix A, Form TO.	100	Annually	2 hours at \$200 per re- sponse ⁵ .	100 (one form per other- wise unreported trade option participant).	\$20,000 (100 responses times 2 hours per re- sponse, based on \$100/hour).

(Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) Dated: February 13, 2013.

Melissa D. Jurgens,

Secretary of the Commission. [FR Doc. 2013–03792 Filed 2–19–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6351–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID DoD-2013-OS-0028]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by March 22, 2013.

Title, Associated Form and OMB Number: Federal Agency Retail Pharmacy Program; OMB Control Number 0720–0032.

Type of Request: Extension. Number of Respondents: 250. Responses per Respondent: 8. Annual Responses: 2000. Average Burden per Response: 8

hours.

Annual Burden Hours: 16,000. Needs and Uses: The Department of Defense (DoD) is extending the information collection requirements under current OMB control number 0720–0032. Specifically, under the collection of information, respondents (drug manufacturers) will base refund calculation reporting requirements on both the Federal Ceiling Price and the Federal Supply Schedule Price, whichever is lower. Previously, drug manufacturers' reporting requirements addressed only the Federal Ceiling Price. DoD will use the reporting and audit capabilities of the Pharmacy Data Transaction Service (PDTS) to validate refunds owed to the Government. The government received approximately \$1.5 billion from pharmaceutical companies as a result of this program/ refund calculation reporting requirements.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit.

Frequency: On occasion. Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary. OMB Desk Officer: Mr. John Kraemer. Written comments and

recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Mr. Kraemer at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

You may also submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by the following method: • Federal eRulemaking Portal: http:// www.regulations.gov. Follow the

instructions for submitting comments. Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name, docket number and title for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at http:// www.regulations.gov as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

DOD Clearance Officer: Ms. Patricia Toppings.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Ms. Toppings at WHS/ESD Information Management Division, 4800 Mark Center Drive, East Tower, Suite 02G09, Alexandria, VA 22350–3100.

Dated: January 31, 2013.

Aaron Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 2013–03825 Filed 2–19–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[Docket No. ED-2013-ICCD-0015]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request; William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program (DL) Regulations

AGENCY: Department of Education (ED), Federal Student Aid (FSA). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 3501 *et seq.*), ED is proposing an extension of an existing information collection of a previously approved information collection.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before April 22, 2013.

⁵ The Commission estimates that entities will spend \$100 per hour. The \$100 per hour estimate was used as the average hourly wage rate in the PRA section of the Internal Business Conduct Standards for Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants final rule (see Duties Rules; Futures Commission Merchant and Introducing Broker Conflicts of Interest Rules; and Chief Compliance Officer Rules for Swap Dealers, Major Swap Participants, and Futures Commission Merchants, 77 FR 20128, 20194 (Apr. 3, 2012)) and the wage rate for CCOs under the DCO final rules (see Proposed Collection, Comment Request: Further Definition of "Swap," "Security-Based Swap," and "Security-Based Swap Agreement"; Mixed Swaps; Security-Based Swap Agreement Recordkeeping: Book-out Agreement Confirmation, 76 FR 69344, 69428 (Aug. 16, 2012)). As the Commission explained in the Internal Business Conduct Standards final rule, the estimate of \$100 per hour was based on recent Bureau of Labor Statistics findings, including the mean hourly wage of an employee under occupation code 23-1011, "Lawyers," that is employed by the "Securities and Commodity Contracts Intermediation and Brokerage Industry," which is \$85.20. The mean hourly wage of an employee under occupation code 11-3031, "Financial Manager," in the same industry is \$80.90. Additionally, SIFMA's "Report on Management & Professional Earnings in the Securities Industry-2011" estimates the average wage of a compliance attorney at \$96.42 and a compliance specialist in the U.S. at \$74.85 per hour. As in those rules, the Commission is using a \$100 per hour wage rate in calculating the cost burdens imposed by this collection of information and requests comment on the accuracy of its estimate.

ADDRESSES: Comments submitted in response to this notice should be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at http:// www.regulations.gov by selecting Docket ID number ED-2013-ICCD-0015 or via postal mail, commercial delivery. or hand delivery. Please note that comments submitted by fax or email and those submitted after the comment period will not be accepted. Written requests for information or comments submitted by postal mail or delivery should be addressed to the Director of the Information Collection Clearance Division, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., LBJ, Room 2E117, Washington, DC 20202-4537.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Electronically mail

ICDocketMgr@ed.gov. Please do not send comments here.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Education (ED), in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand the Department's information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. ED is soliciting comments on the proposed information collection request (ICR) that is described below. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

Title of Collection: William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program (DL) Regulations.

OMB Control Number: 1845–0021.

Type of Review: an extension of an existing information collection of a previously approved information collection.

Respondents/Affected Public: Individuals or households; State, Local, or Tribal Governments; Private Sector.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 6,603,667.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 535,998.

Abstract: The William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program regulations cover areas of program administration. These regulations are in place to minimize administrative burden for program participants, to determine eligibility for and provide program benefits to borrowers, and to prevent fraud and abuse of program funds to protect the taxpayers' interests. This request is for continued approval of reporting and recordkeeping related to the administrative requirements of the Direct Loan program.

Dated: February 13, 2013.

Kate Mullan,

Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Privacy, Information and Records Management Services, Office of Management.

[FR Doc. 2013–03789 Filed 2–19–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Applications for New Awards; Arts in Education Model Development and Dissemination Program

AGENCY: Office of Innovation and Improvement, Department of Education. **ACTION:** Notice.

Overview Information

Arts in Education Model Development and Dissemination Program

Notice inviting applications for new awards for fiscal year (FY) 2013.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number: 84.351D.

DATES: Applications Available: February 20, 2013.

Deadline for Notice of Intent to Apply: March 22, 2013.

Deadline for Transmittal of Applications: April 22, 2013.

Deadline for Intergovernmental Review: June 20, 2013.

Full Text of Announcement

I. Funding Opportunity Description

Purpose of Program: The Arts in Education Model Development and Dissemination (AEMDD) program supports the enhancement, expansion, documentation, evaluation, and dissemination of innovative, cohesive models that are based on research and have demonstrated that they effectively— (1) Integrate standardsbased arts education into the core elementary and middle school curriculum; (2) strengthen standardsbased arts instruction in these grades; and (3) improve students' academic performance, including their skills in creating, performing, and responding to the arts. Projects funded through the AEMDD program are intended to increase the amount of nationally available information on effective models for arts education that integrate the arts with standards-based education programs.

Priorities: This competition includes one absolute priority and four competitive preference priorities that are explained in the following paragraphs. Absolute priority 1 is from the notice of final priority, requirements, and definitions for this program, published in the Federal Register on March 30, 2005 (70 FR 16234). The competitive preference priorities are from the notice of supplemental priorities and definitions for discretionary grant programs, published in the Federal Register on December 15, 2010 (75 FR 78486), and corrected on May 12, 2011 (76 FR 27637) (Supplemental Priorities).

Absolute Priority: For FY 2013 and any subsequent year in which we make awards from the list of unfunded applicants from this competition, this priority is an absolute priority. Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(3) we consider only applications that meet this priority.

This priority is:

This priority supports projects that enhance, expand, document, evaluate, and disseminate innovative, cohesive models that are based on research and have demonstrated their effectiveness in (1) integrating standards-based arts education into the core elementary or middle school curriculum, (2) strengthening standards-based arts instruction in the elementary or middle school grades, and (3) improving the academic performance of students in elementary or middle school grades, including their skills in creating, performing, and responding to the arts.

In order to meet this priority, an applicant must demonstrate that the model project for which it seeks funding (1) serves only elementary school or middle school grades, or both, and (2) is linked to State and national standards intended to enable all students to meet challenging expectations and to improve student and school performance.

Note: National standards are the arts standards developed by the Consortium of National Arts Education Associations or another comparable set of national arts standards. The standards developed by the